# **ESJ** Manuscript Evaluation Form 2018

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review report. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper. Do not estimate the novelty or the potential impact of the paper.

You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 18/09/2018	Date Manuscript Review Submitted: /09/2018				
Manuscript Title:					
The Ethiopian National Intelligence and Security Service and Its Role in the Prevention and Countering of Terrorist Crimes: Some Disquiets at a Glimpse					
ESJ Manuscript Number:					

#### **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with an explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3

(a brief explanation is recommendable)

It is quite an enjoyable read, however, it would be nice to have some form of understanding in the title. The title talks about some worries at a glance regarding the security service's role in the prevention of terrorist crimes but fails to mention other items covered in the articles such as the rise of a unique entity with unchecked powers.

#### Suggested titles could be;

The Ethiopian National Intelligence and Security Service and the Prevention and Countering of Terrorist Crimes: Powers unchecked.

2. The	e abst	tract clea	arly presents objects, methods and results.	4
	-			

(An explanation is recommendable)

The abstracts clearly captures the main body of the article. However, the **Prime minister** should be

3. There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4	
(a brief explanation is recommendable)		
No grammatical errors identified as such but some words (jargons) used could common and ubiquitous day to day or academic language. Such words include abstract) which refers to having many flowers which detract from the original section.	le multiflorous (in the	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4	
(An explanation is recommendable)		
No methods is explicitly mentioned so secondary method it is.		
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4	
(An explanation is recommendable)		
(An explanation is recommendable) A minute number of conjunctions were missing, but it doesn't detract from the article.	e overall message of	
A minute number of conjunctions were missing, but it doesn't detract from the article.  6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the	e overall message of	
A minute number of conjunctions were missing, but it doesn't detract from the article.  6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the		
A minute number of conjunctions were missing, but it doesn't detract from the article.  6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5	
A minute number of conjunctions were missing, but it doesn't detract from the article.  6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.  (An explanation is recommendable)  This adequately flows from the introduction to the main body and the finding  7. The references are comprehensive and according to the APA	5	
A minute number of conjunctions were missing, but it doesn't detract from the article.  6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.  (An explanation is recommendable)	5	
A minute number of conjunctions were missing, but it doesn't detract from the article.  6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.  (An explanation is recommendable)  This adequately flows from the introduction to the main body and the finding  7. The references are comprehensive and according to the APA citation style.  (All the sources in the list of references are cited in the content and vice	5 s.	

## $\label{eq:overall Recommendation} \textbf{(mark an } X \textbf{ with your recommendation)}:$

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

### Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

• It is quite an enjoyable read. However, it would be nice to have some form of understanding in the title. The title talks about "Some disquiets (worries) at a glance" regarding the security service's role in the prevention of terrorist crimes but fails to mention other items covered in the articles such as the rise of a unique entity with unchecked powers.

### Suggested titles could be;

The Ethiopian National Intelligence and Security Service and the Prevention and Countering of Terrorist Crimes: Powers unchecked.

- No grammatical errors identified as such but some words (jargons) used could be swapped for common and ubiquitous day to day or academic language. Such words include **multiflorous** (in the abstract) which refers to having many flowers which detract from the original meaning of that section.
- A minute number of conjunctions were missing, but it doesn't detract from the overall message of the article. A proof-read will do the job.

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**





