
ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2018

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review report. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper. Do not estimate the novelty or the potential impact of the paper. You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision. **ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!**

Date Manuscript Received:	Date Manuscript Review Submitted:
Manuscript Title: FRACTIONNEMENT GÉOCHIMIQUE DES ELÉMENTS TRACES MÉTALLIQUES (ETM) DANS LES SÉDIMENTS DE L'ESTUAIRE DE L'OUÉMÉ AU BÉNIN	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0114/18	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with an explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>we do not put a conclusion in the summary we only present the results obtained The abstract in English form must be reformulated</i>	
3. There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5

<i>The study methods is very well explained</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
7. The references are comprehensive and according to the APA citation style. <i>(All the sources in the list of references are cited in the content and vice versa)</i>	3
<i>references must be homogeneous; either you write the names of the journals abbreviated or in full</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Try to summarize your introduction it's a bit long.
Preferably you add an isolated paragraph concerning the statistical analyzes

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

