

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 6/11/2018	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: PREVALENCIA DEL PARASITISMO INTESTINAL Y ESTADO NUTRICIONAL EN INFANTES DEL MUNICIPIO DE GALAPA, ATLÁNTICO-COLOMBIA, 2017	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 39.11.2018	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/ No	
You approve your name as a reviewer of this paper is available on the ESJ's website: Yes/ No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-less point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>To see comments in the manuscript</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>(the summary is not clear and needs corrections)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2
<i>(the manuscript seems to have been written in English and then translated into Spanish so it has editorial errors)</i>	

4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>To see comments in the manuscript</i>	
5. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>To see comments in the manuscript</i>	
6. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>To see comments in the manuscript</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an **X** with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	X
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

To see comments in the manuscript. Also,

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The manuscript seems to have been written in English and then translated into Spanish so it has many editorial errors. In addition, the manuscript need to explain better the methodology and statistical analysis. The authors perform conclusions that have not been proven with your results.

