

---

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2018

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review report. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper. Do not estimate the novelty or the potential impact of the paper. You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision. **ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!**

Date Manuscript Received: 26/6/2018	Date Manuscript Review Submitted: 28/6/2018
Manuscript Title: BUENAS PRÁCTICAS DOCENTES PARA PROFESOR DE INGLÉS EN EL ÁMBITO UNIVERSITARIO	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 27.07.2018	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with an explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>El título es claro, pero se sugiere agregar al título "plataforma virtual"</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>El abstract es claro y presente objetivos, metodología y resultados, pero contiene un error en la redacción que debe ser corregido</i>	
<b>3. There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>El artículo contiene pocos errores gramaticales que han sido observados como comentarios en el documento.</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>La metodología explica el diseño de la investigación, pero debe ampliar el uso de los instrumentos y seguimiento de calificaciones.</i>	

<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>4.5</b>
<i>El cuerpo del paper es claro y contiene pocos errores</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Las conclusiones son claras y son apropiadas a los resultados obtenidos en la investigación</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and according to the APA citation style.</b> <i>(All the sources in the list of references are cited in the content and vice versa)</i>	<b>4,5</b>
<i>Todas las citas bibliográficas están contempladas en la bibliografía y viceversa, Sin embargo existen dos citas bibliográficas que deben ser revisadas con la norma APA.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	<b>x</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Se sugiere al autor revisar las pocas sugerencias que han sido agregadas como comentarios en el documento del artículo que se encuentra adjunto al formulario.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

Una vez acogidas las pocas sugerencias observadas al autor del paper y salvo mejor criterio el paper está apto para ser considerado para su publicación.