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Abstract
This paper addresses the translation of cognate accusatives from Arabic into English by B.A senior students majoring in English language and its literature at the University of Jordan. The students translated (39) sentences containing the cognate accusative in a questionnaire prepared by the researcher for this purpose. The questionnaire included 3 different types of cognate accusatives: emphatic, type-identifying, and number identifying, as well as representatives of cognate accusatives and constructions that may be interpreted as having implicit cognate accusatives. Because of their semantic meaning and their complete absence in English, cognate accusatives were seen as redundant elements and thus were either non-existent in the students’ translations or were translated as intensifier adverbs. The paper, further, sheds some light on the syntax and semantics of the cognate accusatives and tries to account for the students’ different translations of these constructions. Moreover, the paper attempts to grasp some of the unconscious knowledge of native speakers through their preferences for translations of an Arabic structure that is totally absent in the target language (English).
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1. Introduction
Arabic is a rhythmic language that is built around certain patterns and meters. This kind of morphology has great bearings on syntax. Farghaly and Shaalan (2009) points out that Arabic has a complex word structure. It is an agglutinative language which constructs complex words by adding affixes and clitics that represent different parts of speech. For example, a verb may embed within
itself its subject and object as well as other clitics signifying tense, gender, person, number, and voice:

1. ṭu-hibbu-ha
   IMPF: 1S-love-3SF
   ‘I love her’

   Arabic grammarians modeled the formation of nouns and verbs and their derivatives based on the concept of the root. This root usually is a set of three consonants (f ʕ l) expressing the idea of the action ‘to act’ (Montague, 1988). The root is a mental representation that does not exist; however, to best represent it, Arabic often uses the third person masculine in the past tense of a verb. Kamp and Reyle (1993) state that Arabic nouns and verbs are derived from roots by applying templates that generate stems, and then introduce prefixes and suffixes. (Hoseini 2011) pinpoints that an Arabic verb designates voice (active or passive), tense (past, present, imperative), gender (feminine, masculine) and number (singular, dual, plural). The derivation of the verbs in the different tenses is achieved using well-behaved morphological rules. The stem is formed by substituting the characters of the root into certain verb forms, called measures/ patterns. The researcher, further, mentions that there are 37 measures for the triliteral and quadrilateral verbs (p.175).

   In terms of syntax, Arabic allows both SVO and VSO as unmarked word orders. The language is highly inflectional. Perhaps this is the reason why Arabic has free word order. So, even when the object precedes the subject, the reader can easily identify the subject and the object.

   Furthermore, Arabic has a set of syntactic objects that are peculiar to this language. Syntactically, all of these objects appear in the accusative case. Semantically, they either emphasize the meaning of the event (2.a), express the reason for its occurrence (2.b), the place of the event (2.c) and the time of the event (2.d), or the accompaniment of the event (2.e):

   2. a. intaSar-a
      l-dʒayʃ-u
      intiSar-an

---

132 Arabic also allows SOV, VOS, OVS, OSV but these are marked structures used mainly for focus reasons.

133 The phonetic transliteration followed in this paper is as follows: D: voiced alveolar velarized stop, T: voiceless alveolar velarized stop, x: voiceless velar fricative, ʔ: glottal stop, ʕ: voiceless pharyngeal approximant, S: voiceless alveolar-pharyngeal fricative, h: voiceless pharyngeal fricative, dʒ: voiced post-alveolar affricate.
achieve victory:PRF:3SM-SUBJ the-army-NOM victory-ACC
hasim-an
decisive-ACC
'The army has achieved a decisive victory'
b. ?aqra-u kaθir-an hubb-an fi-l-ilm
read:IMPF:1S much-ACC love-ACC in-the-knowledge
'I read a lot because I love to gain knowledge'
c. ?uhibb-u d-dirasa-t-a fi-l-
maktaba-h
love:IMPF:1S the-studying-F-ACC in-the-library-F
'I love to study in the library'
d. ?uhibb-u d-dirasa-t-a layl-an
love:IMPF:1S the-studying--F-ACC night-ACC
'I love to study at night'
e. dʒalsas-t-u wa-l-maktaba-h
sit:PRF:1S-IND and-the-library-F
'I sat beside the library'

This paper will study the first type of objects; the cognate accusative (henceforth CA), which mainly emphasizes the occurrence and meaning of the event. It can also indicate the kind and number of the occurrences of the event. The study will try to investigate the syntax and semantics of this structure and find the subjects’ strategies of translating it into English which totally lacks such a class category.

This paper proceeds as follows: section 2 tackles the verbal noun, its definition, rank and naming, the relationship between the verbal noun and CA, divisions of CA, and CA representatives. Methodology is presented in section 3. Section 4 presents results and analysis. Section 5 concludes.

2. The verbal Noun

2.1 Definition of the Verbal Noun and CA:

The CA takes the form of the verbal nouns (al-maSad). Ibn Malik,135 (1990, p.178), a traditional Arab grammarian, defined the verbal noun as "a noun that originally refers to an event associated

---

134 SUBJ=subjunctive, IND= indicative, GUSS- gussive, NOM= nominative, ACC= accusative, GEN= genitive, GER= gerund.
135 Traditional Arab grammarians (e.g. Sibawaih, Al-Farahidi, Ibn Malik, IbnHisham , ...) lived between the 12th -14th centuries. Here, the date of the revision of their books next to their names will be used. However, in the reference list, the name of the researchers who revised their works will be mentioned as well.
with an agent or experiencer whether it represents a real meaning or a metaphorical one. The verbal noun can also indicate eventuality acting upon a theme". Examples of real events associated with an agent or experiencer are the words xijaTa 'sewing' and fahm 'understanding' respectively. By contrast, referring mawt 'death' to majjet 'a dead person' represents a metaphorical meaning.

The definitions of the CA were both similar to and different from the verbal noun. IbnAquil (1995) defines the CA as the accusative verbal noun that is intended to emphasize the meaning of the verb (3a) or to clarify its type (3b) or number (3c):

3. a. wa kallama Allah-u Musa takeema
   and talk:PRF:3SM Allah-NOM Moses talking-ACC
   'and the Lord talked to Moses a real talk'\textsuperscript{136}

b. sir-t-u sajr-a Zaid
   walk:3SM-1S-IND walking-ACC Zaid
   'I walked exactly like Zaid's walking'

c. Darab-t-u-hu Darbat-ayn
   hit:PRF-1S-IND-3SM:ACC hitting:DUAL:ACC
   'I hit him two hits'

IbnHisham (1962, p.312) explains that in (3a), the CA was used to avoid illusion; The Lord talked to Moses directly without an intermediate. Therefore, the CA is like the verbal emphasis where one might repeat the same word to emphasize the occurrence of the event. Al Ashmoni (1955, p.311) defines the CA in a way that is close to IbnAquil’s. However, Al Ashmoni didn’t include the accusative aspect: "the CA is not a predicate; it is derived from a verb and functions to emphasize the eventuality or clarify its type or number…. It can only be a verbal noun”.

By the same token, Abd Al-Mouain (2004) points out that the CA is an accusative gerund that comes after a verb to confirm the action, or to show its kind or number. Al-Tarifi (2003) defines it as “the original noun of the verb… it is related to the verb in form and in sense”. Al-Zamakhshari (1993) state that the CA can only be a verbal noun since it is replaced by the verb which represents its eventuality. Nonetheless, the verbal noun remains the origin. Finally, IbnHisham (1962) defines the CA as “the adjunct verbal noun which is bound by a binder which has the same root or same sense” (p.312).

\textbf{2.2 The Rank and Naming of the CA}

\textsuperscript{136} The Holy Quran, Al-Nisaa’:164.
When it comes to precedence, the CA precedes the other types of objects in the linear order. This is justifiable because the CA is always classified as an object without any restrictions, whereas other objects, which are dubbed differently according to different schools and scholars, do need a preposition.

In addition, IbnYa’eesh (2001, p.110) mentions that precedence of the CA is morphologically justified because it is derived from the verb, while other objects are not. Additionally, all verbs can take a CA whether these verbs were transitive or intransitive:

4. a. Darab-t-u Zaid-an Darb-
antransitive verb
hit:PRF-1S-IND Zaid-ACC hitting-ACC
   'I did hit Zaid'
b. qum-t-u qijam-an
intransitive verb
rise:PRF-1S-IND rising-ACC
   'I rose perfectly/ I did rise'

The CA is dubbed so because, unlike the other four types of objects, it is not restricted by any preposition. For example, *al maʃ’ullahu* 'the purposeful object'is restricted by the preposition *li* 'to/ in order to', and *al maʃ’ulmaʃ’ahu* 'the accompaniment object' is restricted by the preposition *maʃ* 'with'. Put differently, the CA was given this name because theverb can reach it without the need to an intermediate (e.g. a preposition), whereas other types of objects are connected to the verb through the existence of an explicit or implicit preposition.

IbnAl-Nathim (2000) justifies naming it a CA because it is an actual object (theme) of the subject (agent) unlike other objects which are not the object of the subject and naming them as objects is a result of attaching the verb to them, in them, for them, or with them. Therefore, as Ibn Al-Nathim states, while these objects are given this restriction, the CA is not restricted and hence absolute.

### 2.3 The Relationship between the Verbal Noun and CA

CA always appears after the verb and its complement. Therefore it is a special kind of adjuncts. It is typically formed by using the verbal noun- which roughly amounts to gerunds in English-derived from the main verb. It only appears in the accusative case. Morphologically, it is relatively similar to the perfective verb.

Since traditional Arab grammarians define the CA as a verbal noun, there is some relationship between the two terms: the verbal
noun can be aCA such as the Quranic verse in (3a). At the same time, the verbal noun can be some syntactic constituent other than the CA and that is true if the verbal noun does not represent an emphasis, type or number. Examples include:

5. **a.al-qatl-u**  aʃnaʃ-u  l-dʒaraʔim
   the-killing-NOM  ugliest-NOM  the-crimes
   'Killing is the ugliest crime'

b. **al-fitnat-u**  aʃadd-u  mina  /qatl-i
   sedition-NOM  worse -NOM  than  the-
   killing-GEN.'
   'Sedition is worse than killing.' (Al-Hujaili, 2005)

In other words, the verbal noun is more inclusive than the CA because the former can be aCA, a subject or some other syntactic element. However, the CA can only be a verbal noun because its denotation is represented by the verb and substituted by it. The verbal noun remains the origin (Al-Ashmoni 1955, p. 311).

2.4 **Divisions of Cognate Accusatives**

Depending on its function, Arab linguists have classified the CA into three categories:

**First:** Emphatic CA: the CA is used here to emphasize the verbal noun. So, when one says *qumtu* he/she means *ʔaHdaʔtuqijaman* 'I made a rise', and thus *qum-t-u qijam-an* equates to *aHdaʔtuqijamanqijaman* 'I rose rising/ I made rising a real rising'.

**Second:** Type-identifying CA: here, the CAs used to identify the manner in three different ways:

1. The CA is modified by adjectives as in (6a)
2. A genitive is added to the CA as in (6b)
3. The definite article *ʔa* ?itaʔreef (the) is added to the CA to refer either to a specific action that is recognizable for both of the speaker and the addressee as in (6c), or used generically to denote intensification as in (6d):

6. a. **sir-t-u**  sair-an  Hasan-an
   walk:PRF-1S-IND  walking-ACC  good-ACC
   'I walked gracefully'

b. **sir-t-u**  sajra  ʕaliyy-in
   walk:PRF-1S-IND  walking-ACC  Ali-GEN
   'I walked the way/distance that Ali walks'

c. **sir-t-u**  s-sair-a
   walk:PRF-1S-IND  the-walking-ACC
   'I walked the usual walking'

d. **dʒalas-t-u**  l-dʒulu:s-a
sit:PRF-1S-IND the-sitting-ACC
'I sat for too long'

Third: number-identifying CA: in this case, the CA indicates the number of times the eventuality took place:
7. Darab-t-u Darbat-an / Darbat-ayn / Darab-at-in
sit:PRF-1S-IND hitting-ACC/ hitting-DUAL:ACC / hitting:PL-F-ACC

'I hit one hitting/ two hitting/ several hittings'

All the examples mentioned above involve a verb binding a CA. However, as Zuhdi and Abu Zaid (2010, p.199-200) pinpoint, the CA, or verbal noun in general, can be bound by a) a root/ a verbal noun, b) a verb, c) an agentive noun ism l-fa3il d) a theme noun ism l-mafsiul and e) a quasi-adjective Sifāmushabbaha. For brevity reasons, (c) only will be exemplified.

7. a. ʔas-saːziʔil l-xayr-i ʔaʃy-an daʔu:b-an
the-seeker to the-good-GEN seeking-ACC persistent-ACC
ka-faːsil-iḥ
like-doer-his

'The person who strongly seeks doing good deeds is the same as the doer himself'

2.5 Cognate Accusatives Representative:

In some cases, the type-identifying CA is replaced by some other material. Some of these cases that will be used in the questionnaire are mentioned here. A CA may be replaced by:

A-The verbal noun’s synonym as in:
7. qaʃad-tu ʤuːluːs-an Hasan-an
sit:PRF-1S sitting -ACC good-ACC

'I sat a good way of sitting'

B-The adjective used to describe the verbal noun as in:
8. a. sir-tu aHsana as-sajr-i
walk:PRF-1S best-ACC the-walking-GEN

'I walked perfectly'

which originates from:

b. sir-tu s-sayr-a aHsanaa-sajr-i
walk:PRF-1S the-walking-ACC best-ACC the-
walking-GEN

137 The verb ʤalasameans that someone sits down after he/she was standing, whereas qaʃada means that someone sits down after he/she was lying down.
'I walked, the most perfect walking'

C-The **number** referring to the deleted verbal noun as in:

9. a. Darab-tu-hu ṣafr-a Darbat-in
   hit:PRF-1S-3SM ten-ACC hitting-GEN
   'I hit him ten hittings'.
   which is originally:
   b. Darab-tu-hu Darb-an ṣafr-a Darbat-in
   hit:prf-1S-3SM hitting-ACC ten-ACC hitting-GEN
   'I hit him hitting, ten times'.

D-The **manner** of the verbal noun as in:

10. a. qaṣada l-qurfuSaṭ
    sit:PRF:3SM the-frog style
    'He sat like a frog'
    derived from:
    b. qaṣada quṣuda l-qurfuSaṭ
    sit: PRF:3SM sitting-ACC the-frog style
    'He sat the sitting of a frog'

E-The tool used to convey the meaning of the deleted verbal noun:

11. a. Darab-tu-hu sawt-an
    hit:PRF-1S-3SM a whip-ACC
    'I hit him a whip'
    which is derived from
    b. Darab-tu-hu Darb-an bi-sawt-in
    hit:prf-1S-3SM hitting-ACC by-a whip-GEN
    'I did hit him by a whip'

F-The words **kul** 'all' and **baṣD** 'some' which are added to the verbal noun:

12. a. Darab-tu-hu kull-a/ baṣD-a D-Darb-i
    hit:prf-1S-3SM all-ACC/ some-ACC the-hitting-GEN
    'I hit him completely/ partially'
    derived from
    b. Darab-tu-hu D-Darb-a kull-a-hu/ baṣD-a-hu
    hit:prf-1S-3SM the-hitting-ACC all-ACC-it/some-ACC-it
    It is worth mentioning that when the CA is type or number identifying, the binder of that CA can be deleted optionally or obligatorily. An example of a CA deleted optionally is mentioned in (13b) where the verb was omitted from the answer because it was mentioned in the question.

13. a. kajfa sabaH-ta ?
    how swim:PRF-2SM
    'How did you swim?'
    b. (sabaH-tu)
    (swim: PRF-1S) sibaH-at-an d3ajjid-a-h
    swimming-ACC good-ACC-F
'I (swam) good swimming'

On the other hand, the binder of the CA can be deleted obligatorily especially when the CA is so used that it becomes normal to use it without its binder. An example is:

14. a. Hamd-an li Allah
   thanks-ACC to God
   'thanks to Allah'

which is derived from:

b. aHmadu Allah-a Hamdan
   thank: IMPF:1s God-ACC thanking -ACC
   'I do thank Allah'

Because of their heavy use in daily life, the questionnaire in this study included some examples of CA whose binder is deleted obligatorily. Additionally, the translation of sentences that have some deleted material might give insights into the unconscious knowledge of the native speaker; does he/she see an implicit binder there or do they simply consider the DS (deep structure) the same as the SS (surface structure) in these cases?

3. Methodology
3.1 Subjects

22 students from the University of Jordan majoring in English language and its literature participated in this study: 19 female and 3 male students with a mean age of 22 years. All students have already taken a course in translation from English into Arabic on the sentence, paragraph and text level. In addition, all of them were enrolled in a translation course from Arabic into English at the time of participation. The students are all native speakers of Arabic. They received Arabic instruction in all subjects and they were taught Arabic grammar for 8 years at school. They also started learning English at school from grade one (i.e. they have been studying English as a foreign language for almost 15 years)

The subjects volunteered to take the survey and were not offered any kind of compensation for completing it.

3.2 Data Collection

The data were elicited through a written task of 39 items representing 15 pairs that are identical in form; one with a CA and one without. The task also included 6 examples of the CA representatives. There were 3 items in the task that demonstrate some controversial syntactic structures that some Arab grammarians claimed to have implicit CAs and thus were
considered as representative of CAs. The objective of including such items in the study is to try to figure out if we can grasp some of the unconscious knowledge of the native speaker through their preferences for translations that reflect certain syntactic structures.

In order not to distract or confuse the subjects by external factors, the target sentences did not include any difficult vocabulary. The questionnaire was designed in a way that includes the following categories of the CA: 5 emphatic CA; 8 type-identifying CAs: 3 post-modified by adjectives, 3 in the form of a construct state, 2 modified by a definite article; and 2 number-identifying CAs. Moreover, 3 sentences exemplifying CA representatives have been included in the questionnaire together with 3 sentences showing CAs without an antecedent. Finally, there were 3 sentences containing examples of constituents whose syntactic functions were debatable. The study opted for including 5 examples of emphatic CAs because, unlike the other types of CAs which change the informational structure of the sentence, these do not have any change to the informational structure. On the other hand, only two examples of type-identifying CAs with a definite article were included because this is rare in the language and its interpretation may need some pragmatic clues.

The sentences were presented in a randomized order. However, the first 10 sentences did not include any emphatic CA in order to make sure that the subjects will translate the sentences without the CA normally. Then, when they encounter the ones with CAs, they decide whether they need to translate these sentences differently or keep them the same as the ones without CAs.

The subjects were given one hour to complete the task, and they were allowed to use dictionaries when needed since the focus is on translating the structure rather than the meaning.

3.3 The Study Questions

The study seeks to answer the following questions:

a. How is the CA translated into English? What strategies can be used to give the best translation for the CA?

b. To what extent does translation help grasp the unconscious knowledge of the native speakers?

c. Can translation help decide syntactic category of elements that can have more than one syntactic interpretation?
d. How are certain structures translated into different languages that do not have the same structure?

4. Results and Analysis

The results of the questionnaire generally show that the subjects were affected by two factors when they encountered the target sentences: first, CAs do not exist in English. Second, and most importantly, the CA is semantically redundant; its meaning is indicated by the meaning of its binder (the verb in our case). Here, the results of CAs, representative of CAs, and accusative gerunds with more than one reading will be attempted.

4.1 Cognate Accusatives

4.1.1 Emphatic Cognate Accusatives

The most common type of CAs is probably the emphatic one. At least, it is the one that comes to mind when mentioning the CAs. It is dubbed emphatic because it emphasizes the meaning of the event designated by the verb and it is morphologically derived from it. Let's, first of all, differentiate between this construction and the other emphatic construction that the repeats the main verb:

15. a. taHadda0-a taHadda0a Omar
talk:PRF:3SM-SUBJ talk:PRF:3SM-SUBJ Omar
'Omar talked talked'
b. taHadda0a Omar taHaddu0-an
talk:PRF:3SM-SUBJ talk:GER:ACC
'Omar talked talking'

Al-Samirrae (2000. p.131) states that the verb may be repeated (15a) because you think that the addressee may not have heard the first word or may have misheard it. By contrast, the CA (15b) may be used to eliminate the disillusion that the agent has not performed the event, but has done something that is close to or associated with the actual event. Thus, when saying rakaDa r-rajul 'the man ran', one might think that the man hurried or speeded up, and the speaker metaphorically called it rakaDa 'ran'. Therefore, when coming up with the CArakDan 'running', the speaker removes any possibility of not performing the actual event of running.

The questionnaire included 5 sentences with emphatic CAs, and five counterparts without. For brevity reasons, only two examples with CAs will be mentioned here:

16. a. fazī’s-tu min hawl l-man深厚的-f an
terrify:PRF-1S from scary the-scene-GEN terrify:GER-ACC
'I was really terrified of what I saw'
b. ₇farib-tu d-dawaʔ-a ₇fur-b-an kay ʔa-taʔa:fa
drink:PRF-1S the-medicine-ACC  drink:GER-ACC to IMPF:1S- heal
'I did swallow the medicine to heal'

Generally speaking, more than half of the translations included intensifier adverbs (e.g. very much, a lot, so, very, extremely, really, badly, strongly) either as pre-modifiers or post-modifiers. It was also noticed that at least a third of the translations for each sentence did not show any difference between the sentence with a CA and its counterpart without CAs, i.e. students considered the CAs as completely redundant. (17) below shows the number of identical translations of sentence with and without a CA and their percentages.

17.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>No. of identical translations (out of 20)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>faziʔtu</td>
<td>I became terrified’</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ʔazintu</td>
<td>I became sad</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ʔartəʃʃu</td>
<td>(he) shivers</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rama:</td>
<td>(he) threw</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ʃaribtu</td>
<td>I drank</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The higher the percentage, the more redundant the students consider the CA. Looking carefully at these verbs and the percentages, it seems that students subconsciously divided the verbs into two categories: gradient verbs and categorial verbs. The former are the verbs that can be measured on a scale such as becoming sad; one might be relatively sad (say 50%) while another may be very sad (say 90%). By contrast, categorial verbs are seen as either/or; either they happened or not. Therefore, 'drank' either happened or not. Looked at differently, one might want to intensify the verb by using a CAs in a way that the (P)robability of the occurrence of an event denoted by the verb is P, whereas its probability with a CA is P×P (P²). Nonetheless, there are events whose probability is either 1 or 0, and thus P² remains the same. These are the categorial verbs. The sentences that had such verbs (e.g.grama: 'he threw' and sharibtu 'I drank') highly remained the same with and without CAs, while sentences with gradient verbs were mostly translated with an intensifier adverb as a surrogate for theCA. Remember that CAs are used in order to eliminate ambiguity; the speaker did perform the action.
It is also highly likely that the difference between *fariba 'drank' and *rama 'throw' on the one hand, and *fażištu 'became terrified' *hazintu 'became sad' and jartašifu 'shivers on the other hand is related to telicity. The first two verbs are telic whereas the other three are atelic. This is supported by the time-span/time-frame test. According to this test, the verbs *fariba 'drank' and *rama 'throw' can be confined by 'in an hour' while *fażištu 'became terrified' *hazintu 'became sad' and jartašifu 'shivers can be modified by 'for an hour':

18. a. rama: Omar-u l-kura-ta fi: ŧa:nija throw:PRF:3SM Omar-NOM the-ball-ACC in a second 'Omar threw the ball in a second'

*b.Rama: Omar-u l-kura-ta li daqi:qa throw:PRF:3SM Omar-NOM the-ball-ACC for a minute 'Omar threw the ball for a minute'


b. *ʔirtašafa Omar-u li sa:ša shiver:PRF:3SM Omar-NOM for an hour 'Omar shivered for an hour'

5. The second test for telicity is ‘tending towards a goal’. According to this test, telic verbs express an action tending towards a goal. Atelic verbs, on the other hand, do not involve a goal or an endpoint in their semantic structure, but denote actions that are realized as soon as they begin. So, when somebody throws a ball it moves towards a certain goal. By contrast, shivering does not go towards a goal. It is realized as shivering from the very moment of taking place.

As for the CAs *farurban 'drinking' and *ramyan 'throwing', the ones that were classified as categorial, there was one translation that used the emphatic *do to express the CAs. This suggests that the student(s) understood the CA as emphatic, but since s/he subconsciously interpreted the events in these two sentences as non-gradient, s/he used the emphatic *do rather than any intensifying adverb. In fact, only one translation (out of 20) used the intensifying adverb (a lot) with the CA *farurban 'drinking'. No student used any intensifying adverb for *ramyan 'throwing'. (5) students used an adverb of manner *(very) hard, severely.
Finally, it was mentioned earlier that the use of the CA confirms the occurrence of the event itself rather than any close or associative event. In fact, this is supported by some translations of (16b). The English verbs that are associated with medicine are mainly 'take' or 'swallow'. However, the Arabic equivalents are either ʔaxaða 'took' or fariba 'drank'. Interestingly, because of the presence of the CA, (4) students understood the event in (16b) as purely drinking of medicine and thus used the verb 'drank' fariba, while they interpreted the same event without a CA as 'took' ʔaxaða.

20. a. I drank the medicine to recover. (for 16b)
   b. I took the medicine to recover. (16b without the cog. acc. furban)

4.1.2 Cognate Accusatives Post-modified by an Adjective:
CAs can be post-modified by an adjective (in italics):

21. a. ʔuHibb-u haða T-Tabaq-a Hubb-an
   dʔamm-an love:IPRF:1S-IND this the-dish-ACC
   love:GER-ACC much-ACC
   'I do love this dish very much'
   b. ʔuHibb-u haða T-Tabaq-a
   love:IPRF:1S-IND this the-dish-ACC
   'I love this dish'

22. a. bakaj-tu bukaʔ-an fadi:d-an ʔindama
   ʕalim-tu
   cry:PRF-1S crying-ACC severe-ACC when
   know:PRF-1S
   bi-xabar-i wafa:ti-h
   with-news-GEN death-his
   'I did cry severely when I learnt about his death'
   b. bakaj-tu ʔindama ʕalim-tu bi-xabar-i wafa:ti-h
   cry:PRF-1S when know:PRF-1S with-news-GEN death-his
   'I cried when I learnt about his death'

23. a. ʕadʔib-tu min sahari-ka l-lajl-a
   wonder:PRF-1S from staying up:GER-your the-night-ACC
   sahar-an Tawi:l-an
   staying-up-ACC long-ACC
   'I did wonder about your staying up too long'
   b. ʕadʔib-tu min sahari-ka l-lajl-a
   wonder:PRF-1S from staying up:GER-your the-night-ACC
   'I wondered about your staying up'

Most students did not translate the CAs but sufficed with translating the post-modifying adjective. This, again, indicates that
the students subconsciously treated the CA as a redundant element. Their translations were as follows:

24. a. I love this dish very much/a lot/ so much/ too much
   b. I cried severely/ a lot/ very much/ hard/ heavily.
   c. I wondered about your staying awake/ at night for a long
time/ very long/ for so long time.

There were 7 students who translated (23a&b) the same: I was surprised at how you stayed up at night'. This, in turn, may indicate that they thought of the CA and its post-modifying as redundant since saharika l-lajlin Arabic means 'staying up all night'. This is because l-lajlis modified by the definite article l-, which indicates that the noun is non-partitive; the whole night.

Looking carefully at the other translations, it is found that the students dispensed with the CAs and translated the adjective as an adverb. In other words, the NP (the CA and its modifying adjective) have been taken as modifying the verb. This is not surprising because adjectives and adverbs ultimately belong to the AP category. Since the semantics of the CA is subsumed under the verb, after deleting it, the post-modifying adjective will turn into an adverb that modifies the verb.

4.1.3 CS Cognate Accusatives

The next category is the CA that has the structure of a Construct State (CS). The results of this type were interesting. In the questionnaire, the pairs of sentences were structured in a way that one includes a CA in the form of a CS and the other has an adverb of manner or a simile (CS in bold):

25. a. qara?-tu qira:?at-a l-muta?ammil-i
   read:PRF-1S reading-ACC the-contemplative-GEN
   'I read the way the contemplative reads'
   b. qara?-tu bi-ta?ammul-i
   read:PRF-1S with- contemplation-GEN
   'I read thoughtfully

26. a. taHaddaθ-tu Hadi:θ-a l-wa:θiqa-l min
   nafs-i-h speak:PRF-1S speaking-ACC the-confident-GEN from
   self-GEN-his
   'I spoke the way the self-confident person would speak'
   b. taHaddaθ-tu bi-θiqat-in
   speak:PRF-1S with-confidence-GEN
   'I spoke confidently'

27. a. ?inTlaqa-t s-sajja:rat-u ?inTilaq-a s-sahm-i
dart:PRF-3SF  the-car-NOM  darting-ACC  the-arrow-GEN
'The car darted the way an arrow would dart'
b. ?inTlaqa-t  s-sajja:rat-u  ka-s-sahm-i
dart:PRF-3SF  the-car-NOM  like-the-arrow-GEN
'The car darted like an arrow'

All students translated (25b) - the one without a CA- as 'I read with meditation', whereas 19 of them translated the one with a CA as 'I read like a meditator'. Likewise, 20 students translated (26b) 'I spoke with confidence', while 20 translated (26a) 'I spoke like a confident person'. The pair in (27) is different since there is a simile particle ka 'like' in (27b). The result was that all students translated (27b) as 'The car went off like/as an arrow'. On the other hand, (17) students translated (27a) the same as (27b) -with the simile particle- in line with (25a&26a). The other five translations included an adverb of manner: 'The car went off fast/ quickly/ with speed'.

It is worth mentioning here that there is a semantic difference between (25a, 26a &27a) and (25b, 26b &27b). Although most students translated the formers with a simile particle, the meaning is more intense. Due to the absence of a simile particle, these sentences indicate that the event in each was carried out exactly the same as the event indicated by the CS. In other words, we have a 100% match between, say, my speaking and the speaking of a confident person. By contrast, the use of the particle in the translations suggests that the events were performed to a lesser degree (say 70-90%) compared to the events indicated by the CS:

28. a. I spoke the way the self-confident person would speak'

b. I spoke like a self-confident person'

So, why did most students translate the CS sentences with a simile particle? The answer has two folds: first, they were ignorant of the subtle difference that was mentioned above. This is supported by the fact that 17 (out of 22) students translated (27a) the same as (27b). Second, as a principle of economy (Hornstein, Nunes&Grohmann, 2010), the translation with the simile particle like/as(28b) is more economical than the more precise one that repeats the verb and adds an auxiliary that hosts (T)ense (28a). Therefore, students unconsciously opt for the shorter translation.

4.1.4 Cognate Accusatives with the Definite Article.

Now, let’s discuss the CA that is introduced by the definite article. Definite NPs may be construed as referring expressions which, in turn, indicates that the definite CA is known to both of the
speaker and the addressee (29a). They may also have a generic meaning (29b).

29. a. sir-tu  
   walk:PRF-1S  the-walking-ACC
   'I walked the walking (that we both know)'

b. dẓalas-tu  
   sit:PRF-1S  the-sitting-ACC
   'I sat(long) sitting'

Ten students translated (29a) without any modification 'I walked', whereas 17 translated (29b) in the same way 'I sat'. The relatively big difference here could be referred to the referring and generic meanings of the definite CAs. Students felt more comfortable to translate (29b) without any modification because of its generic interpretation. On the other hand, because of its referring interpretation, less students translated (29a) without any modification. Three students understood both sentences as referring expressions and thus added 'as usual' in both cases 'I walked/sat as usual'. One student translated both with a gerund 'I walked the walking/ I sat the sitting' which is literally equivalent to a CA. Other translations involved adding a direct object or a prepositional phrase: 'I walked the road/ the way/ the path/ on foot' and 'I sat on a seat'.

Other than the three translations that involved the addition of 'as usual', the students' responses suggest that the majority of the subjects understood the CAs as redundant. Some could not figure out the function of the definite CA and therefore added some PP expressions.

4.1.5 Number-Identifying CA

Let's move on to the number-identifying CA. This also was not surprising since all students looked at the CA as redundant and thus translated the number of occurrences of the event represented by the verb. 'Two knocks', 'two readings' and 'two visits' were all translated as 'twice':

30. a. zur-tu  
   dẓadd-i  
   visit:PRF-1S  grandfather-my  
   ziya:rat-ajn  visit-DUAL:ACC
   'I visited my grandfather twice'

b. Taraq-tu  
   l-ba:b-a  
   knock:PRF-1S  the-door-ACC  
   Tarqat-ayn  knock-DUAL:ACC
   'I knocked the door twice'

c. qara?-tu  
   l-qur?a:n-a  
   read:PRF-1S  the-Quran-ACC  
   qira:t-ayn  reading-DUAL:ACC
   'I read the Quran twice'
Taking into account that NPs (noun phrases) may project NumP (Number phrase) that may carry phi-features (number, gender and person) (Radford, 2004), we assume that the head noun moves to NumP where it merges with Number and the whole phrase becomes a CS (construct state) that is headed by Number:

31. NumP  
   \[ \text{Num' Num NP} \]  
   \[ \text{N Num N'} \]  

Since the head of the CS is Number, it comes as no surprise that the subjects translated the number and dispensed with the complement N. Two marks are in order here: first, numbers greater than two precede N. Therefore, Num comes to the left of N in (31) above. Nonetheless, the Num remains the head of the CS. Second, Num is the head only when it appears in a CA phrase since the lexical meaning of the CA is taken by the lexical meaning of the verb. However, when NumP appears in other positions, say subject or object, then the head is the noun and Num only carries other phi-features:

32. raʔay-tu xamsa-t-a ridʒa:l-in ʕala l-dʒabal  
   see:PRF-1S five-F-ACC man:pl-GEN on the-mountain  
   'I saw five men on the mountain'

If asked about what one saw on the mountain, the answer would be 'men' rather than 'five'. This supports our premise that the head of the CS is the noun rather than the number.

4.2 Representative of Cognate Accusatives  
4.2.1 Representative of Cognate Accusatives  
As a law of economy, certain elements can replace the CA when the latter is understood from the context and hence redundant. These elements are called representatives of CA and thus carry the accusative case of the CA. In order to dispense with CA, representatives must have some association with them. The questionnaire incorporated 6 representatives of CA that are different
in type. These sentences did not come in pairs. In fact, students may have thought of them as fillers since they are unlikely to be recognized as representatives of CA due to the absence of the intended CAs. We will discuss each of these sentences separately.

4.2.1.1 Kull ‘All’ and BasD ‘Some’

‘Kull ‘all’ and basD’some’ can be used as representatives of CA:

33. a. yu-Hibb-u Madonna-un valid-a-hu
   IMPF:3SM-love-IND Muhammad-NOM father-ACC-his
   kull-a l-Hubb-i
   all-ACC the-love-GEN
   ‘Muhammed loves his father all love’
   The sentence in (33a) is derived from (33b) which has a direct CA:
   b. yu-Hibb-u Muhammad-un valid-a-hu
      IMPF:3SM-love-IND Muhammad-NOM father-ACC-his
   Hubb-an kull-a-hu
      love-ACC all-ACC-its
      ‘Muhammed loves his father all love’

When reading such a sentence, the first hunch that comes to mind is the amount of love that Muhammad holds to his father. This is exactly the right intuition. However, 13 students translated it with an intensifying adverb:

34. a. Muhammad totally loves his dad very much/ so much/ a lot

which is, in fact, a translation of (34b):

   b. yuhibbu Muhammadun wali dahu kathi:ran
      IMPF:3SM-love-IND Muhammad-NOM father-ACC-his a lot-ACC
      ‘Muhammad loves his father a lot’

Two students failed to see any difference between this sentence and the same sentence without the adjunct kulla l-Hubb ‘all love’. In other words, they thought of that adjunct as semantically redundant perhaps due to the event of 'love' that is directed towards one's father; it is expected, at least culturally, that one gives all love to his/her father.

Interestingly, 7 students translated it with the universal quantifier 'all':

35. Muhammad loves his dad all the love.

Note that the universal quantifier kull in (33a) carries the accusative case of the CAHubban in (33b). On the other hand, l-Hubbi in (33a) carries the genitive case which is associated with the
second element in a CS. Based on its case, all Arab grammarians considered the universal quantifier *kullas* a representative of the direct CA. Obviously, the replacement of the direct CA structure plus the universal quantifier *Hubban kullah* in (33b) by a CS structure where *kull* 'all' precedes the CA, and thus represents it syntactically, has led a good number of students to translate (33a) as in (34).

So, what is the difference between translating (33a) as an intensifying adverb as in (34b) or as a universal quantifier plus a CA as in (35)? Why were the students confused between the two translations? According to Ibn Malik (1990), IbnAquil (1995), IbnHisham (1962), Al-Ashmoni(1955), and Al-Samirra'e (2000), inter alia, (33b) is a translation of a representative of a CA:

35. *yu-hibb-u Muhammad-un walid-a-hu*
**IMPFLSM-love-IND Muhammad-NOM father-ACC-his**

**Hubb-an** kathi:r-an
love-ACC a lot-ACC
'Muhammad loves his father a lot'

With the deletion of the explicit CA, more than half of the students read *kathi:ran* 'a lot' as an intensifying adverb that post-modifies the verb *yuhibbu*. Again, it seems that the implicit CA is redundant. Semantically, the adverb *kathi:ran* indicates a high percentage of love, say 80-90%. By contrast, the representative of CA, the universal quantifier *kull*, indicates a 100%.

### 4.2.1.2 Representatives of CAs Denoting Speaker-Oriented Adverbs

Speaker-oriented adverbs (SpOAs) appear at the leftmost side of the sentence. These SpOAs follow certain order as suggested by Cinque (1999), Ernst (2000, 2009), (e.g. evaluative, epistemic, negation). Ernst pinpoints that sentences like (36a&b) are better interpreted as (36a&b).

36. a. Luckily, Aaron did not fall off his bicycle.
   b. Honestly, I don’t know what you mean
37. a. It is lucky that Aaron did not fall off his bicycle.
   b. I SAY Adv that P (Proposition).

Adopting Ernst’s analysis, the representatives of CAs in (37-38) are interpreted as (36b):

38. *fadžab-an* li-ʔamr-i ha:ða r-radžul-i
**wonder:GER-ACC for-matter this the-man-GEN**

huwa da:ʔimu t-taHdī:q-i bi-l-maːrra
he always the-staring-GEN by-the-bypassers
'I wonder of this man; he always stares at the by-passers'

39. samʕ-an wa Taʕat-an ya:

?abi

listen:GER-ACC and obedience:GER-ACC VOC

father

'I listen and obey you, dad'

The two examples are SpOAs since both of them can be interpreted as 'I SAY P'. In fact, Arab grammarians (Al-Farahidi, 1981; IbnHisham, 1988; Ibn Malik, 1990; and Sibawaih, 1996) interpret them as representative of CAs since the verbs have been omitted and their semantics have been retained in the CAs:

40. a. ?a-taʕad’3dʒab-u ʕadʒab-an ......................

IMPF:1S-wonder-IND wonder:GER-ACC

......................

'I wonder wondering ….'

b. ?asmaʕ-u samʕ-an wa ?uTif-u

IMPF:1S-listen-IND listen:GER-ACC and IMPF:1S-

listen-IND

Taʕat-an

obedience:GER-ACC

I listen listening and obey obedience….'

In the questionnaire, sentence (38) was translated as follows:

41. a. exclamation construction: 'How strange/ weird/ surprising/ wonderful this man is'

((15) Ss).

b. No translation

((1) Ss)

c. declarative statement: 'The man is very strange'

((2) Ss)

d. using 'wonder' as a verb: 'I wonder about this man'

((4) Ss).

Students tried to get the meaning of the representative of the CA in (19) translations. In (41a&d), though, they couldn’t extract the event without tense. In (41d); 'wonder' represents a sensory event accompanied by (present) tense that requires two arguments: external NP (I) and an internal PP (about this man). However, Arabic verbal noun ʕadʒaban 'wondering' indicates an event without a tense, and therefore does not require any arguments.

Perhaps (41a) was more fortunate since the exclamation construction (how strange/ weird …) per se does not denote any
tense. The tense in the sentence comes from the stative verb 'is' which is mandatory when no other verb is expressed in the sentence.

The other representative of CA in (39) was translated as follows:

42. a. literal trans with V I hear and obey, father
   ((6) Ss)
b. literal trans with N at your command, father
   ((3) Ss)
literal trans with N all my obedience for you, dad((2) Ss)
literal trans with N out of obedience, father
   ((1) Ss)
c. No Translation
   ((2) Ss)
d. meaning trans. I will do all you want dad
   ((3) Ss)
meaning trans. as you want dad
   ((2) Ss)
meaning simplistic trans. yes father
   ((1) Ss)
meaning simplistic trans. alright father
   ((1) Ss)
meaning simplistic trans. ok dad
   ((1) Ss)

As seen in (42), (8) students went with the meaning translation as they thought of samSanwaTa' Satan 'listening and obedience' as very Arabic expression that need not be translated literally. (6) students translated both words literally but with a verb, thus could not extract the event from the event plus tense combination. Another (6) students translated it almost literally but with a noun. In fact, it is these last (6) students who were the closest to the Arabic equivalent which denotes an event devoid of tense. Perhaps, (39) was harder than (38) due to language specific reasons.

4.2.1.3 Sentences that had a unanimous translation

There was unanimity on the following three sentences of CA representatives (43a, 44, 45a):

43. a. radža:A-an la: ta-duq 1-ba:b
   begging:GER-ACC NEG IMPF:2SM-knock the-door
   'Please, don’t knock the door'.
b. ?a-rdža:-ka radža:A-an la: ta-duq
   1-ba:b
   IMPF:1S-beg-2SM begging-ACC NEG IMPF:2SM-knock
   the-door
   'I beg you begging, don’t knock the door'.
44. qaṣad-tu  
      dʒul:u:s-an  
      Tawi:l-an¹³⁸
      sit:PRF-1S  
      sitting:GER-ACC  
      long-ACC  
      'I sat sitting for a long time'

45. a. Darab-a  
      r-radʒul-u  
      ibn-a-hu  
      faSa-an  
      hit:PRF-SUBJ  
      the-man-NOM  
      son-ACC-his  
      stick-ACC  
      kay  
      ya-rtadiʃ  
      to  
      IMPF:3SM-stop  

b. Darab-a  
      r-radʒul-u  
      ibn-a-hu  
      Darb-an  
      hit:PRF-SUBJ  
      the-man-NOM  
      son-ACC-his  
      hitting:GER-ACC  
      bi-CASt-in  
      kay  
      ya-rtadiʃ  
      with-stick-GEN  
      to  
      IMPF:3SM-stop  
      'The man hit his son with a stick in order to stop wrongdoing'

The gerund radʒa:ʔ-an 'begging' in (43a) is a representative of CA because in the DS (Deep Structure)(43b), there is a verb that is morphologically similar to the gerund. All students translated the representative of CA in (43a) as 'please'. Nonetheless, there is a big difference between the English adverb please and the Arabic gerund radʒa:ʔ-an. Al-Sa:mir:ʔi (2000, p.144-145) mentions a subtle difference between the imperative verb and the gerund as representative of the verb: the gerund is stronger and more permanent than the verb since the gerund indicates a mere event whereas the verb denotes an event plus tense. When ordering someone using a gerund (e.g. Sabran 'patience '), the focusing is on the event of patience itself which is more stable than the verb (ʔiShir 'be patient') which is a renewable event associated with a certain tense. Moreover, the verb can appear in present, past or imperative, but the gerund denotes concentrating on the event regardless of its tense. Furthermore, verbs need to have subjects. However, the aim of giving an order might be the accomplishment of the event regardless of the subject which might distract us.

It is worth mentioning here that English sometimes mentions the noun to denote the imperative as in 'silence'. Although English does not have CAs, the noun used in such cases does have the same semantic effect as the representatives of CA; it denotes the event detached from any tense which, in turn, is stronger than using an imperative or other tense.

Thus, although the ready-to-use adverb please could suffice for translation, the abundance of meanings designated by the gerund radʒa:ʔ-an have been lost in translation.

¹³⁸ For the difference between qaṣada and dʒalasa see footnote 3.
Sentence (44) was also translated unanimously without a CA. The students seemed to consider the gerund (representative of CA) redundant. They may have also missed the difference between qaṣada 'sitting up after being in a laying position' and džalasa 'sitting down after being in a standing position'.

Finally, the representative of CAṣaSan 'stick' in (45a) was interpreted as instrumental, i.e. students could see the thematic role of the representative of the CA. This is supported by the fact that all students translated it as 'hit ... with a stick'. It is indeed the instrument by which the event was performed. However, Arab Grammarians consider it a representative of CA because ṣaSan replaced the implicit gerund Darban 'hitting' as shown in (45b). Still, there is a significant difference between the two versions: (45a) is more economical and the focus is on the instrument by which the event was performed. By contrast, the explicit CA in (45b) emphasized that the event that happened to the son is 'hitting', and that 'hitting' was performed using a stick. Thus, the unconscious knowledge of the subjects indicate that they saw ṣaSan 'stick' as an instrument and none of them could see the redundant implicit CADarban 'hitting' right before the instrument.

4.3Gerunds with more than one reading

Last, there were three sentences whose syntactic category was debatable among Arab traditional grammarians. These sentences were:

46. a. dža:ʔa Zaid-un rābbat-an  
come:3SM Zaid-NOM willing:GER-ACC

'Zaid came willingly'

b. dža:ʔa Zaid-un rākD-an  
come:3SM Zaid-NOM running:GER-ACC

'Zaid came running'

c. mafaj-tu Tawi:l-an  
walk:PRF-1S long:ADJ-ACC

'I walked long'

The economical structures in these sentences lead to having more than one interpretation for each. The speaker may, in fact, do that on purpose in order to condense meaning and possibly to equivocate his/her utterance. As interpretations for these sentences, IbnHisham (1985, p.730) assumes that the last word in each is a representative of a CA. In other words, there is an implicit verb right before the last word, i.e.yaṣabu '(he) wishes', yarkuDu '(he) runs',
and ًامفَّ(I) walk' respectively\textsuperscript{139}. However, none of the translations supported this assumption. In fact, the translations indicated that the students understood these accusatives as adverbs of manner; most students translated the first one as 'willingly' or 'out of desire'. Some translated it as a clause of reason 'because he wanted that'. As for (46b) all translations were either present participle 'running' or adverb of manner 'quickly'; both modify the event of coming. Regarding this sentence, Sybawaih (1996) says that rak\textit{Dan} is a circumstantial accusative, while Almubarrad (1994) believes it to be a CA whose verb is deleted. The last one was translated as either an adverb of time 'for a long time', 'too long', or a prepositional phrase 'for a long distance'. Some Arab grammarians believe that \textit{Tawi:lan} could be a time adverbial representative, others believe that it is circumstantial accusative, and a third group consider it a representative of CA.

The fact that the subjects failed to read any of these sentences as a (representative of) CA indicates that it might be lacking in their unconscious knowledge.

5. Conclusion

This paper addressed CAs from a semantic-syntactic point of view. Since this structure is absent in English and since its meaning is subsumed under the verb (or the binder), it is very likely to be lost in translation. Subjects seem to consider CAs as redundant. In best cases, the subjects considered CAs as intensifiers, and thus translated them as intensifier adverbs. They also subconsciously divided verbs into categorial and gradient ones: the categorial ones cannot be intensified since they either occur or not, whereas the gradient ones are more susceptible to be translated with an intensifier adverb when the Arabic sentence included a CA. Additionally, representatives of CAs gave insight into the unconscious knowledge of the native speaker: representatives of CAs were seen as post-modifiers of the verb band no implicit CA was retrieved. Finally, Arabic, as well as English, has constructions that express eventuality without tense: eventuality that replaces the verb with its arguments. Such eventuality is expressed in Arabic by a verbal noun. It was found that the use of a verbal noun (without tense) is probably stronger than using an imperative because, as Arab grammarians have long mentioned, the use of verbal nouns focuses on the accomplishment of the event regardless of the subject.

\textsuperscript{139}Some grammarians believe that (41a) could be \textit{mas\textsuperscript{f}ullahu}'purposeful object'.

351
References:


