ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 19/12/2017	Date Manuscript Review Submitted: 02/01/2018	
Manuscript Title:		
ECO-INNOVATION AND SERVICE ACTIVITIES IN THE CONTEXT OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT		
ESJ Manuscript Number: 47.10.2017		

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-less point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
The title is clear and raises awareness. However, the article deals with the lackaracteristics of the issue which is not mentioned in the title.	Romanian
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
The abstract clearly presents objects, and aim of the article but it does not nethods nor the results.	nention neither the
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
There are few spelling mistakes e.g. "followto", "an marketable application University Pres", "the largest share have", "Invention and Economic Grown	ı" "Harvard vh"
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
The study method is not described and justified in detail.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
The theoretical and the empirical part are clear, but the title of the Table No. that the tables contain the Romanian data.	3,4 and 5 do not indicate
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the	4

content.		
The conclusions are accurate and mostly supported by the content.		
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3	

There are several inaccuracies regarding references:

- The year of the publication in the text and the reference list does not match: J. Schmookler (1996) vs Schmookler, J. (1966).; OECD, 2009 vs OECD 2009a
- The style of the references in the text is not the same (first name, bracket) e.g. Malerba, E., Orsenigo, L, 1997; Mihaela Diaconu, 2011; [European Monitoring Centre for Eco-innovation]; [UNEP & DTU: Manual Eco-innovation]
- One literature is missing from the literature list: C. Fussler and P. James (in the paper Driving Eco-Innovation; K. Smith, 2009;
- The file download date is missing, e.g.: European Monitoring Centre for Eco-innovation; [UNEP & DTU: Manual Eco-innovation]
- The year of the publication is missing: The European Eco-innovation Observatory quoted in ECOPartner An Overview of the Conditions, Challenges and Opportunities for Eco-innovation in Romania
- The page number is missing for the literal reference: OECD, 2009. European Monitoring Centre for Eco-innovation; Kemp, R. and P. Pearson (2008); [UNEP & DTU: Manual Eco-innovation]; Dan, V., 2013
- The following link is not found: http://www.unep.org/resourceefficiency/Portals/24147/documents/UNEP_Ecoinnovation Manual 17Dec14 v2.pdf
- The following items of references are not found in the text:
 - o Dan, Cornelia (2012) Innovative Clusters: a solution for the economic development of Romania, Theoretical and Applied Economics, Vol. XIX, No. 9(574), pp. 3-14;
 - o Zamfir PB, Rabontu CI (2015)- Tertiary Economic Activities Under The Impact Of Scientific And Technical Progress In Romania, Annals UCB, Economy Series, p 29-32;
 - o Rabontu Cecilia Irina, Balacescu Aniela (2013) Evolution of the Innovative Services and their Role in Economic Development of Romania, Romanian Economic and Business Review, p 241;
 - o http://imadd.utcluj.ro/eesde/welcome_files/Journal_EESDE_Vol_2_No_1__MIC_.pdf
 - o http://www.premiilepentrumediucurat.ro/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/147428645572502.pdf

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The title should refer to the content. The purpose of the study and the methods which aim to achieve it should be clearly determined and justified. References should be improved.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:





