
ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	Email:
Date Manuscript Received: 18.11.2017	Date Manuscript Review Submitted: 22.11.2017
Manuscript Title: Labor Unrest in the Ready-Made Garment Industry of Bangladesh: Causes and Consequences	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1215/17	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-less point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>The title is correct and appropriate to this research paper.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>The abstract clearly presents objects, results and the methods.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>There are no grammatical errors and spelling mistakes.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>The study methods are explained clearly.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>The body of the paper has been properly built but some acronyms should be elaborated.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the	5

content.	
<i>The conclusions are appropriate and properly supported by the content</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>Yes, the references are comprehensive and appropriate. Here Harvard reference style is followed.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

In this manuscript, the authors have reported “Labor Unrest in the Ready-Made Garment Industry of Bangladesh: Causes and Consequences”. The manuscript could be accepted after the following comments being addressing clearly.

1. The author should elaborate RMG, ILO.. etc. term, when comes first in text.
2. The Author has cited latest literatures of year 2012 and earlier. So, the author should introduce some recent situation in RMG in Bangladesh.
3. Introduction and Literature Review part should be combined together and concise the text.
4. In Introduction part, Author should scrutinize the Literature and present the data in graphical (most recommended) or tabular form.
5. The Author should revised whole Manuscript by taking care on grammatical errors and mistakes.

For example;

worker,s salaries within 1st and 2nd week of the (Line 81: worker,s)

local influential, jhoot, mostly generates (Line 90 jhoot?)

These causes beget myriad.....(Line 262: causes.....)

salary should.....(Line 271: should be caps lock....)

research interest towards the various aspects of garments industrys.(Line 297: should be industries....)

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Recommend for publication without further review.

European Scientific Journal
European Scientific Institute

