ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 14.12.2017

Manuscript Title:

HUMANISTIC APPROACH IN TEACHING FOREIGN LANGUAGE

(FROM THE TEACHER PERSPECTIVE)

Qatip Arifi, PhD

AAB College, Prishtina, Kosovo

ESJ Manuscript Number:

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-less point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
(a brief explanation is recommendable) The article focuses on humanistic approach in L2 teaching and the tit	le clearly highlights it.
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
 (a brief explanation is recommendable) Objectives of the study are clearly outlined, research methods are deconclusions are drawn. 3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. 	scribed and brief
(a brief explanation is recommendable) There are some misprints and minor grammatical mistakes which do understanding and do not spoil overall positive impression.	not impede
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
(a brief explanation is recommendable)	,

5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
(a brief explanation is recommendable)	
The article is adequately structured in accordance with the general rul writing.	es of research articles
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
(a brief explanation is recommendable)	
Conclusions of the study are of great scientific interest and may be approntexts and geographical settings.	lied in other educational
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
(a brief explanation is recommendable)	
The article's theoretical base is deep and varied. References are appropalphabetically arranged in references section.	priately used and

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

There are a few misprints in the text. Please recheck the parts of the text marked yellow (delete) and green (replace or add).

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The article initiates an interesting topic for discussion which may be of great scientific interest to L2 researchers and practitioners in various educational establishments and geographical locations. After correcting some misprints and minor grammatical errors, the article may definitely be recommended for publication.





