# Phylogenetic Relationships Between and Within 11 Taxa of Genus *Vicia* from Algeria Based on Evidence from Isozymes and Physical Seed Properties

## Sakina Bechkri, Imane Medoukali, Douadi Khelifi,

*Douadi Khelifi,* Laboratoire de Génétique Biochimie et Biotechnologies Végétales. Faculté des sciences de la nature et de la vie. Université des Frères MENTOURI 25000 Constantine, Algérie

doi: 10.19044/esj.2017.v13n9p168 URL:http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/esj.2017.v13n9p168

### Abstract

Isozyme patterns for aspartate amino transferase, alcohol dehydrogenase and superoxide dismutase were evaluated in 60 accessions of eleven *Vicia* taxa occurring in Algeria. The three enzymatic systems revealed 25 morphs of different frequency encoded by 7 loci. Similarity between species and accessions was estimated by Euclidean distances based on presence or absence of bands. The UPGMA method was utilized for the groupings and dendrogram construction. The dendrogram presented 2 major groups, each one corresponding to a taxonomic level where accessions of the same species grouped together. Taxa-specific bands were identified. In parallel, 12 physical seed properties namely: length, width, thickness, arithmetic diameter, geometric diameter, sphericity, surface area, volume, square mean diameter, equivalent diameter, seed aspect ratio and 1000 seed weight were calculated. By cluster analyses based upon them, the material has been classified into 2 major groups with no correspondence with the current taxonomy. A Principal Component Analysis was undertaken to distinguish physical properties which contribute the most to accessions distinction. Mantel test was used to assess correlation between the three enzymatic systems studied one side and between enzymes polymorphism and physical seed properties another side.

Keywords: Algeria; isozymes; seed properties; Vicia

### Introduction

*Vicia* L. (Fabaceae) is a medium-sized genus comprising about 150 (Kupicha 1976) to 210 (Hanelt and Mettin 1989) species indicating problems

with species circumscription and ranking. 26 species occur in Algeria, according to the flora described by Quézel and Santa (1962). The infrageneric taxonomy also poses numerous continuously disputed problems, with several competing taxonomic treatments exisiting. A through evaluation of the genetic variability present within the genus is required if *Vicia* material is to be utilized efficiently in plant breeding programmes. Germplasm characterization, that can be performed by several approaches such as morphology, cytogenetics, biochemistry and geographical distribution, is an essential tool for plant breeding (Gonzalez and Shiffino-Wittman 1996). Allozyme polymorphism, is useful to examine genetic processes at every stage of the life cycle and to ascertain genetic diversity in plant species. Isozymes are good taxonomic characters for grouping vetch species into monophyletic sections and also provide additional diagnostic characters for distinguishing between species (Leht and Jaaska 2002). Literature data on isozymes for the genus show that some enzymatic systems allow species or species group characterization (Yamamoto and Plitmann 1980; Suso and Moreno 1986), determination of species relationships (Wolff 1980; Yamamoto 1986) as well as detection of hybrids and assessment of the degree of genetic recombination (Yamamoto 1979; Gates and Boulter 1980). The isozyme data described by Jaaska (1997) regarding monophyletic groups and their relationships are in close agreement with those based on the study of RAPDs and cpDNA RFLP by Potokina et al. (1999). Species groups revealed by isozymes by Leht and Jaaska (2002) were in a general agreement with traditionally recognized sections, contributing to the debated sectional placement of some species. Yamamoto and Plitmann (1980) studied isozymes in *Vicia* species without making any phylogenetic inferences. In another side, *Vicia* taxonomists have traditionnaly concentrated on floral and leaf morphology, paying little attention to seed characters. Inanelli (1964) dis discussed the need for accurate identification of seed lots due to the increasing agricultural use of vetches and he found that species could be recognized using certain seed characters. Morever, physical properties of vetches seeds are to be known for design and improve of relevant machines and facilities for handling, storing, harvesting and processing. The size and shape are important in designing of separating, sizing and granding machines (Taser et al. 2005). Various types of cleaning, grading and separation equipment are designed on the basis of the physical properties of grains or seeds. Wu et al. (1999) reported the importance of difference in size and density during separation of particles by segregating on gravity tables. Size, shape and density are important in the separation of seed from undesirable materials on oscillating chafers (Zewdu 2004; Scherer and Kutzbach 1978; Hauhouot-O"Hara et al. 2000). Review of literatures showed that there is not much information relating to physical properties of vetch grain from Algeria.

Our recent studies of phylogenetic relationships in the the genus *Vicia* by morphology and seed storage proteins gave conflicting results especially concerning *V. staiva* s.l. (Bechkri and Khelifi 2016). The present paper describes variation of three isozymes and twelve physical seed properties in a set of eleven Algerian taxa belonging to four traditional sections of the genus *Vicia* in order to study the intra and interspecific diversity, to improve the resolution of phylogenetic relationships and evaluate the usefulness of the two markers as diagnostic characters to discriminate vetch species and sections.

### Material and methods Plant material and taxa identification

Sixty accessions belonging to 11 *Vicia* L. taxa collected from their natural habitats in various bioclimatic conditions of Algeria are used in the current study (Figure 1). A Global Positioning Systems (GPS GARMIN eTrex® model 30) was used to collect latitude, longitude and altitude of sites investigated. The data on the vetch species and accessions investigated are given in Table 1. Individual plants were randomly collected in each sampling site.



Figure 1. Geographical origin of the 60 Algerian populations studied

Pods were then shelled and the dry seeds poured in to separate sealed paper bags at room temperature until their utilization. Taxonomic identification of accessions was verified by the morphology of plants grown from seeds in the greenhouse of the laboratory of "Génétique Biochimie et Biotechnologies Végétales" (N 36°20.602' E006°37.480' Alt. 569m) of Faculty of Biology in Constantine University (eastern Algeria), using the key provided by Quézel and Santa (1962). Taxonomic nomenclature at subgeneric and sectional levels follows Kupicha (1976) and Maxted (1993).

Table 1.	rasspe		II OI accessio	ns nivestigate	a	
Species/Subspecies	~ •	Date of collectio				Altitude
	Code	n	Province /Locality/Origin	Latitude	Longitude	(m)
	5	28 5 14	Guelma	N36°26 187'	E00/*1/.//2	339
	14	20.3.14	Guemma	100 20.107	E007°38.290	557
		1st.6.14	Annaba El bouni	N36°49.777'	1	28
	36				E005°08.903	
		23.5.14	Béjaia Affalou	N36°40.381'	'	1
	59	20 5 14	Lital	N126925 0921	E006°16.728	1.4.1
V sativa subsp	64	50.5.14	Jijei	1030 33.082		141
consobrina					E007°04.706	
(Pomel) Maire		1st.6.14	Skikda Azzaba	N36°43.532'	'	111
	65a		Tipaza El Beldj Chenoua	N36°37.667'	E002°21.150	345
		9.6.14	mountain		1	
	85	1 ot 6 14	Skillda Ain Charabar	N36°44.366'	E00/°14.1/6	52
	93	151.0.14	Skikua Alli Charchai	N36°48 699'	E005°41 679	25
	10	30.5.14	Jijel	10.077	1	23
	6				E006°37.485	
		22.5.14	Constantine Chaab ersas	N36°20.628'	'	563
	7	20 5 14	Mile Massaud Davidinian	NI26920 7421	E006°25.527	205
	10	30.5.14	Mila Messaoud Boudjilou	N30°29.743	E006°38 239	325
	10	27.5.14	Constantine Didouche Mourad	N36°28.409'	1	468
	17				E006°37.485	
		22.5.14	Constantine Chaab ersas	N36°20.628'	'	563
	20	2 ( 1 )		212/007 20 4	E005°12.172	0.66
V sating subsp	22	3.6.14	Setti Ain arnat	N36°07.394	E006040 967	866
obovata Gaudin	22	2.6.14	Oum El Bouaghi Sigus	N36°04 485'	'	822
	51				W000°36.02	
		6.6.14	Sidi Bel Abbes	N35°10.824'	6'	490
	32				E006°37.486	
	57	22.5.14	Constantine Chaab Ersas	N36°20.634'	W001912 72	562
	5/	6614	Tlemcen Ain fezza	N34°52 732'	6'	867
	72	0.011		N36°13.543'	E006°55.782	847
		28.5.14	Constantine Ain abid		•	
	80			N36°13.543'	E006°55.782	847
	70	28.5.14	Constantine Ain abid	NI2(014 01()	' E007802.045	757
	70	28 5 15	Guelma	N30°14.810	E007°03.045	151
	83	20.0.10		N35°17.632'	E005°49.035	683
		26.5.14	Batna Ain Touta		'	
V. sativa subsp.	19					
angustifolia (L.)		19514	Constanting Chash Ergas	N26º20 624	E006°37.486	562
Gaudili	8	16.3.14	Constantine Chaab Ersas	N35°11 517'	E005°40 673	467
	Ū	26.5.14	Biskra El Kantra	1000 11.017	1	107
	11				W001°26.61	
	<u> </u>	6.6.14	Tlemcen	N35°05.699'	2'	90
	13	06514	Biskra El Kantra Ain	N35°16.087'	E005°44.174	584
	15	26.5.14	Sknoun		E007°34 002	
	15	1st.6.14	Annaba	N36°49.980'	1 2007 34.092	24
V. sativa subsp.	33					
cordata (Will)					E006°53.079	
Batt.		29.5.14	Skikda El hadaik	N36°49.894'	'	26

Table 1. Passport information and taxonomic identification of accessions investigated

		35	1st 6 14	Annaha El	bouni	N36º40 777'	E007°38.290	28
		37	151.0.14	Ailiaba Ei	bouin	N36°28.361'	E007°21.280	223
			28.5.14	Guelma			1	
		38	10.5.14	Jijel		N36°49.348'	E005°56.706	14
		42		~ .		N36°13.543'	E006°55.782	847
		47	28.5.14	Constantine	Ain Abid		E006°37 177	
			22.5.14	Constantine	University	N36°20.387'	1	604
		71	30 5 14	Iiiel		N36°47 625'	E005°39.746 '	17
	V. lutea		00.0111			1100 17:020		1,
	subsp. vestita							
	(Boiss.)		28.5.14				E006°53.43	
	Rouy.	1	1 ot 6 14	Skikda Ram	dane Djamel	N36°45.977'	2' E007°14.17	42
		03	181.0.14	Skikda Ain Cherc	har	N36°44.366'	E007 14.17 6'	52
V. lutea	V. lutea	79						
L.	subsp. eu-lutea		1st.6.14				E007°53.60	
	Maire			El Tarf Ben M'h	idi	N36°46.402'	0'	11
		87	30.5.14	Jijel El Milia		N36°46.668'	E006°13.55 1'	28
		90	1st.6.14				E007°34.09	
				Annaba		N36°49.980'	2' F006°48.86	24
		3	2.6.14	Oum El Bouaghi	Sigus	N36°04.485'	2000 40.00 7'	822
		26	28 5 15	Guelma		N36°14 816'	E007°03.04	757
		20	20.3.13	Gueinia		100 14.010	E007°06.37	151
		27	2.6.14	Oum El Bouaghi		N35°51.459'	7' E007º05 75	887
		40	28.5.14	Guelma		N36°16.276'	1'	711
<i>V</i> .	<i>V</i> .							
<i>monant</i> ha Retz	monant ha							
	subsp.							
	calcarat a							
	(Desf.)		0.644			212 (00 1 0 50)	E004°41.89	
	Maire	45	3.6.14	Bordj Bou Areridj		N36°04.070'	9 <sup>.</sup> E006°55.78	923
		49	28.5.14	Constantine	Ain Abid	N36°13.543'	2'	847
		74	14.6.14	Tébessa		N35°15.936'	E007°30.30 6'	1078
						N35°17.632'	E005°49.03	683
		77	26.5.14	Batna	Ain Touta	N36°04.485'	5' E006°48.86	822
		29	2.6.14	Oum El Bouaghi	Sigus		7'	
		18	3.6.14	Bordi Bou Areridi	Ain taghrout	N36°07 741'	E005°03.36 4'	934
							E006°37.17	
		102		Constantine	University	N36°20.387'	7' E002°37.64	604
		43	4.6.14	Médéa	Oued Harbil	N36°13.633'	3'	464
	V							
	monant							
	ha ssp	46	3614	Bordi Bou Areridi	El Achir	N36°04 017'	E004°40.52	944
1	cincica		5.0.14	or of Dournering		1100 04.01/	5	2 T T

	(M.B.)						
	Maire						
						E007°20.95	
		91	14.6.14	Khenchla	N35°15.704'	7'	1222
				Constantine Hamma Bouziane	N36°26.391'	E006°33.28	425
		23	30.5.14	(Chaabet El Medhbouh)		2'	
<i>V</i> .							
narbon	-					E006°38.73	
ensis L.		34	27.4.14	Constantine Didouche Mourad	N36°29.216'	1'	434
						E007°05.75	
		41	28.5.14	Guelma	N36°16.276'	1'	711
					N36°30.023'	E006°40.05	443
		55	27.5.14	Constantine Didouche Mourad		1'	
						E006°37.17	
		81	22.5.14	Constantine University	N36°20.387'	7'	604
					N35°16.476'	W001°13.80	276
		56	6.6.14	Ain Temouchent		0'	
<i>V</i> .					N35°06.59'	W000°44.23	543
tenuifol	-					8'	
ia							
Roth.		89	6.6.14	Sidi Bel Abbes Sidi Khaled			
<i>V</i> .					N36°19.002'	E006°34.62	586
leucant	-					6'	
ha Biv.		100	10.6.14	Constantine INATAA			

#### Seed germination

Seed were germinated on Wattman paper in Petri dishes at 28°C, after mechanical scarification. Seedlings were transplanted to pots with garden soil, sand and compost in the greenhouse, where the plants were grown.

#### **Enzymes polymorphism**

Preliminary tests were made with eight enzymatic systems: aspartate amino transferase (AAT), alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH), esterase (EST), malate dehydrogenase (MDH), malic enzyme (ME), amylase (AMY), peroxidase (PRX) and superoxide dismutase (SOD). AAT (E.C 2.6.1.1), SOD (E.C 1.15.1.1) and ADH (E.C 1.1.1.1) were selected for further analysis due to good resolution, polymorphism and repeatability of results.

#### **Enzymes extraction**

Extractions were made from 10 mg of newly-opened, healthy, freshly-harvested leaflets, crushed, on ice, in 600  $\mu$ L of extracting solution (Jaaska 2005). The front line was monitored with bromophenol blue.

### Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (PAGE) and staining

Electrophoresis was undertaken in vertical polyacrylamide gel slabs in the anodal direction in an ice-refrigerated plexiglass apparatus by applying a pulsed current of 40 mA and 1200V per gel for about  $2\pm 3$  h until the marker dye, bromophenol blue, reached the gel end. After electrophoresis,

the gels were stained for isoenzymes by applying standard histochemical methods (Wendel and Weeden 1989).

#### Physical seeds properties

	• •	Notation	$\frown$
	<b>D</b> <sub>a</sub> : arithmetic mean diameter	<b>D</b> <sub>ge</sub> : geometric mean diame	ter
	$\mathbf{D}_{sq}$ : square mean diameter	$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{e}}$ : equivalent diameter	
	L: length of seed, mm	$\mathbf{m}_{1000}$ : thousand seed mass,	g
	<b>S</b> : surface area, $mm^2$	<b>T:</b> thickness of seed, r	nm
	<b>V</b> : unit volume, $mm^3$	W: width of seed, m	n
	$\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ : sphericity of seed	<b>R</b> <sub>as</sub> : seed aspect ratio	с, с
$\langle \rangle$		_	

Seeds were cleaned manually. Immature and broken seeds were removed. The one thousand seed mass was determined by means of an electronic balance (Denver instrument company AA-250) reading to 0.0001 g. To determine the average size of the seed, 10 seeds were randomly picked and their three linear dimensions namely, length L, width W and thickness T were measured using a digital caliper 0-150mm (6") reading to 0.01 mm. The average diameter of grain was calculated using the arithmetic mean and geometric mean of the three axial dimensions by the following relationships (Mohsenin 1970):

Da = (L + W + T)/3 $Dg = (LWT)^{1/3}$ 

The sphericity of seeds was calculated by using the following relationship (Mohsenin, 1970):

 $\Phi = (LWT)^{1/3}$ 

The surface area of seeds was found by analogy with a sphere of same geometric mean diameter using the following expression cited by Olajide and Ade-Omowaye (1999):

 $S = \pi . Dg^2$ 

The seed volume was calculated according to Subukola and Onwuka (2011) as follow:

 $V = (\pi . LWT)/6$ 

The square mean and equivalent diameters were determined using the formulae of Asoegwu et al. (2006):  $Dsq = ((LW+WT+LT)/3)^{1/2}$   $D_e = (Da+Dg+Dsq)/3$ 

Finally, the seed aspect ratio was determined by the equation used by Seifi and Alimardani (2010):

Ras = W/L

#### **Data analyses**

The gels were scanned using imagescannIII. Bands were designated by capital letters followed by numbers, indicating the electrophoretic mobility in a scale 0-100. The isoenzyme data matrix was made using allozymes as binary absence/presence characters. Euclidean distances and UPGMA (Unweighted Pair-Group Method using Arithmetic Averages) clustering method were used to estimate isoenzymatic variation among the taxa and accessions. The relationships among the studied taxa were demonstrated as a dendrogram provided by statistical programs (STATISTICA version 6.1 program). The same method was used to construct the dendrogram of physical seed properties. To clarify relationships between the twelve physical seed properties studied and to identify which properties contribute the most in accessions separation, a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was carried out. To display a possible correlation between the three enzymatic systems one side and between the enzymatic data and seed properties results another side, a Mantel test (Mantel 1967) based on Pearson's correlation was used (XLSTAT Pearson edition, version 2014.5.03).

#### Results

Data on the isoenzyme variation among the *Vicia* species are compiled in Table 2 where electromorphs are given in the order of their decreasing occurrence among the accessions analysed. In total, the three enzymatic systems revealed 25 morphs of different frequency encoded by 7 loci and showed variability within and between species.

<b>Table 2.</b> Polymorphism of Aspartate AminoTransferase (AAT), SuperOxide Dismutase
(SOD) and Alcohol DeHydrogenase (ADH) resolved from the leaflets of the Vicia taxa
studied

Taxon	Ν	AAT-B	AAT-	SOD-C	SOD-	SOD-A	ADH	ADH A
			Α		В		В	
V. sativa susbsp.	13	33.8	43.6	34.5-37.5-39.5-	46.5	-	25.8-	31.6-39.2
obovata				41			29.6-	
V. sativa susbsp.	11	33.8	43.6-	34.5-37.5	46.5	-	25.8-	39.2
cordata			45.2				29.6-	
V. sativa susbsp.	8	33.8	43.6	34.5-37.5	46.5	-	25.8-	39.2
consobrina							29.6-	
V. sativa susbsp.	1	33.8	43.6	34.5-37.5	46.5	-	25.8-	39.2
angustifolia							29.6-	
V. lutea subsp. eu-	4	33.8	43.6	41	44.6	52.3	25.8-	37.2-44.2
lutea								
V. lutea subsp. vestita	1	33.8	45.2	41	44.6	52.3	25.8-	37.2-44.2
V. monantha subsp.	12	27.6-31-	43.6	34.5-41	44.6	-	25.8-	37.2
calcarata								
V. monantha subsp.	2	27.6-33.8-	43.6	41-41.6r	44.6	52.3-58r	25.8	33.5r-37.2-
cinerea		38.2r						44.2
V. narbonensis	5	33.8	43.6	37.5	44.6	50.3	25.8-	37.2-44.2

V. tenuifolia		2			31		4	5.2			34.	.5		44.6		52	2.3		25.8-	37.2-44.2
V. leucantha		1			27.	6	43	3.6			37.	.5		44.6		49	.2r		25.8-	37.2-43r
		N: number of a							accessions analysed					r: rare morph						
	38	34	23	87	43	20		64	56	5	17	14	85	2	6 5	1 27	80	0 59	77	
	8																			
	E														-					
	2									6									- 444	

**Figure 2.** Electrophoretic banding pattern generated by PAGE of the enzymatic systems studied of some *Vicia* accessions (a) ADH (b) SOD (c) AAT

#### Superoxide dismutase (SOD EC. 1.15.1.1)

SOD zymogramms of some samples are showin in Figure 2b. In the present results, 11 morphs were obtained with 4 morphs for SOD-A, 2 for SOD-B and 5 for SOD-C. Each pattern contains from 2 to 3 bands. The band S34.5 is the most common as it appears in 42 profiles, followed by the band S37.5 observed in 35 profiles and bands S44.6, S46.5 observed each in 27 patterns. Three rare morphs are observed: S41.6 and S58 are specific of sample 46, when the band S49.2 is specific of sample 100. The band S50.3 is specific of 5 samples (23, 34, 41, 55, 81). The band S39.5 is found in 6 patterns (7, 10, 20, 22, 51, 57). Accessions 5, 14, 36, 59, 64, 65, 85, 6, 17, 32, 72, 80, 70 83 19 8 11, 15, 33, 35, 37, 38, 42, 47, 71 are characterized by a unique profile which contains the three following bands: S34.5, S37.5, S46.5. The following samples are also characterized by a unique pattern: 36-93, 7-10, 20-22-51-57, 1-63-79-87-90-102, 3-26-27-40-45-49-74-77-29-18-43, 23-34-41-55-81, 56-89. Samples 46 and 100 have a unique profile each.

#### Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH E.C 1.1.1.1)

ADH zymogramms are shown in Figure 2a. 8 morphs were obtained for this enzymatic system. Each pattern contains from 2 to 3 bands. The band AD25.8 is the most common as it appears in 47 profiles, followed by the band AD29.6 observed in 31 profiles, band AD37.2 observed in 27 patterns and the band 39.2 observed in 25 profiles. Two rare morphs are observed: AD33.5 is specific of sample 46, when the band AD43 is specific of sample 100. The band S50.3 is specific of 5 samples (23, 34, 41, 55, 81). The band AD31.6 is found in 4 patterns (20, 22, 51, 57). Accessions 6, 8, 11, 13, 14, 17, 36, 64, 85, 93 are characterized by a unique profile which contains the two following bands: AD29.6 and AD39.2. The following samples are also characterized by a unique pattern: 1-63-79-87-90, 7-10, 19-32-33-35-37-38-42-47-59-65-70-71-72-80-83, 20-22-51-57, 3-18-26-27-29-40-43-45-49-74-77-91-102, 23-34-41-55-56-81-89. The sample 46 with bands AD33.5, AD37.2, AD44.2 and the sample 100 with bands AD25.8, AD37.2, AD43 have a unique profile each.

Aspartate Amino Transferase (AAT E.C 2.6.1.1). The figure 2c shows AAT zymogramms of some samples. In the present results, 6 morphs were obtained with 2 morphs for AAT-A and 4 morphs for AAT-B. Each pattern contains 2 bands except for samples 11, 14, 36, 64, 85 and 93 where no bands have been observed for the AAT system. The band A43.6 is the most common as it appears in 47 profiles, followed by the band A33.8 observed in 38 profiles. One rare morph (A38.2) is specific of sample 46. The band A27.6 is observed in 4 samples (3, 26, 91, 100). The band A45.2 is specific of 6 samples (1, 8, 13, 33, 56, 89). Accessions 5-59-65-6-7-10-17-19-20-22-32-51-57-72-80-70-83-35-37-38-42-47-71-79-81-87-90-23-34-55-63-15-41 are characterized by a unique profile which contains the two following bands: A33.8 and A43.6. The following samples are also characterized by a unique pattern: 1-8-13-33, 3-26-91-100, 18-27-29-40-43-45-49-74-77-102, 56-89. Sample 46 have a unique profile.

#### Cluster analysis based on isozyms data

Dendrogram generated from the three enzymatic systems is shown in figure 3. At the distance of 3.08, the dendrogram shows two major clusters. The first one is divided into two subclusters. Ia (d=2.00) comprises samples 57, 51, 22, 20, 10 and 7. Ib comprises two groups. The first one (Ib1) contains samples 93, 36, 85, 64, 14 and 11. The second one (Ib2) is composed of samples 33, 13 and 8 linked to accessions 83, 80, 72, 71, 70, 65, 59, 47, 42, 38, 37, 35, 32, 19, 17 and 6 also connected to samples 5 and 15. The cluster II (d=3.05) is divided into two subclusters. The accession 46 beign it self the first subcluster (IIa). IIb can further be divided into two groups where sample 100 forms the first group (IIb1). IIb2 comprises a first group in which samples 56 and 89 are linked to accession 102 one side and samples 77, 74, 49, 45, 43 40, 29, 27, 18 and aceesions 26 and 3 another side. A second group is composed of samples 81, 55, 41, 34 and 23 linked to the sample 91 and clustered to accessions 90, 87, 79, 63 one side and sample 1 another side. The higher distance (d=3.74) is observed between the sample 46 and the following accessions: 19, 20, 22, 32, 33, 35, 37, 38, 47, 51, 57, 59, 65, 70, 71, 72, 80 and 83.



Figure 3. Dendrogram generated using UPGMA cluster analysis and Euclidean distances based on three enzymatic systems diversity of 60 *Vicia* accessions

# Correlation between enzymes patterns of the three enzymatic systems studied

Correlation between enzymatic systems taken two by two was carried out with Mantel test based on Pearson's correlation. The p-value was calculated from the distribution of r(AB) using 10000 permutations. Correlation between SOD (matrix B) and ADH (matrix C) gives a value of r(AB) =0.7075, between SOD and AAT (matrix A), r=01867 and between ADH and AAT, r=0.2354. This test shows a strong correlation between each couple of enzymatic systems, since the calculated p-values (< 0,0001) are below the significance level of alpha (0.05 = 5%). The correlation between the three systems gives a vlaue of r(AB.C) =0.6950 showing also a strong correlation between the three systems studied since the calculated p-value (< 0,0001) is below the significance level of alpha (0.05 = 5%).

#### **Physical seed properties**

The physical properties of vetch, along with means and standard deviations are presented in Table 3.

Samp	L	W	Т	Da	Dg	<b>(%)</b>	S	V	Dsq	De	Ras	$m_{1000}$
le	(mm	(mm	(mm				$(mm^2)$	$(mm^3)$				(g)
	)	)	)									
1	5,41	5,59	3,89	4,96	4,88	90,25	75,63	62,83	4,93	4,92	1,03	
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	
	0,24	0,91	0,66	0,50	0,52	8,723	16,68	21,52	0,51	0,51	0,15	90,33
	94	73	94	98	04	6	30	46	36	42	58	±
												7.03

Table 3. Means and standard deviations of physical seed properties of accessions studied

3	5,49	4,53	4,44	4,82	4,79	87,33	72,11	57,73	4,80	4,80	0,83	78,75
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	0,25 97	0,55	0,20	0,33	0,21	3,931	6,388 1	1,121	0,20	0,20	0,11	2,443
	)/		10	04	05	,	1	0	07	00	17	2
5	4,37	3,84	4,08	4,07	3,99	92,02	50,55	34,20	4,03	4,03	1,08	25,46
	±	$\pm$ 0.47	±	± 0.27	±	± 7.000	± 0.505	± 0.624	± 0.27	±	±	± 4.084
	81	55	16	72	48	7,990	9,393	9,624	97	0,38	0,09	4,084
	01	00	10			U	Ũ	Ũ	2.	00	01	-
6	6,23	5,49	4,30	5,34	5,26	84,63	87,11	76,55	5,30	5,30	0,88	104,8
	032	$^{\pm}$ 0.49	$^{\pm}_{045}$	0.17	$^{\pm}$ 0.16	$^{\pm}4458$	5607	± 7 393	$^{\pm}$ 0.16	$^{\pm}$ 0.16	0.07	$42^{\pm}$
	73	63	80	03	94	6	3	4	72	71	67	7
7	4,08	4,17	3,35	3,87	3,84	94,36	46,52	29,93	3,86	3,86	1,29	41,06
	0,23	0,27	0,23	0,18	0,18	4,484	4,403	4,169	0,18	0,18	0.07	1,260
	45	21	01	53	53	7	0	0	52	52	04	2
0	2 5 5	2.14	2.07	2 25	2.24	04.28	25.22	10.77	2.25	2.25	0.07	24.06
0	3,35 ±	3,44 ±	3,07 ±	3,35 ±	3,34 ±	94,38 ±	55,25 ±	19,77 ±	3,35 ±	3,35 ±	0,97 ±	24,90 ±
	0,25	0,22	0,26	0,21	0,21	3,289	4,474	3,754	0,21	0,21	0,06	1,563
	07	92	52	01	33	8	6	2	15	16	25	1
10	4,70	5,31	3,94	4,65	4,61	98,13	66,86	11,14	4,63	4,63	1,13	67,27
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	0,25	0,48	0,26	0,23	0,23	2,768	6,735	1,122	0,23	0,23	0,10	4,213
	05	96	57	75	51	3	0	5	60	60	15	4
11	3,71	3,70	3,46	3,62	3,61	97,52	41,22		3,61	3,61	1,00	33,84
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	$6,87\pm$	±	±	±	±
	0,42	0,49 68	0,50	28	0,36	5,532 7	8,103	1,350	0,36	0,36	0,08	2,322
	00	00	02	20	10		-	U	.,	20		Ũ
13	3,60	3,58	3,15	3,44	3,44	95,40	37,11	21,32	3,44	3,44	0,99	28,41
	± 0.13	$\pm$ 0.14	$^{\pm}$ 0.12	± 0.11	± 0.11	$\pm 21/18$	$\pm 2.418$	$^{\pm}$ 2 103	± 0.11	± 0.11	± 0.03	± 1 560
	23	64	30	13	08	0	4	9	10	10	39	6
14	3,25	3,19	3,09	3,18	3,17	97,71	31,70	16,83	3,18	3,18	0,98	25,75
	0,21	0,28	0,11	0,14	0,14	3,696	2,906	2,283	0,14	0,14	0,07	1,469
	14	04	04	96	74	1	7	3	85	85	58	5
15	3 44	3.28	2 94	3 22	3.21	93 44	32 39		3.21	3.21	0.95	21.63
10	±	±	±.	±	±	±	±	$5,40\pm$	±	±	±	±
	0,18	0,21	0,21	0,16	0,16	3,407	3,348	0,558	0,16	0,16	0,05	1,239
	60	85	75	39	43	5	5	1	41	40	50	5
17	5,18	5,08	3,90	4,72	4,68	90,44	68,77	53,67	4,70	4,70	0,98	79,36
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	0,24	0,26	0,15	0,12	0,11	2,928	3,497	4,091	0,12	0,12	0,07	2,025
	07	07	50	57	71	5		2	10	17	-0	-
18	5,04	4,73	3,77	4,51	4,47	88,67	63,40	48,23	4,49	4,49	0,94	58,03
	$^{\pm}_{046}$	$^{\pm}$ 0.56	$^{\pm}$ 0.60	$^{\pm}_{048}$	$^{\pm}_{0.50}$	± 5 186	± 13.65	± 15.05	$ \begin{array}{c} \pm \\ 0.49 \end{array} $	0.49	± 0.08	$\frac{\pm}{5841}$
	82	16	29	24	00	9	31	84	05	09	17	7
19	2,11 ±	2,15 ±	2,00 ±	2,09 ±	2,08 ±	98,83 ±	13,90 ±	$5,02\pm$ 2,225	2,08 ±	2,08 ±	1,02 ±	7,06± 0.427
1	. –		. –		. –	-			. –	. –		~,

	0,36 30	0,36 28	0,27 86	0,31 80	0,31 67	4,234 7	4,180 0	8	0,31 73	0,31 73	0,08 84	9
20	$4,59 \pm 0,48 = 65$	4,84 ± 0,82 15	3,64 ± 0,31 66	$4,35 \pm 0,40 73$	$4,31 \pm 0,38 52$	94,15 $\pm$ 7,058 6	$58,65 \pm 10,80 78$	$42,70 \pm 12,15 \\ 85$	4,33 ± 0,39 64	4,33 ± 0,39 61	$1,06 \pm 0,16 \\ 82$	49,56 ± 1,910 6
22	$5,06 \pm 0,65 - 63$	5,17 $\pm$ 0,48 30	$4,06 \pm 0,40 22$	4,76 ± 0,38 17	$\begin{array}{c} 4,72 \\ \pm \\ 0,37 \\ 52 \end{array}$	93,97 ± 5,434 8	70,50 $\pm$ 11,04 45	56,12 ± 13,01 91	4,74 $\pm$ 0,37 83	$4,74 \pm 0,37 \\ 83$	$1,03 \pm 0,13 94$	60,33 ± 5,040 7
23	7,14 $\pm$ 0,25 16	6,12 ± 0,64 31	6,57 ± 0,31 42	6,61 ± 0,33 08	6,59 ± 0,33 70	92,21 ± 2,342 2	136,7 $0\pm$ 14,06 86	150,8 $2\pm$ 23,41 44	6,60 ± 0,33 38	6,60 ± 0,33 39	0,86 ± 0,07 24	189.2 6± 9,698 9
26	$4,78 \pm 0,21 \ 09$	$4,57 \pm 0,25 \ 33$	3,98 ± 0,22 93	4,44 ± 0,16 12	4,42 ± 0,16 27	92,66 ± 1,399 9	61,58 ± 4,500 3	$45,52 \pm 4,962 \\ 0$	4,43 ± 0,16 18	$4,43 \pm 0,16 \\ 19$	$0,96 \pm 0,05 \\ 81$	60,71 ± 1,758 1
27	$5,23 \pm 0,17 - 63$	$4,84 \pm 0,44 90$	4,26 ± 0,36 81	$4,78 \pm 0,21 \\ 89$	$4,75 \pm 0,22 50$	90,79 $\pm$ 2,852 2	70,95 ± 6,778 2	56,54 ± 8,136 6	4,77 ± 0,22 16	4,76 ± 0,22 17	$0,92 \pm 0,07 = 62$	68,19 ± 5,353 1
29	$5,42 \pm 0,26 29$	$4,72 \pm 0,41 \\ 19$	$4,11 \pm 0,57 32$	4,75 ± 0,20 13	$4,70 \pm 0,21 55$	86,78 ± 3,700 3	69,58 ± 6,337 1	54,73 ± 7,434 3	$4,73 \pm 0,20 - 67$	4,73 ± 0,20 73	$0,87 \pm 0,06 = 60$	72,20 ± 5,451 5
32	$4,39 \pm 0,16 50$	$4,88 \pm 0,27 \ 04$	$3,80 \pm 0,29 = 30$	$4,36 \pm 0,19 26$	4,33 ± 0,19 71	$98,56 \pm 2,601 \ 3$	59,03 $\pm$ 5,389 0	42,76 $\pm$ 5,871 4	$4,34 \pm 0,19 + 45$	$4,34 \pm 0,19 47$	$1,11 \\ \pm \\ 0,05 \\ 80$	63,21 $\pm$ 2,478 1
33	$3,90 \pm 0,18 47$	3,87 ± 0,19 28	3,46 ± 0,21 37	$3,74 \pm 0,17 - 64$	$3,74 \pm 0,17 79$	95,88 ± 2,016 6	43,98 ± 4,147 5	27,51 ± 3,854 6	$3,74 \pm 0,17 71$	$3,74 \pm 0,17 71$	$0,99 \pm 0,04 + 1$	$36,32 \pm 2,089 - 6$
34	$6,67 \\ \pm \\ 0,65 \\ 47$	$5,85 \pm 0,63 70$	$6,05 \pm 0,61 - 69$	$6,19 \\ \pm 0,39 \\ 15$	$6,16 \\ \pm \\ 0,37 \\ 70$	92,76 $\pm$ 4,842 6	$     \begin{array}{r}       119,5 \\       7\pm \\       14,26 \\       90     \end{array} $	123,5 $4\pm$ 21,54 67	6,17 $\pm$ 0,38 45	6,17 $\pm$ 0,38 42	$0,89 \\ \pm \\ 0,13 \\ 52$	$     \begin{array}{r}       177,4\\       0\pm\\       10,29\\       07     \end{array} $
35	$2,91 \pm 0,17 59$	$2,92 \pm 0,34 \\ 99$	$2,45 \pm 0,31 \\ 12$	$2,76 \pm 0,19 77$	$2,74 \pm 0,20 \ 03$	94,44 ± 5,529 0	23,75 $\pm$ 3,551 7	$10,96 \pm 2,524 1$	$2,75 \pm 0,19 \\ 80$	$2,75 \pm 0,19 \\ 85$	$1,00 \pm 0,10 22$	19,21 $\pm$ 2,306 1
36	$3,05 \pm 0,14 97$	$3,12 \pm 0,15 97$	3,01 $\pm$ 0,15 13	$3,06 \pm 0,11 \ 08$	3,07 $\pm$ 0,10 88	100,5 $3\pm$ 3,536 5	19,27 $\pm$ 0,683 5	15,04 $\pm$ 1,632 6	$3,06 \pm 0,11 \\ 11$	$3,06 \pm 0,10 96$	$1,02 \pm 0,07 51$	22,06 $\pm$ 0,639 8
37	$3,56 \pm 0,21 96$	$3,54 \pm 0,29 57$	$3,42 \\ \pm \\ 0,32 \\ 61$	$3,51 \\ \pm 0,20 \\ 33$	$3,50 \pm 0,20 \\ 84$	$98,53 \pm 4,858 1$	$38,65 \\ \pm \\ 4,618 \\ 7$	$22,70 \pm 4,080 \ 3$	$3,51 \\ \pm \\ 0,20 \\ 57$	$3,51 \\ \pm 0,20 \\ 58$	$1,00 \pm 0,07 49$	$26,73 \pm 1,147 3$

38	2.95	2.85	2.66	2.82	2.82	95.38	25.15	12.03	2.82	2.82	0.97	16,94
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	33	70	0,35	42	94	3,073 7	8	0	70	69	93	4
40	4,76	4,48	4,20	4,48	4,45	94,37	62,39	46,50	4,46	4,46	0,95	61,13
	0,48	0,55	0,39	0,22	0,22	9,674	6,353	7,256	0,22	0,22	0,17	6,027
	55	01	70	55	18	9	1	0	37	36	13	2
41	5,46 ±	5,46 ±	5,09 ±	5,33 ±	5,32 ±	97,88 ±	89,50 ±	80,22 ±	5,33 ±	5,33 ±	1,00 ±	113,1 0±
	0,57 25	0,44	0,49	0,40 78	0,40 80	5,163	13,20	17,16 24	0,40 79	0,40 79	0,06 89	1,581
10	23	1.00	00	1.00	00		50.00	21	1.00	1.00	1.01	10.07
42	4,26 ±	4,28 ±	3,74 ±	4,09 ±	4,08 ±	96,02 ±	52,39 ±	35,74 ±	4,09 ±	4,09 ±	1,01 ±	48,97 ±
	0,27	0,31	0,12	0,17	0,16	3,668	4,316	4,405	0,17	0,17	0,06	0,945
	51	57	05	05	05	-	-	-	24	24	71	2
43	6,27 ±	5,93 ±	5,06 ±	5,75 ±	5,72 ±	91,20 ±	103,6 8±	100,3 4±	5,74 ±	5,74 ±	0,94 ±	132,4 4±
	0,46 60	0,75 36	0,59	0,53	0,53	3,334	18,24 47	25,02 39	0,53 17	0,53 17	0,07 16	8,532 4
	00	50	05	02	51	2	+/	57	17	17	10	-
45	3,81 ±	3,70 ±	3,42 ±	3,64 ±	3,64 ±	95,60 ±	41,78 ±	25,58 ±	3,64 ±	3,64 ±	0,97 ±	36,15 ±
	0,30	0,28	0,28	0,27	0,27	1,987	6,217	5,680 8	0,27	0,27	0,03	1,787 7
	11	08	45	10	20	5	5	0	15	15	90	,
46	5,27 ±	5,80 $\pm$	3,57 ±	4,88 ±	4,78 ±	90,74 ±	71,95 ±	57,68 ±	4,83 ±	4,83 ±	1,10 ±	60,82 ±
	0,41	0,43	0,23	0,33	0,31	3,416	9,152	10,49	0,32	0,32	0,05	1,158
	07	15	29	22	05	7	0	19	39	54	10	3
47	3,88 ±	3,70 ±	3,38 ±	3,65 ±	3,65 ±	93,98 ±	41,85 ±	25,54 ±	3,65 ±	3,65 ±	0,95 ±	31,04 ±
	0,20	0,17 67	0,22	0,18	0,18	1,612	4,225	3,865	0,18	0,18	0,03	3,140
	05	07	02	54	41	0	1	0	57	57	12	0
49	4,71 ±	4,13 ±	3,84 ±	4,23 ±	4,20 ±	89,41 ±	55,65 ±	39,20 ±	4,21 ±	4,21 ±	$0,88$ $\pm$	55,97 ±
	0,36 72	0,39 42	0,26	0,23	0,23	4,867	6,099 6	6,394 0	2,28 97	0,23	0,10 88	3,568
		2.00	30	2.10	1,		26.60	20.01	2.16		1.02	-
51	3,92 ±	3,99 ±	2,56 ±	3,49 ±	3,42 ±	87,34 ±	36,68 ±	20,91 ±	3,46 ±	3,46 ±	1,02 ±	25,28 ±
	0,17 94	0,33 20	0,15	0,11 40	0,10	4,135 4	2,169 1	1,869 1	0,10 74	0,08	0,09 26	0,421
		20	55		5.10				- 10	5.40	20	-
55	5,89 ±	5,38 ±	5,25 ±	5,50 ±	5,48 ±	93,19 ±	94,68 ±	87,33 ±	5,49 ±	5,49 ±	0,91 ±	111,5 5±
	0,50 13	0,96 55	0,36 86	0,42 48	0,42 17	4,543 8	14,70 06	20,51 39	0,42 28	0,42 30	0,14 53	6,623 5
57	4.46	5 10	2.50	4 4 1	4.24	00.00	50.54	42.51	4.20	4.20	1 10	(1.04
56	4,46 ±	5,19 ±	3,58 ±	4,41 ±	4,34 ±	98,28 ±	59,56 ±	43,51 ±	4,38 ±	4,38 ±	1,18 ±	61,94 ±
	0,55 43	0,61 30	0,35 39	0,31 50	0,31 33	9,170 2	8,326 9	8,855 0	0,31 37	0,31 38	0,20 27	2,490 8
57	4.02	5 20	4.10	170	171	06.42	70.94	56.22	176	176	1.09	80.67
51	+,70	5,29	4,10	+,/0	+,/4	20,43	70,04	50,55	4,70	+,/0	1,00	00,07

	± 0,39 23	$\begin{array}{c} \pm\\0,\!43\\64\end{array}$	$\substack{\substack{\pm\\0,33\\04}}$	± 0,27 91	± 0,27 72	± 5,269 3	± 8,390 5	$\begin{array}{c} \pm\\ 10,14\\ 28 \end{array}$	± 0,27 79	± 0,27 79	± 0,11 20	± 5,025 1
59	3,78	3,74	3,31	3,61	3,60	95,22	40,91	24,74	3,61	3,61	0,99	36,78
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	0,19	0,23	0,31	0,22	0,23	2,332	5,226	4,661	0,23	0,23	0,01	2,057
	21	50	38	94	30	0	/	9	15	15	49	0
63	5,33	5,26	4,18	4,92	4,89	92,11	75,37	61,91	4,91	4,91	0,99	82,05
	±	±	±	$\pm$	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	± 2.071
	0,55	0,42	52	0,34 62	0,32 36	3,512 0	10,24 70	12,94 99	0,33 58	0,33 52	0,03 39	3,971
						-					• /	
64	3,32	3,36	3,18	3,29	3,28	98,94	34,02	18,80	3,28	3,28	1,01	25,62
	$^{\pm}_{024}$	± 035	$020^{\pm}$	$^{\pm}_{024}$	$0.23^{\pm}$	2020	± 5 160	$^{\pm}4463$	$^{\pm}_{024}$	$^{\pm}_{024}$	$^{\pm}$ 0.06	0.776
	18	45	13	22	89	2,020	4	8	05	05	33	2
<u> </u>	2.20	2.20	2.7.6	2.00	2.04	02.12	20.62	15.00	2.07	2.07	0.07	22.04
65	3,29 ±	3,20 ±	2,76 ±	3,08 ±	3,06 ±	93,12 ±	29,63 ±	15,28 ±	3,07 ±	3,07 ±	0,97 ±	22,94 ±
	0,25	0,25	0,42	0,21	0,22	4,480	4,466	3,467	0,22	0,22	0,09	0,482
	17	41	29	73	98	1	7	5	26	30	01	8
70	4,84	4,77	4,10	4,57	4,55	94,17	65,13	49,58	4,56	4,56	0,99	68,26
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	0,35	10	0,24 56	0,23 46	28	3,139 7	0,425 1	7,385 7	0,22 87	0,22 86	0,08	1,852
	70	10	50	40	20	,	1	/	07	00	02	1
71	3,41	3,34	3,21	3,32	3,32	97,19	34,70	19,36	3,32	3,32	0,98	26,83
	$^{\pm}$ 0.23	$^{\pm}_{0.27}$	$^{\pm}_{024}$	$^{\pm}_{024}$	$^{\pm}_{024}$	± 1 365	± 5.051	$\pm 4172$	$^{\pm}_{024}$	$^{\pm}_{024}$	$^{\pm}_{0.04}$	± 1 925
	97	33	60	40	44	3	0	4	42	42	01	6
72	4.41	1 12	3 71	4,18	4.16	94 47	54 71	38.40	4 17	4 17	1.00	51.01
	±.	±	±	0,34	±	±	±	±	±	±	1,00 ±	±
	0,36	0,38	0,35	56	0,34	1,873	8,863	9,120	0,34	0,34	0,02	1,938
	88	54	95		56	8	0	9	55	56	88	7
74	4,65	4,53	3,94	4,37	4,36	93,98	27,38	44,06	4,37	4,37	0,98	54,47
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	26	0,41	0,33	0,35	0,35	2,740	2,207	9,548	0,35	0,35	0,07	10,15
	20	15	05	50	15	5	0	5	55	51	12	50
77	4,63	4,68	3,92	4,41	4,39	94,87	60,86 +	44,96	4,40	4,40	1,01	59,82
	0,32	0,42	0,43	0,32	0,33	3,655	8,564	8,853	0,33	0,33	0,05	1,654
	61	05	20	88	21	6	2	3	02	03	79	7
79	5,55	5,86	4,32	5,24	5,19	93,63	85,05	74,20	5,22	5,22	1,06	108,7
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	8±
	0,41	0,59	0,33	0,35	0,34	4,279	11,52	15,26	0,35	0,35	0,09	5,484
	21	29	15	00	19	5	70	1/	51	51	30	9
80	5,49	5,37	4,43	5,10	5,06	92,41	80,62	68,12	5,08	5,08	0,98	86,05
	$0.25^{\pm}$	$0.38^{\pm}$	$^{\pm}_{0.28}$	$^{\pm}_{0.12}$	$^{\pm}_{0.12}$	$^{\pm}_{4.000}$	± 3.806	± 4.793	$^{\pm}_{0.12}$	$^{\pm}_{0.12}$	± 0.09	± 6.181
	95	16	32	03	03	5	1	2	01	01	87	4
83	3 00	4 17	3 5/	3 00	3.88	97.25	47 54	30.98	3 80	3 80	1.04	42.10
05	±	±,17	±	5,70 ±	±	±	±,,54	±	±	±	1,04 ±	±

1	0.17	0.51	0.01	0.05	0.04	100	5.050	F ( 1 1	0.04	0.04	0.10	2 202
	0,17	0,51	0,31	0,25	0,24	4,967	5,870	5,641	0,24	0,24	0,12	2,393
	37	18	44	09	42	0	4	9	66	71	53	3
87	5,13	5,03	3,97	4,71	4,67	91,15	69,00	54,32	4,07	4,48	0,98	84,12
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	0.38	0.30	0.43	0.34	0.35	1.812	10.65	12.77	0.27	0.32	0.04	3.886
	18	96	52	60	51	6	34	33	54	51	70	2
						-						_
89	4,29	4,48	3,36	4,04	4,01	93,59	50,56	33,97	4,02	4,02	1,05	48,07
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	0.31	0.37	0.19	0.24	0.23	3.031	5.954	5.973	0.24	0.24	0.06	2.789
	21	54	38	53	67	0	4	1	13	10	71	0
	21	51	50	55	07	Ŭ		1	15	10	/1	Ū
90	5,20	5,21	3,53	4,65	4,57	87,69	28,69	51,33	4,61	4,61	1,00	
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	
	0.46	0.40	0.59	0.46	0.49	3 8 2 9	3 097	14 81	0.47	0.47	0.04	
	92	18	64	10	30	7	5	40	38	58	72	69.05
	12	10	04	10	50	'	5	40	50	50	12	+
91	2.63	2.44	2.13	2.40	2.39	90.68	18.25		2.39	2.39	0.93	16.21
<i></i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	751 +	+	+	+	+
	0.36	0.31	0.36	0.33	0.33	2 436	1 0/6	2 014	0.33	0.33	0.02	0 212
	0,50	41	21	70	0,55	2,450	4,940	2,914	70	70	10,02	0,212
	00	41	51	70	00	5	2	/	70	19	40	0
03	3 70	4.15	3 50	3.81	3.80	100.2	45 47	28.02	3 35	3 65	1.00	42.17
93	5,19	4,15	5,50	5,01	5,60	100,2	43,47	20,92	5,55	5,05	1,09	42,17
	0.17	0.17	0.24	0.17	0.10	7-	4 2 4 1	4 15 4	0.14	0.16		1 275
	0,17	0,17	0,24	0,17	0,18	2,308	4,541	4,154	0,14	0,10	0,04	1,375
	84	14	11	83	08	5	9	9	51	79	30	1
100	2.74	2.01	2.20	2 6 1	2.60	06.20	40.60	24.46	2.60	2.60	1.02	22.07
100	5,74	3,01	3,20	5,01	3,00	90,50	40,09	24,40	3,00	5,00	1,02	32,97
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	0,17	0,18	0,16	0,14	0,14	1,837	3,179	2,842	0,14	0,14	0,05	2,611
	08	76	75	09	16	5	1	4	13	13	63	2
102	5.00	4.24	4.00	4.52	4.40	05.55	(2.00	40.02	4.51	4.51	0.02	(( ()
102	5,26	4,34	4,00	4,53	4,49	85,55	63,69	48,03	4,51	4,51	0,83	66,63
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	1 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
	0,39	0,36	0,44	0,28	0,27	2,633	/,83/	8,894	0,27	0,27	0,07	3,733
	99	35	90	03	56	1	7	7	75	77	63	9
1	I	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	I	1	1	1

L: length, W: width, T: thickness,  $D_a$ : arithmetic diameter,  $D_g$ : geometric diameter,  $\phi$ : sphericity, S: surface area, V: volume,  $D_{sq}$ : square mean diameter,  $D_e$ : equivalent diameter,  $R_{as}$ : seed aspect ratio,  $m_{1000}$ : 1000 seed weight.

#### Cluster analysis based on physical seed properties

The dendrogram generated by physical seed properties is shown in figure 4. The dendrogram is composed of 2 major clusters at a distance of 189.16. Samples 23 and 34 being the first cluster. The second cluster is divided into two sub-clusters. IIa (d=34.72) is composed of accession 43 linked to accessions 55, 79, 41 and 6. IIb is further divided into 2 groups (IIb1, IIb2). IIb1 (d=27.49) is composed of samples 19, 91, 36, 65, 38, 35 and 15 one side clustered with samples 11, 51, 37, 13, 71, 64, 14, 8, 100, 47, 59, 45, 33, 93, 83, 7 and 5 another side. IIb2 (d=43.14) comprises sample 10 linked with two groups: the first one contains samples 90 and 74. The second one is composed of two clades. The first calde (d=21.43) is composed of accessions 49, 72, 89, 42, 20, 29, 27, 46, 22, 102, 70, 56, 32, 77, 40, 26 and 18. The second clade (d=14.47) comprises samples 57, 87, 17, 63, 3, 80 and

1. The higher distance of d= 264 is observed between samples 23 and 19. High distances are also observed between sample 23 an all other accessions and between sample 34 and other accessions. In parallel, the lower distance (d=1) is observed between accessions 45 and 59. A distance of d=2 is obtained for the following couples: 56-32, 26-40, 64-71.



Figure 4. Dendrogram generated using UPGMA cluster analysis and Euclidean distances based on physical seed properties of accessions investigated

#### Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of physical seed properties

The results of PCA are shown in figure 5. The results revealed that the first three axes accounted for 94.95% of the total variation with 78.56% for PC1, 11.33% for PC2 and 5.05% for PC3. Two-dimensional (2D) plot was obtained using the first two PCs. The seed properties which strongly contributed to the formation of PC1 are D<sub>e</sub>, D<sub>a</sub>, D<sub>g</sub> and D<sub>sq</sub> and those which most contribute to the formation of PC2 are R<sub>as</sub> and  $\phi$ . In the other side, characters which have a low loading in accessions distinction are R<sub>as</sub> and  $\phi$ for PC1 and V and T for PC2. The strongest positive correlation was found between D<sub>g</sub>-D<sub>a</sub>, D<sub>e</sub>-D<sub>g</sub> with r=0.999 followed by D<sub>a</sub>-D<sub>sq</sub> and D<sub>sq</sub>-D<sub>e</sub> with r=0.993. The strongest negative one was obtained between L- $\phi$  (r=-0.532), D<sub>sq</sub>- $\phi$  (r=0.401) and D<sub>a</sub>- $\phi$  (r=0.400).



Figure 5. Principal Component Analysis based on 12 physical seed properties

### Correlation between enzymes patterns and physical seed properties

Correlation between enzymatic systems data and physical seed properties was carried out with Mantel test based on Pearson's correlation. The p-value was calculated from the distribution of r(AB) using 10000 permutations. The test gives a value of r(AB.C) = 0.172 showing a strong correlation between isozyms and seed properties since the p-value (<0.0001) is below the significance level (5%).

#### Discussion

#### **Enzymes polymorphism**

The electrophoretic mobility values in Table 2 may differ from those previously reported by other studies because of differences in the electrophoresis gel composition used, as reported by Jaaska (2008). The age of seedlings proved to be not important as it does not influence electrophoretic mobility. A strong correlation was obtained between data of the three studied systems one side and between the systems taken two by two one side which led utilization of one of them in taxa identification. Our data show that SOD is the most interspecific variable system, which could be related to its role in periferic metabolism. Accessions lacked the chloroplastic SOD-A even on the zymograms of leaflets, presumably because of the presence of an inhibitory substance as reported by Jaaska (2005). Our results concord with those reported by Gonzalez and Shiffino-Wittman (1996) where eleven different SOD bands were found, ranged from 2 to 3 per sample, no one being common to all of them. Gels stained for Alcohol DeHydrogenase displayed three zones of activity. ADH is a dimeric protein encoded by two loci (ADH-A and ADH-B) according to the work of

Li and Gao (1998). ADH isozymes should appear as dark bands on gels stained for ADH activity. SOD may appear as an artifact on gels stained with solutions containing MTT/PMS and it has been known to appear on gels stained for ADH after prolonged incubation. ADH and SOD data would be Perhaps this contributed same. the the to rather high correlation observed between the ADH and SOD data matrices. rather high correlation observed between the ADH and SOD data matrices. Aspartate Amino Transferase produced two monomorphic zones of activity with different intensities (Figure 2c). Each zone is specified by one gene: AAT-A and AAT-B that determined AAT isozymes. On the zymograms of green leaflets the AAT-A band, dominated in intensity, indicating possible plastidic nature. The following bands can be used as diagnostic characters for taxa discrimination by isozyme analyses: A38.2, AD33.5, S58, S41.6 for *V. monantha* subsp. *cinerea*, A27.6 for samples of sect. Cracca as in the cases of accessions 3, 26, 91 and 100, AD43, S49.2 for *V. leucantha*, AD31.6 and S39.5 for *V. sativa* subsp. *obovata*, S50.3 for *V. narbonensis*.

**Cluster analysis based on isozyms polymorphism** There is a general agreement between the phenogram and taxonomic classification, with some exceptions. Cluster analysis of the three enzymatics systemes revealed two basic monophyletic groups: I) populations of *V. sativa* s.1 (section Vicia) in one cluster II) all accessions of *V. monantha* (section Cracca) in one subcluster linked with samples of *V. lutea* (section Hypechusa) in a second subcluster which is connected to samples of *V. narbonensis*. Thus, species of sect. Narbonensis, (subgenus Vicia), sect. Hypechusa (subgenus Vicia) and sect. Cracca (subgenus Vicilla sensu Kunicha) clustered together Kupicha) clustered together.

### **Cluster I**

Variability in all taxa of *V. sativa* is overlapping and many of the subdivisions are known to interbreed with each other (Bozkurt et al. 2013). In the present work, the all studied taxa of V. sativa (section Vicia) cluster In the present work, the all studied taxa of *V. sativa* (section *Vicia*) cluster together on the basis of isozyms polymorphism which indicates a close relationship between subspecies of *V. sativa* when it is difficult to determine distinct groups which could be individually identified as *obovata*, *consobrina*, *cordata* or *angustifolia*. According to Jaaska (2008), the subspecies of *V. sativa* have common orthozymes, differing mostly by the presence of additional allozymes of some heterozymes and in their relative occurrence. Our results are congruent with the AFLP data of Potokina et al. (2002) and Jaaska (2015) that showed all taxa of the *V. sativa* L. aggregate in a separate cluster. The same findings were reported in our prvious work on the same taxa using plant morphology (Bechkri and Khelifi 2016) and seed storage proteins polymorphism (not published). Concerning accession 17

which was found to present lot of different morphological characters compared with the other accessions belonging to the same subspecies (*V. sativa* subsp. *obovata*) wih the white standard (Bechkri and Khelifi 2016), it is connected to samples of the same taxa using isozyms data. Accessions of *V. sativa* which we attributed to subsp. *cordata* on the morphological ground could not be distinguished from other taxa of *V. sativa* by any specific morphs. The isozyms studied here can be used to discriminate taxa belonging to *V. sativa* at interpecific level but not at intraspecific one. Some accessions of *V. sativa* subsp. *obovata* were distinguished by having common SOD patern with morphs S37.5, S39.5 for samples 7 and 10 and morphs S34.5-S39.5-S41 for samples 20, 22, 51, 57.

#### **Cluster II**

**Cluster II** In the same group, samples of *V. lutea* (sect. Hypechusa) clustered all together as well as samples of *V. narbonensis* (sect. Narbonensis). The taxa of *V. narbonensis* showed the same three morphs (S37.5, S44.6, S50.3). Our results concord with those of Jaaska and Leht (2007) which showed the species of sections Hypechusa as sister to the clade of section Narbonensis. A closer relationship between the NSC and section Hypechusa has been deduced based on isozyme studies by Jaaska (1997) where the Narbonensis section appears as a clade on both cladistic and phenetic trees which is linked to species of the section Hypechusa. Jaaska (2005) reported that species of the section Cracca form a subgroup of closely related species that revealed extensive homologous polymorphism with shared allozymes without any differentiation by species-specific orthozymes. *Vicia monantha* appears abaally sister to the remaining species of the section on the phylogenetic tree. Also, in our rsults, the cluster II contains samples of *V. monantha* subsp. *calcarata* (sect. Cracca) all together joined with the two samples of *V. tenuifolia* and the unique sample of *V. leucantha*. In spite of this, our analysis of enzyms data supports Kupicha's placement of *V. leucantha* in section Cracca as was also done by Davis and Plitmann (1970). The same findings were reported in our previous work using plant morphology (not published). The species *V. monantha*, *V. tenuifolia* and *V. leucantha*, form a subgroup of closely related species that revealed extensive homologous polymorphism with shared characters. The sample 46 belonging to *V. monantha* subsp. *cinerea* forms a separate subcluster as it has a unique pattern for each of the tree studied systems. It is not linked to accession 91 of the same taxa which is clustered with accessions of *V. lutea*. This rare variante may be ignored in hypologenetic analysis as proposed by Stevens (1991). The unexpected linkage of the sample 91 with samples of *V. lutea* in the cladistic isoz

tree may be caused by electrophoretic homoplasy of some shared orthozymes as reported by Jaaska (2015). The three systems studied seem to be more suitable for studies of interspecific genetic diversity than of intraspecific affinities.

#### **Physical seed properties**

**Physical seed properties** The variability of physical seeds properties of natural populations of *Vicia* in Algeria has not previously been characterized. In many cases, morphological characteristics such as seed dimensions can be used to distinguish species and subspecies (Bewley and Black, 1994; Hammet et al., 1996). The relationship between seed size and seed number may be an important mechanism underlying the abundance and dynamics of plant species (Jakobsson and Eriksson, 2000). Our UPGMA cluster method gave no clear grouping. The general picture showed that accessions of the same species preferred to cluster with themselves rather than have a wide distribution in different groups. The accessions of species traditionally considered to have a high degree of variation (Ball 1968), for example *V. sativa*, were present in more than one cluster group. The same observations were done by Perrino et al. (1984). Physical seed properties which separate the most the samples are equivalent diameter, arithmetic diameter, geometric diameter and square diameter. At the same time, strong correlation was found between these four properties showing the potential use of one of them to distinguish taxa. Our results show that both the arithmetic mean and the geometric mean method can be used to determine the average diameter of vetch seeds. A similar result was found by Kaleemullah and Gunasekar (2002) for arecanut kernels. Results show that the longer, wider and thicker seed can be the less spherical as in the case of sample 6 (*V. sativa* subsp. *obovata*). Values of seeds surface area and volume follow those of size dimensions. Samples 19 and 23 are a good example in this case. Concerning variability between samples belonging to the same taxa, the explanation for this phenomenon lies in the genetic and environmental characteristics of seed polymorphism (Bewley and Black, 1994). In species producing two or more seed types, there is a tendency for seed functions to diverge, each type being diapted to an aspect of the enviro

Kehinde et al. 1997; Asiedu and Powell, 1998) support the fact that seed polymorphism has a genetic basis. suggested that morphological characteristics of seeds such as seed shape can be used to distinguish taxa. In the case of *Vicia sativa*, the average seed dimensions decreased from *V. sativa* subsp. *obovata* to *V. sativa* subsp. *angustifolia* passing by *V. sativa* subsp. *cordata* and *V. sativa* subsp. *consobrina*. These results are more detailed than those given in the flora of Algeria (Quézel and Santa 1962) in which, the authors used seed dimension to discriminate subspecies of *V. sativa*. Indeed, they give a range of 3-5 mm for subsp. *obovata* and 2-3 mm for subsp. *cordata, consobrina* and *angustifolia* with any distinction between these three subspecies by seed dimensions. Species which produce two or more seed dimensions represent groups in which divergent strategies, usually present in different taxa, are combined in one individual (Mandak, 1997). Our results confirm the fact that *V. sativa* contains different sub-species often mentioned by taxonomists. For *V. monantha*, our results show that the two subspecies (*calcarata* and *cinerea*) have close values regarding physical properties studied and join Quézel and Santa (1962) who did not use seed dimensions to discriminate between the tow subspecies. The same conclusion can be given for *V. lutea* subsp. *eu-lutea* and *V. lutea* subsp. *vestita* which are not distinguish by seed dimensions but by the flower colour. Seed dimensions were not considered in the flora to distinguish *V. tenuifolia* and *V. leucantha*.

### Conclusion

The species groupings using AAT, SOD and ADH patterns are consistent with traditional taxonomic species delimitation. Therefore, isozyme patterns are useful and reliable biochemical markers for the taxonomic delimitation and characterization of *Vicia* germplasm. Evidently, additional data as evidence from the DNA characters are needed for more sound phylogenetic and taxonomic conclusions. In another side, due to the fact that classification of seeds is of major technical and economical importance in the agricultural industry, discriminant analysis was used to classify the seeds but shows no correspondence with systematics. In order to design equipment and facilities for the handling, conveying, separation, drying, aeration, storing and processing of vetch seed, future studies should be conducted to determine the 12 physical properties studied as a function of moisture content.

**Financial disclosure**: This work is not supported by any financial assistance. **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

#### **References:**

- ferences:

   Aniszewski T, Kuparihm, Leinonen AJ. 2001. Seed Number, Seed Size and Seed Diversity in Washington Lupin (Lupinus polyphyllus Lindl.). Annals of Botany. 87: 77-82
   Asiedu EA, Powell AA. 1998. Comparisons of the storage potential of cultivars on cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) differing in seed coat pigmentation. Seed Science and Technology. 26: 211-221.
   Asoegwu SN, Ohanyere SO, Kanu OP, Iwueke CN. 2006. Physical properties of African Oil Bean Seed (*Pentaclethra macrophylla*). Agricultural Engineering International: the CIGR Ejournal. Vol. VII.
   Ball PW. 1968. *Vicia* L. In: Tutin TG, Heywood VH, Burges NA, Moore DM, Valentine DH, Walters SM, Webb DA. (eds.) Flora *Europaea*, vol.2, *Rosaceae* to *Umbelliferae*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 129-136
- 5. Bechkri S, Khelifi D. 2016. Variation in *Vicia sativa* s.l. from Algeria based on morphological characters and ecogeographic parameters. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* DOI 10.1007/s10722-016-0404-1
- 6. Bewley JD, Black M. 1994. Seeds. Physiology of development and
- Bewley JD, Black M. 1994. Seeds. Physiology of development and germination. New York, London: Plenum Press.
   Bozkurt M, Ertugrul K, Uysal T. 2013. The determination of genetic relationships among some *Vicia* L. (Vetch) taxa by using ISSR markers. Biological Diversity and Conservation. 6/3: 135-139
   Davis PH, Plitmann U. 1970. *Vicia* L. In: Davis PH. (ed.) Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands. University Press, Edinburgh, pp. 274-221
- 274-321
- 9. Gates P, Boulter D. 1980. The use of pollen isoenzymes as an aid to the breeding of field beans (*Vicia* faba L.). New phytologist. 84(3): 501-504
- 10. Getinet A, Rakow G. 1997. Repression of seed coat pigmentation in Ethiopian mustard. Canadian Journal of Plant Science. 77: 501-505.
- AC, Shiffino-Wittman MT. 1996. Isoenzymatic 11. Gonzalez characterization of some *Lathyrus* L. and *Vicia* L. speices (Leguminosae) occurring in Rio Grande do Sul (Southern Brazil). Brazilian Journal of Genetics. 19(2): 283-288
- 12. Hammett KRW, Murray BG, Markham KR, Hallett IC, Osterloh I.
  1996. New interspecific hybrids in *Lathyrus* (Leguminosae): Lathyrus annuus x L. hierosolymitanus. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society. 122: 89-101.
- 13. Hanelt P, Mettin D. 1989. Biosystematics of the genus Vicia L. (Leguminosae) Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 20: 199-223

- 14. Hauhouot-O'Hara M, Criner BR, Brusewitz GH, Solie JB. 2000. "Selected Physical Characteristics and Aerodynamic Properties of Cheat Seed for the Separation from Wheat". Agricultural Engineering International: the CIGR Journal of Scientific Research and
- Development. Vol. II. 15. Iannelli P. 1964. Variety testing of vetches. -Proc. Internatl. Seed Test. Assoc. 29: 887-907
- 16. Jaaska V. 2008. Isozyme evidence on the specific distinctness and phylogenetic position of *Vicia incisa* (Fabaceae). Centr. Eur. J. Biol. 3: 169-176.
- Jaaska V. 2015. Phylogenetic relationships among sections Vicia, Sepium and Lathyroides of Vicia subgenus Vicia: isozyme evidence. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology. 62: 186-193
   Jaaska V. 1997. Isoenzyme diversity and phylogenetic affinities in Vicia subgenus Vicia (Fabaceae). Genet. Res. Crop Evol. 44: 557–
- 574
- 19. Jaaska V, Leht M. 2007. Phylogenetic relationships between and within sections *Hypechusa*, *Narbonensis* and *Peregrinae* of genus *Vicia* (Fabaceae) based on evidence from isozymes and morphology. *CEJB* 2(1): 137–155
- 20. Jaaska V. 2001. Isoenzyme diversity and phylogenetic relationships among the American beans of the genus Vigna Savi (Fabaceae). Biochemical Systematics and Ecology. 29: 545–554.
  21. Jaaska V. 2005. Isozyme Variation and Phylogenetic Relationships in Vicia subgenus Cracca (Fabaceae). Annals of Botany. 96: 1085–1096
  22. Jakobsson A, Eriksson O. 2000. A comparative study of seed number, seed size, seedling size and recruitment in grassland plants. Oilean 89: 404-502
- Oikos. 88: 494-502.
- 23. Kaleemullah S, Gunasekar JJ. 2002. Moisture-dependent physical properties of arecanut trues. Biosys. Eng. 82: 331-338
   24. Kehinde OB, Myers GO, Fawole I. 1997. Analysis of genetic linkage in the cowpea *Vigna unguiculata*. Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science. 20: 75-82.
   25. Kupicha FK. 1976. The infrageneric structure of *Vicia*. Notes Roy. Bot. Gard. Edinburgh. 34: 287-326
   26. Labt. M. Jacoka, V. 2002. Cladiatia, and reporting analysis of genetic structure of Vicia.
- 26. Leht M, Jaaska V. 2002. Cladistic and phenetic analysis of relationships in Vicia subgenus Vicia (Fabaceae) by morphology and isozymes. Plant Systematics and Evolution. 232: 237–260.
  27. Li R, Gao Y. 1998. Biochemical Genetic Studies on Wild
- Populations of the *Vicia amoena* Complex (Tribe Vicieae: Fabaceae). *Biochemical Genetics.* 36 (5/6): 213-217

- Mandak B. 1997. Seed heteromorphism and the life cycle of plants: a literature review. Preslia-Prague. 69: 129-159.
   Mantel N. 1967. Adaptation of Karber's method for estimating the exponential parameter from quantal data, and its relationship to birth, death, and branching processes. Biometrics. 23: 739-746
   Maxted N. 1993a. A phenetic investigation of *Vicia* L. subgenus *Vicia* (Leguminosae, Vicieae). *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 111: 155–182.
   Mohsenin NN. 1970. Physical properties of plant and animal materials. Gordon and Breach Sci. Publishers, New York
   Olajide JD, Ade-Omowaye BIO. 1999. Some physical properties of locust bean seed. J. Agric. Eng. Res. 74: 213-215
   Perrino P, Yarwood M, Hanelt P, Polignano GB. 1984. Variation of seed characters in selected *Vicia* species. Kulturpflanze. 32: 103–122.
   Potokina E, Tomooka N, Vaughan DA, Alexandrova T, Xu RQ. 1999. Phylogeny of *Vicia* subgenus *Vicia* (Fabaceae) based on analysis of RAPDs and RFLP of PCR-amplified chloroplast genes. *Genet Res. Crop Evol.* 46: 149–161
   Potokina E, Blattner R.F, Alexandrova T, Bachmann K. 2002. AFLP diversity in the common vetch (*Vicia sativa* L.) on the world scale. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 105 : 58-67
- *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 105 : 58-67
  36. Quézel P, Santa S. 1962. Nouvelle flore de l'Algérie et des régions méridionales. Tome 1. Editions du centre national de la recherche scientifique. Paris, pp. 524- 531
- 37. Scherer R, Kutzbach HD. 1978. Mechanische Eigenschaften von Koerner-fruechten [Mechanical properties of grains]. Grundlage der Landtechnik. 28(1): 6-12.
- 38. Seifi MR, Alimardani R. 2010. The moisture content effect of some physical and mechanical properties of corn. Journal of Agric. Science, Canadian Centre of Science and Education. 2(4):125-134
  39. Stevens PF. 1991. Character states, morphological variation and phylogenetic analysis: a review. Syst. Bot. 16: 553-583
  40. Subukola OP, Onwuka VI. 2011. Effects of moisture content on some physical analysis of lower the product of the phylogenetic analysis of the product of the phylogenetic of the

- 40. Subukola OF, Ohwuka VI. 2011. Effects of moisture content on some physical properties of locust bean seed (*Parkiafillicoidea*). Journal of Food Process Engineering. 34: 1947-1961.
  41. Suso MJ, Moreno MT. 1986. Isoenzymatic polymorphism of superoxide dismutase (SOD) in *Vicia faba* and its systematic implication. FABIS Newslett. 16: 3-11
- 42. Takashi R. 1997. Association of soybean genes I and T with low-temperature induced seed coat deterioration. CropScience. 37:1755-1759.
- 43. Taser OF, Altuntas E, Ozgog. 2005. Physical properties of Hungarian and common vetch seeds. J. Applied Sci. 5: 323-326

- 44. Wendel JF, Weeden NF. 1989. Visualization and interpretation of 44. Wender JF, Weeden NF. 1969. Visualization and interpretation of plant isozymes. *I n* S o l t i s D E. Soltis PS. *Isozymes in plant biology*, p. 5–44. Portland, Oregon: Dioscorides Press.
  45. Westoby M, Jurado E, Leishman M. 1992. Comparative evolutionary ecology of seed size. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. 7: 368-372.
  46. Wolff G. 1980. Investigations on the relations within the family Papilionaceae on the basis of electrophoretic banding patterns. Theor.
- Appl. Genet. 57: 225-232
- Appl. Genet. 57: 225-232
  47. Wu S, Sokhansanj S, Fang R, Opoku A. 1999. Influence of physical properties and operating conditions on particle segregation on gravity table. Appl. Eng. Agric. 15(5): 495-499.
  48. Yamamoto K. 1979. Estimation of genetic homogeneity by isoenzymes from interspecific hybrids progeniesbetween *Vicia amphicarpa*, true and *V. macrocarpa*. Japan. J. Breed. 29: 59-65
  49. Yamamoto K. 1986. Interspecific hybridization among *Vicia narbonensis* and its rlated species. Biol? Zentralbl. Leipziig.
  50. Yamamoto K, Plitmann U. 1980. Isozyme polymorphism in species of the genus *Vicia (Leguminosae)* Japanese Journal of Genetics. 55: 151–164

- 151-164
- 51. Zewdu AD. 2004. Untersuchungen zur Leistungssteigerung der Reinigungsanlage eines Maehdreschers durch zusaetzlichen Querschwingungen (Investigations into performance improvement of combine cleaning shoe through additional lateral oscillations). [PhD Thesis]. Dresden, Germany: Technical University of Dresden. 125 p.