
ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	Email:
Date Manuscript Received: July 11, 2017	Date Manuscript Review Submitted: July 11, 2017
Manuscript Title: INFLUENCIA DE PRÁCTICAS PARENTALES SOBRE EL NIVEL DE ASERTIVIDAD, AGRESIVIDAD Y RENDIMIENTO ACADÉMICO EN ADOLESCENTES	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-less point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> The title indicates "influence" when in reality only the relationships between variables are analyzed which cannot speak of causality, it is recommended to review the title.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> The objective, method and main results are clearly presented in the summary.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> No writing problems found	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4

<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> It clearly explains all elements of the method, however, there are some problems in the results section (see attached file with comments)	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> In general terms the manuscript has the important elements and does not show major problems as regards its elements	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> The conclusions are generally adequate	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> A review of the literature appropriate to the subject and recent. However, it is recommended to check that all articles that are in the final list of references are cited within the text. In addition, the final list of references does not comply with the APA format, which is why they are recommended to review them.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

In general terms the manuscript presents interesting findings about the role of parental practices on some behaviors in adolescent population. However, the authors are recommended to review the title of the work since in fact the study they perform is only correlational and not predictive. Also, in the results section they perform analyzes by sex, when in the introduction there was never evidence that this was a relevant variable, the same happens with the academic level of the parents, therefore it is recommended to include literature that supports this. Regarding the academic level of the parents, it is recommended to expand the description of how it was evaluated to see if the statistical analysis is pertinent. Review the format of the final list of references and that are within the text all that are in the list. Attached file with comments.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

It is recommended to revise the title, to add empirical evidence on the role of the gender variable and the school level of the parents, since in the results section are variables that are included. It is also

recommended to revise reference format.

