

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2018

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review report. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper. Do not estimate the novelty or the potential impact of the paper. You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 27 March	Date Manuscript Review Submitted: 16 April
Manuscript Title: Effect of probiotic "L.Reuteri" on the reduction of serum bilirubin in neonatal jaundice: a randomized controlled trial.	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0439/18	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with an explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. <i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> They must write effect of probiotic "L.Reuteri" association on the reduction of serum bilirubin in neonatal jaundice	4
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. <i>(An explanation is recommendable)</i> In the objective, they must write evaluate the effect of probiotic association	4
3. There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. <i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> No errors	5
4. The study methods are explained clearly. <i>Take off the word combo in line 11 in methods</i>	4

<i>We don't need to do fasting venous blood test for bilirubin, just say venous blood</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>Mention in the discussion that their study didn't affect hospitalization duration may be because of the small sample or mention the small sample as a limitation of the study</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>Yes it's accurate</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and according to the APA citation style. They have enough recent references	5
<i>Interesting study</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Mention if conflict of interest

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: