
ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	Email:
Date Manuscript Received: JAN, 6	Date Manuscript Review Submitted: JAN, 9
Aggression Dimensions In People with Autism According to Some Variables	
Manuscript Title:	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-less point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>The title is related to the study content and its objectives</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>It contains all the required information. But it needs further editing</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(It involves some grammatical and spelling errors, so it needs further revision & editing)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(They are relevant to the study objectives)</i>	

5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
(<i>It contains some mistakes need to be reviewed</i>)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
(<i>Related to the goals of the study and its content</i>)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
(<i>all references are recent and related</i>)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

I recommend the researchers to re-reviewed the study and correct all mistakes .

After finishing the introduction, the suppose write down the aim/s of the study.

Its good for the study if they add new studies in this regard.

Dr. Emad. A Alzeghoul

Prof. of Cognitive &Learning Psychology

Chairman of Department of Gifted Education

Arabian Gulf University

Kingdom of Bahrain

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

European Scientific Journal
European Scientific Institute

