Institutional Participation and Earnings Information Quality: Empirical Evidence from China

Cheng Min

SHU-UTS SILC Business School, Shanghai University, Shanghai, P.R.China

Doi:10.19044/esj.2018.v14n25p308 URL:http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/esj.2018.v14n25p308

Abstract

Recently many scholars are greatly concerned about the influence of institutional investors on companies' earnings information quality. Institutional investors have strong and professional team. With big fund stake on listed companies, institutional investors are theoretically thought of playing active role in supervising companies to ensure their investment safety and return. Much evidence has showed that institutional investors are becoming active institutional participation from past passive shareholders. The characteristics of institutional show great advantages on exerting their effect on corporate governance. Correspondingly positive behavior of institutional investors will influence the degree of the accounting information quality. Based on this concept, institutional investors can discover in a timely and effective manner problems existing in the accounting information disclosure. As a result, this article examines whether institutional investors as active shareholders can participate in corporate governance in order to improve companies' accounting information quality.

Keywords: Institutional investors, earnings information quality, corporate governance

1. Introduction

Institutional investors are becoming an important force in Chinese capital market. Recently many scholars are greatly concerned about whether the growing number of institutional investors can improve corporate governance structure? In view of the important role of accounting information quality in corporate governance, we will study the impact of institutional investors on the quality of accounting information. In fact, there is a complementary relationship between accounting information and corporate governance. on the one hand, the quality of information disclosure plays a decisive role in the governance structure of listed companies. On the other <text><text><text><text>

2. Literature review

Warfield et al. (1995) measure the value relevance of earnings (or earning information content) using the correlation coefficient between the

<text><text><text><text><page-footer>

tangene Scientific Journal September 2018 edition Vol. 14, No.25 ISSN: 1877–7881 (Print) e - ISSN 1877. 7431

it is very meaningful to examine institutional investors' governance role of listed companies.

3. Hypothesis development

Grossman and Hart, and Shleifer and Vishny argue that the larger the investment, the stronger the investor's ability to supervise listed companies. Institutional investors' investment funds are higher than those of average small investors. With large size of the investment stocks, they have more power to supervise the listed companies. Compared with the average small investors, institutional investors have the advantage of information. On the one hand, institutional investors are willing to invest resources to take the initiative to collect information. On the other hand, institutional investors may also have non-public information. Under the support of professional research team and rich information channel institutional investors have a stronger information interpretation and value assessment capabilities than ordinary investors. They have the ability to distinguish whether the accounting policy of listed companies is reasonable and then take the responsibility of certain supervisory role. This kind of supervisory role of institutional investors is much more necessary under the conditions that the current market mechanism of minority shareholders is not well protected; information disclosure and news media are not perfect.

Institutional investors' strong demand for accounting information and reasonable supervision will force the company to reduce profit manipulation and other acts of damage to the fairness of accounting information, thereby improving the quality of accounting earnings. Foreign related research also confirmed this point of view. Chung, Firth and Kim's research results show that institutional investors can reduce the degree of earnings management of listed companies. Their research found that institutional investors' holdings can reduce the company's discretionary accrual profits. And as the proportion of institutional investors holdings increase, the company's discretionary accrual profit gradually reduced. Chidambaran and John's research indirectly indicates the supervisory role of institutional investors. They found that institutional investors' holdings conveyed positive information about the company to the capital market, meaning that the company had more information disclosure and greater transparency, helping to strengthen investors' trust in the company. McConnell and Servaes 'research found that there is a significant positive correlation between institutional investors' shareholding and corporate value. Institutional investors are able to monitor and constrain opportunistic behavior of the firm's management and thereby increase the value of the firm. There are few studies on the influence of institutional investors on the quality of accounting earnings in China. From behavioral finance, principal-agent theory and property right theory, theoretically institutional investors are much more active than small investors. We find that the institutional investors with higher shareholding have the potential motivations to actively participate in corporate governance and assume supervisory role. And then they promote the improvement of earnings quality of listed companies. By further analysis of institutional investors, institutional investors have strong profit pressures and their own regulatory strength, which make institutional investors not easy to sale out stocks to exit. Therefore, under the support of professional research team and rich information channel, institutional investors with high shareholding ratio are active and capable. Under the combined effect of "stuck" motivation, profit incentive and policy encouragement, they show positive behavior of shareholders, and then assume a certain role in supervision, and promote the improvement of earnings quality of listed companies. Reviewing the literature, we conclude institutional investors can effectively monitor the earnings management behavior of listed companies. De-Fond and Jiambalvo (1991) found that when the company had institutional investors that holdmore than 5% of the outstanding shares, the possibility of a prior change in profitability by management decreased. Dechow (1996) found that in companies with more institutional holdings, the probability of financial fraud is reduced. Rajgopal and Venkatachalam (1997) find that the institutional investors' shareholding is negatively correlated with the absolute value of subjective accruals. Bushee (1998) has found that when the proportion of institutional investors is high, management is less likely to reduce R & D expense. This indicates that institutional investors are mature investors and supervise managers' short-sighted actions to avoid earnings manipulation. To

expense. This indicates that institutional investors are mature investors and supervise managers' short-sighted actions to avoid earnings manipulation. To sum up, we put forward the hypothesis that the proportion of institutional investors and the quality of earnings information are positively related. The higher the proportion of institutional investors, the better is the quality of earnings information of listed companies. Since we measure the earnings information of listed companies from two different perspectives using information disclosure rating and earnings smoothness, we can get the following two hypotheses: following two hypotheses:

H1a: the higher the proportion of institutional investors' holdings, the higher is the information disclosure rating of listed companies. H1b: the higher the proportion of institutional investors' holdings, the lower is the earnings smoothness of listed companies.

4. Research design

4.1 Sample selection and data resources

We select 2012-2014 company data listed in Chinese Shanghai and Shenzhen stock markets. We get a total of 6120 Observations after excluding

the financial class companies, ST class and PT class a total of 6120 observations and companies in which financial data are missing or incorrect data. We use these 6120 observations as a sample for earnings smoothness measure of earnings quality. Because the Shanghai Stock Exchange did not listed companies on the information disclosure rating, for disclosure credit rating measure, we select 2012-2014 company data only listed in Chinese Shenzhen stock markets. Using the same excluding method, we get a total of 3180 observations. All the data comes from WIND (China) financial database. Data are processed by using SPSS software.

4.2 Variable selection and model design

Dependent variables

This paper focuses on the impact of institutional investors on the earnings information of listed companies, so we need to find variables that can measure the earnings quality of listed companies as our explained variables. In this study, we measure companies' earnings information quality from two aspects:

(1) Information disclosure quality rating of listed companies, provided

(1) Information disclosure quality rating of listed companies, provided by the Shenzhen Stock Exchange (RANK)
(2) We use model to estimate earnings smoothness (ES) as a measure of information disclosure quality of listed companies. Since 2001, the Shenzhen Stock Exchange evaluates information disclosure quality of listed companies from four aspects of timeliness, accuracy, integrity and legitimacy as a basis for rating. Rating results are divided into four categories: excellent, good, passing and failing. We correspondingly We use this rating as an indicator of the quality of information disclosure. Higher level of disclosure indicates better quality of information for listed companies. In this paper, as for information disclosure rating, we use for listed companies. In this paper, as for information disclosure rating, we use the ordered logistic regression model to institutional investors 'influence. If the rank is A, the assigned value is 1

When the rank is D, the assigned value is 4. That is, the higher the level of information disclosure, the lower is the value of RANK.

Bhattacharya et al. (2003) measured earnings information quality using earnings smoothness. This study also uses this measurement to examine institutional investors' impact on earnings management. Earnings smoothness (ES) is measured by the ratio of net cash flow variability of operating activities to the degree of profit variation of listed companies. The higher the earnings smoothness, the lower is the degree of accounting information transparency of listed companies.

Independent variables and control variables 1. Institutional Investor Shareholding (INS)

The proportion of institutional investors holding the shares at the end of the year is the total number of shares held by all institutional investors divided by the total share capital.

According to the existing research, the factors that may affect the quality of earnings information include debt ratio, return on net assets, firm size, state-owned nature, ownership concentration, type of auditing firm, earnings per share, etc. These factors are controlled to ensure the reliability of the results, the control variables are as follows:

1. Debt ratio (LEV): Total debt at the end of the period divided by total assets at the end of the period. This control variable measures the company's financial risk.

2. Return on net assets (ROE): current profit divided by current net

assets. This control variable measures the company's operating profitability.
3. Company size (SIZE): the logarithm of the company's total assets.
This variable controls the size of the company.
4. State-owned nature (STATE): When the company's largest shareholder is a state-owned shareholder, the value of this control variable is 1, otherwise 0.

5. Ownership concentration (HER): the sum of squares of the top ten shareholders' holding ratio.

6. Auditing firm type (AUD): When the company's annual report is audited by the big four accounting firms, the value of this control variable is 1, otherwise 0.

7. Earnings per share change (Δ EPS): the earnings per share change between current year and previous year. All variables are defined in Table 1 below

r	I able	e I Related Variables Definition		
	variable	definition		
Dependent variable	RANK	Information disclosure quality rating of listed companies, provided by the Shenzhen Stock Exchange (for grade A,B,C,D, the value is 1,2,3,4 respectively).		
	ES	Earnings smoothness. The ratio of cash flow variation to the degree of profit variation of listed companies.		
Independent and control variable	INS	All institutional investors' holding divided by total share capital at the end of the year.		
	SIZE	The natural logarithm of the company's total assets		
	ROE	Net income divided by shareholders' equity		
	LEV	Total liability divided by total asset		
	STATE	If the company is state-owned, the value is 1, otherwise 0.		
	HER	The sum of squares of the top ten shareholders' holding ratio.		
	AUD	When the company's annual report is audited by t big four accounting firms, the value of this contr variable is 1, otherwise 0.		

	The difference between Earnings per share for the		
ΔEPS	current year and the earnings per share for the previous		
	year, then divided by last year earnings per share.		

4.3 Research model design

In this paper, the descriptive statistical analysis of the variables is carried out, and the correlation between the variables is tested. We carry out the linear regression of the aggregated data for 2012-2014. Research model is established to test the proportion of institutional investors and other control factors which have Impact on earnings information quality.

For the hypothesis H1a, we build the model as follows:

 $RANK = {}^{\beta_0} + {}^{\beta_1} * INS + {}^{\beta_2} * SIZE + {}^{\beta_3} * ROE + {}^{\beta_4} * LEV + {}^{\beta_5} * STATE + {}^{\beta_6} * HER + {}^{\beta_7} * AUD + {}^{\beta_8} * \Delta EPS + {}^{\beta_9} * \sum INDUSTRY + {}^{\beta_{10}} * \sum YEAR + \epsilon$ (1)

This model is an ordered logistic regression model, in which RANK for the Shenzhen Stock Exchange information disclosure rating, there are four categories of ratings, namely, A, B, C, D, respectively, assigned 1,2,3,4. We assume that the higher the proportion of institutional investors, the higher is the company's information disclosure rating.

That is, if the coefficient β_1 is negative, the results of the empirical test in line with our expectations. Industry and YEAR are respectively controlled. For the hypothesis H1b, research model is established as follows:

$$\begin{split} & ES = \overset{\beta_0}{\overset{+}{}} + \overset{\beta_1}{\overset{+}{}} * JGCG + \overset{\beta_2}{\overset{+}{}} * SIZE + \overset{\beta_3}{\overset{+}{}} * ROE + \overset{\beta_4}{\overset{+}{}} * LEV + \overset{\beta_5}{\overset{+}{}} * STATE + \overset{\beta_6}{\overset{+}{}} \\ & * HER + \overset{\beta_7}{\overset{+}{}} * AUD + \overset{\beta_8}{\overset{+}{}} * \Delta EPS + \overset{\beta_9}{\overset{+}{}} * \sum INDUSTRY + \overset{\beta_{10}}{\overset{+}{}} * \sum YEAR + \epsilon \quad (2) \\ & Where \quad ES \quad is \quad calculated \quad as \quad ES = \quad DEV(\sum_{k=t-2}^{t} CFO_K / ASSET_K) \\ & ASSET_K)/DEV(\sum_{k=t-2}^{t} EARN_K / ASSET_K) \end{split}$$

 EARN_{t} is the net profit of the company for year t.

CFO is the operating cash flow of the company for year t.

ASSETt is the total asset of the company for year t.

 $\text{DEV}(\sum_{k=t-2}^{t} \text{CFO}_{K} / \text{ASSET}_{K})$: The standard deviation between the net cash flow and the total assets during the period (t-2, t)

 $\text{DEV}(\sum_{k=t-2}^{t} \text{EARN}_{K}/\text{ASSET}_{K})$: The standard deviation of net profit and total assets during (t-2, t)

We assume that the higher the proportion of institutional investors, the

lower is the company's earnings smoothness. That is, if the coefficient p_1 is negative, the results of the empirical test in line with our expectations.

5. Empirical results

This section provides descriptive statistics to visualize the distribution of data. The results are shown in Table 2. From the table we can see listed companies on the Shenzhen Stock Exchange with an average credit rating of 1.91, close to information disclosure grade B, and the median is 2. This result indicates that at least half of the listed company's information disclosure rating is good. The difference between the listed company's earnings smoothness is very large, the maximum value of 388.79, while the minimum value is only 0.01, which indicates that the listed company earnings information quality difference is relatively large. Chinese institutional holdings proportion is at the average 40.63%, which indicates that listed companies account for a large part of institutional investors. While the fund holdings of the average ratio of 5.82%, indicating that the proportion of fund holdings is still relatively small, there is room for further development.

there is room for further development. In this paper, we also provide empirical results to examine the relationship between the institutions' shareholding and the quality of earnings information. We use the logistic regression of model 1 and perform multiple regressions according to model 2. The regression results are shown in Table 3.From the empirical regression results of model 1, it can be seen that there is a significant negative correlation between the proportion of institutional investors and RANK, which means that H1a is established. Higher proportion of institutional investors' holding can improve companies 'information disclosure rating level. As for control variables, the return on net assets, financial leverage, the nature of the company, earnings per share, auditing firm are significant at 1% descriptive level, which means higher return on net assets , higher financial leverage ratio are positively related with information disclosure credit rating. At the same time State-owned enterprises, lower earnings per share change, and big four auditing firms are also positively related with information disclosure credit rating. From the empirical regression of model 2, it can be seen that the proportion of institutional investors' holdings and earnings smoothness are significantly negative, which indicates that the proportion of institutional investors reduce earnings smoothness, and H1b is established.

	Mean	St.Dev	Median	Max	Min
RANK	1.91	0.57	2.00	4.00	1.00
ES	6.51	16.47	2.46	388.79	0.01
INS	40.63	23.72	41.79	95.46	0.00
SIZE	22.06	1.28	21.86	28.51	19.03
ROE	0.07	0.12	0.07	0.74	-3.20
LEV	0.44	0.21	0.44	1.05	0.01
STATE	0.42	0.49	0.00	1.00	0.00

 Table 2 Descriptive Statistics

HER	0.17	0.12	0.15	0.76	0.00
$\triangle EPS$	-0.06	0.36	-0.01	4.38	-5.38
AUD	0.06	0.23	0.00	1.00	0.00
ACT	0.02	0.18	0.00	4.86	0.00
NEG	1.78	2.90	0.40	22.82	-4.86

Table 3 Regression Results of Institutions 'shareholdings on Earnings Information Quality

coefficient	Model 1	Model 2		
Intercept	-2.9321**	-2.7537		
INS	-0.0072***	-0.0004***		
SIZE	0.0640*	-0.0635		
ROE	-6.6729***	2.1838		
LEV	1.0749***	7.5389***		
STATE	-0.4767***	0.2784		
HER	-0.0913	-0.3609		
ΔEPS	0.4081***	1.8516***		
AUD	-0.6712***	-3.0655***		
INDUSTRY	Control	Control		
YEAR	Control	Control		
Ν	3810	6120		
LR stat	489.67	R ²	0.0422	
R-squared	0.0764	Adjusted R ²	0.0379	
Prob	0.00***	F	9.9477	

***significant at the 1% level **significant at the 5% level *significant at the 10% level

From the empirical regression of model 1, it can be seen that there is a significant negative correlation between the proportion of institutional investors and RANK, which means that H1a is established, that is, the higher the proportion of institutional investors' Improve the company's credit disclosure level. From the return of control variables, the return on net assets, the balance sheet, the nature of the company, earnings growth per share, whether the four major audits and corporate credit disclosure rating at 1% level, which is the return on net assets High, high gearing ratio, state-owned enterprises, low earnings per share growth, the four major audit companies, the higher the credit rating.

From the empirical regression of model 2, it can be seen that the proportion of institutional investors and the holdings of ES are negative, but not significant, indicating that the proportion of institutional investors is not affected by the surplus, indicating that H1b is not established.

To sum up, the overall shareholding ratio of institutional investors has a significant impact on information disclosure credit rating. The increase in institutional shareholding ratio can improve the company's information disclosure credit rating. At the same time, institutional investors also affect earnings smoothness. The increase in institutional shareholding ratio reduces the degree of earnings smoothness, which further improves information disclosure quality.

6. Research conclusion

6. Research conclusion This paper discusses the impact of institutional investors on the earnings information of listed companies from the theoretical and empirical perspectives. On the basis of the theoretical analysis, this paper chooses the listed companies of Shanghai and Shenzhen A shares as the research samples from 2012 to 2014, and studies the impact of the proportion of institutional investors' shareholding on earnings information quality of listed companies. The conclusion of this paper is as follows: the higher the proportion of institutional investors, the higher is the credit rating of information disclosure quality, and the lower is the earning smoothness degree. From the empirical results, there is a significant positive correlation between the proportion of institutional investors and the credit rating of listed companies, and the increase in the proportion of holders of institutions can improve the company's credit disclosure rating. At the same time, the proportion of institutional investors' shareholding is negatively related to earning smoothness. It is noteworthy that there are a variety of institutional investors diversified in the size, expertise and resources. Combined with different level of corporate governance of the company, it is worthy of further research about different type of institutional investors 'impact on earnings information quality. information quality.

information quality. With the growth of institutional investors, the institutional shareholders promote the improvement of earnings information quality through the participation of corporate governance, which will become the future unstoppable trend. Therefore, Chinese capital market can improve corporate governance by vigorously developing institutional investors. In view of the research results of this paper and the current situation of institutional investors in China, we put forward the following policy recommendations. Firstly, vigorously develop institutional investors to improve the company's governance level. With the rapid rise of capital markets, institutional investors continue to grow and develop. In the capital market they will also show more and more strong influence. The rise of institutional investors can avoid "free rider" phenomenon from individual investors and strengthen the level of corporate governance, but also to avoid individual investors because the shareholding ratio is too low, weak weaknesses. Secondly, China should develop positive institutional investors to improve the quality of corporate earnings information. earnings information.

The use of positive institutional investors will promote the development of capital markets. It can be seen from the research of this paper

that positive institutional investors have a positive effect on the quality of earnings information of listed companies.

References:

- 1. W Taylor. Can Big Owners Make a Big Difference?. Harvard Business Review,1990, (7) :70-82
- Velury Uma. The effect of Institutional Ownership on the Quality of Earnings. The Ph.D Dissertation University of South Carolina,1999.
 Sharma Vineeta D: Board of director characteristics, institutional
- ownership, and fraud:evidence from Australia. Auditing: a Journal of Practice & Theory, 2004, (2)
- Renneboog L. Ownership, managerial control and the governance of companies listed on the Brussels stock exchange. Journal of Banking and Finance, 2000, (24) :1959-1995
- 5. Rajgopal S, M Venkatachalam. The Role of Institutional Investors in Corporate Governance: An Empirical Investigation. Working Paper University of Washington, 1997, (4) :1-36
- 6. Mitra, Santanu, Cready. Institutional Stock Ownership, Accrual Management, and Information Environment. Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance, 2005, 20, (3) :257-286
- Dechow P., Sloan R., A. Sweeney. Causes and Consequences of Earnings Manipulation: An Analysis of Firms Subject to Enforcement Actions by the SEC[J]. Contemporary Accounting Research, 1996,13(1):1-36
- Porter M. E. Capital Disadvantage: America's Failing Capital Investment System[J]. Harvard Business Review, 1992,70(5):65-82
 Cheng C. S. A., Reitenga A. L. Characterisitcs of Institutional Investors
- Discretionary Accruals[R]. Working and SSRN Paper, http://ssrn.com/abstract=277717, 2001
- 10. Bushee B. J. The Influence of Institutional Investors on Myopic R&D Investment Behavior[J]. The Accounting Review, 1998,73(3):305-333 11. DeFond M., Jiambalvo J. Incidence and Circumstances of Accounting
- Errors[J]. The Accounting Review, 1991,66(3):643-655
 12. Bushee, B ., 2001 , "Do Institutional Investors Prefer Near-term Earnings over Long-run Value?", Contemporary Accounting Research 18
- 13. Bushman, Chen, E. Engel and A. Smith, 2000b, "The Sensitivity of Corporate Governance Systems to the Timeliness of Accounting Earnings", Working paper, University of hicago

- 14. Warfield, J. Wild, K. Wild., 1995, "Managerial Ownership, Accounting Choices and in Formativeness of Earnings", Journalof Accounting and Economics, (20), pp.61~91.
- 15. Ball, R., S. Kothari and A. Robin.,2000,"The Effect of International Institutional Factors on Properties of Accounting Earnings", Journal of Accounting and Economic, 29(1), pp.1~51. 16. Brickley J. Ownership Structure and Voting on Antitrade over
- Amendments. Journal of Financial Economics, 1998, (20) :267-297
- 17. Coffee J. Liquidity versus control the Institutional Investor as corporate monitor.
- 18. Columbia law Review, 1991, (1) :1277-1368
- 19. Gorton G M, Kahl Blocholder. Identity Equity Ownership Structure and Hostile
- 20. Takeover. Working Paper, 1999, 1-63
- 21. Jensen M C,W H Meckling. Theory of The Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Ownership Structure. Journal of Financial Economics, 1976, (3) :305-360
- 22. Jiambalvo J, S Rajgopal, M Venkatachalam. Institutional ownership and the extent to which stock prices reflect future earnings. Contemporary Accounting Research, 2002, (19) : 45-117
- 23. Kahneman D, Tversky A. Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision Risk. Journal of Financial Economics, 1979, (47) :263-291
- 24. Kooyul Jung Soo, Young Kwon. Ownership structure and earnings informativeness: Evidence from Korea. The International Journal of Accounting, 2002, (37) :301-325