Dear ESJ,

Below are my comments for the resubmitted article sent on Feb. 1. 2018.
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Sarah Margarita Chávez Valdez, PhD*
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The article is greatly improved from the first review, but there are persistent errors that need correction. I also suggest some recommendations.

1. There are still a few persistent grammatical mistakes in the article. I provide an example below. I recommend continued proofreading.

   Example of incomplete clause: “Sus resultados, es decir, su efecto en la resolución del problema o la cobertura de la necesidad;...”

2. There are sections in the beginning that are better suited for the discussion section as was noted in the first review. For example, the two paragraphs below found in the methods section appear to address the results of the study. These appear before the hypothesis. Either they were meant to be written as expected results or they are results and should be moved to the discussion section.

   “Sus resultados, es decir, su efecto en la resolución del problema o la cobertura de la necesidad; en sí, el fomento del afrontamiento socio-emocional positivo y manejo de miedo colectivo, así como el incremento en la eficacia y pro actividad ciudadana, se logró demostrar a partir de tamaños de efecto muy altos en el grupo experimental, al comparar el primer tiempo respecto al segundo tiempo de medición- en la muestra experimental de adolescentes.

   La intervención ofreció mejoras en la percepción y desarrollo de las capacidades pro sociales de las y los adolescentes, por ende, es de vital importancia un seguimiento respecto a la adaptación de los recursos aprendidos durante las sesiones a manera de que replicaran los proyectos de mejora realizados al final de la intervención denominados "vecindarios saludables", en cada una de sus comunidades.”

3. The first review noted tendencies for the author to make causal conclusions though a quasi-experimental design was used. Though the authors have added cautionary language about drawing causal conclusions and the limitations of their design, they are still making causal
conclusions. An example is provided below. I recommend that the authors rewrite this statement to indicate a link, but not a causal one, between the variables. Please review the discussion section carefully.

Example of causal statement: “...sujetos, el tratamiento parece haber brindado un decremento en los factores de riesgo que afectan a la población...”

4. An improvement to the manuscript that would make it more conventionally appropriate and acceptable to the readers, would be to change the term “Grupo Experimental” to “Grupo Tratamiento”. The group that receives the manipulation in a quasi-experimental design is conventionally called/labelled the treatment group. This is by convention. Experimental group implies a randomly assigned group. The changes in terminology should be made in tables and throughout the text. This change would greatly improve the article.

5. Another improvement to the manuscript would be to italicize the statistical notations for $M, F, t, d, p$, etc. throughout the tables and text.