



Paper: “Composition Chimique Et Activité Antimicrobienne De L’huile Essentielle De Porophyllum Ruderale (Jacq.) Cass. (Asterales ; Asteraceae) Récoltée En Côte d’Ivoire”

Corresponding Author: Bosson Antoine Kouame

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n27p268

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Bakkali Aissaoui, Université Abdelmalek Essaadi, Maroc

Reviewer 2: Lrhorfi Aicha

Published: 30.09.2020

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

| | |
|---|--|
| Reviewer Name: Asmae BAKKALI AISSAOUI | Email: asame.bakkali@gmail.com |
| University/Country: Université Abdelmalek Essaadi, Maroc | |
| Date Manuscript Received: 12/08/2020 | Date Review Report Submitted: 16/08/2020 |
| Manuscript Title: Composition chimique par CPG/SM et activités antimicrobiennes des huiles essentielle de <i>Porophyllum ruderale</i> (Jacq.)Cass. récoltée en Côte d'Ivoire. | |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 0890/20 | |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes/No | |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes/No | |
| You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes/No | |

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| <i>Questions</i> | <i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |
|---|---|
| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 3 |
| Je propose le titre suivant : Composition chimique et activité antimicrobienne de l'huile essentielle de <i>Porophyllum ruderale</i> (Jacq.)Cass. (Asterales : Asteraceae) récoltée en Côte d'Ivoire. | |
| 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. | 5 |

| | |
|--|----------|
| Oui | |
| 3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | 3 |
| Utiliser tout au long du manuscrit le singulier et non pas le pluriel pour designer l'huile essentielle de la plante | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | 5 |
| Oui | |
| 5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. | 4 |
| Veuillez voir les commentaires | |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | 5 |
| Oui | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | 4 |
| Veuillez respecter la mise en forme | |

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

| | |
|--|----------|
| Accepted, no revision needed | |
| Accepted, minor revision needed | X |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | |
| Reject | |

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Veuillez apporter les modifications nécessaires et prendre en considération les différentes remarques sur votre manuscrit.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

| | |
|---|--|
| Reviewer Name: LRHORFI Lalla Aicha | |
| University/Country: Ibn Tofail/Marocco | |
| Date Manuscript Received: 28/08/2020 | Date Review Report Submitted: 31/08/2020 |
| Manuscript Title: Chemical composition by GC-MS and antimicrobial activities of the essential oils of <i>Porophyllum ruderale</i> (Jacq.) Cass. harvested in Côte d'Ivoire | |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 0890/20 | |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes | |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes | |
| You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes | |

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| <i>Questions</i> | <i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |
|--|---|
| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 4 |
| The title is very clear and summarizes the content of the article | |
| 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. | 4 |
| The abstract is clear and presents the objectives and results | |
| 3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling | 4 |

| | |
|--|----------|
| mistakes in this article. | |
| The text is well written | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | 4 |
| The study methods are explained clearly | |
| 5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. | 4 |
| The text is clear, however, there are errors to be corrected mentioned in the text. You will find my remarks in the text | |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | 2 |
| Confusion between conclusion and abstract | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | 3 |
| It is also necessary to harmonize the list of references. | |

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

| | |
|--|----------|
| Accepted, no revision needed | |
| Accepted, minor revision needed | X |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | |
| Reject | |

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

You will find my remarks in the text