

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Abouzaid Abderrahim	
Date Manuscript Received: 13/11/2018	Date Review Report Submitted: 18/11/2018
Manuscript Title: Analyses des représentations des apprenants de tronc commun marocain en interactions mécaniques (3^{ème} loi de newton)	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 11108/18	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve your name as a reviewer of this paper is available on the ESJ's website: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-lesspoint rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>Intégrer quelques données nécessaires au résumé afin de faciliter la compréhension de l'article.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Définir clairement le but du travail dans le paragraphe de la page 3 (Le présent travail.....jusqu'au pré-requis).</i> ▪ <i>Revoir le paragraphe de la page 4(Il a été demandé aux..... jusqu'au chez l'apprenant).</i> 	

4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>Pourquoi ne pas utilisé dans cette étude la Technique du Groupe Nominal(TGN) ?</i>	
5. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>La conclusion doit renfermer plus de précisions (objet, discussion, résultat, en relation avec la partie théorique de l'étude).</i>	
6. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Revoir et introduire une numérotation ordonnée des références dans l'article.</i> ▪ <i>Faire apparaitre la correspondance entre les références utilisées et le contenu de l'article.</i> 	

Overall Recommendation(mark an **X** with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Le sujet que l'auteur traite est de grande importance en didactique de la mécanique, il peut contribuer à l'amélioration de la qualité de l'acte enseignement de la physique au secondaire. L'auteur pourra approfondir d'avantage la partie théorique de l'article.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

