

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2018

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review report. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper. Do not estimate the novelty or the potential impact of the paper. You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial teamis a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received:	Date Manuscript Review Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Removal of various textile dyes from aqueous solution using low cost biodegradable adsorbent.	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0659/18	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with an explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
The title of this publication explains the purpose of the research investigated in this paper, So, this topic is very interesting in order to minimize industrial pollution	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	
First of all, it is necessary to have a good impression on this publication from the abstract. Aims (importance of your topic), results (significance of your study), conclusions (relevance of your study)	
3. There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	
There are many mistakes in this publication such as; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It is necessary to put the chemical supplier. - For pH dyeing, a more acidic pH is required to promote dye fixation - It is necessary to follow a definite form of the manuscript and the units - The legend of the figures - The references 	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	
All used methods are explained poorly there are many defaults.	

5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	
The body of this publication is not clear. Then for each publication it's necessary to answer these four questions. Why this study needs to be done (background of information and current state of the field and problems in the field, what you did, (objective, methodology) What you found (results and figures), how your study will advance the field (relevance of findings and implications for the field). This is not respected and the results are not clear as well as their interpretations are quite poor scientifically.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
The results do not match other published scientific researches	
7. The references are comprehensive and according to the APA citation style. <i>(All the sources in the list of references are cited in the content and vice versa)</i>	
The cited references are not cited according to the APA citation style.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	X
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

This research topic is very interesting and presents a much researched field of research. But this publication is poorly presented as well, as methods and results are misrepresented. For this, it is necessary to present the findings and compare them with those cited in the literature and to develop the novelties of this article.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

