

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2018***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review report. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper. Do not estimate the novelty or the potential impact of the paper. You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision. ***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Date Manuscript Received: 9/3/2018	Date Manuscript Review Submitted: 9/10/2018
Manuscript Title:	
Influence of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) on Academic Achievement of Learners in International Primary Schools in Mombasa (Kenya) and Kampala (Uganda): A Comparative Study	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0925/18	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with an explanation for each point rating.

<b><i>Questions</i></b>	<b><i>Rating Result</i></b> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The title is very clear.</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>The abstract was a bit difficult to navigate at first glance. Perhaps it could be summarized a bit more clearly and succinctly.</i>	
<b>3. There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>There are some areas that could use commas in order to further clarify the sentences, but this is not a major issue.</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(An explanation is recommendable)</i>	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(An explanation is recommendable)</i>	

<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>The conclusion is extremely brief, and should be expanded to accurately reflect the conclusions that were drawn from this study.</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and according to the APA citation style.</b> <i>(All the sources in the list of references are cited in the content and vice versa)</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>References are numbered, and not aligned with APA formatting.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

See comments throughout the review form.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

