

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2018

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review report. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper. Do not estimate the novelty or the potential impact of the paper.

You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 11/06/2018	Date Manuscript Review Submitted:
Manuscript Title:	
Removal of various textile dyes from aqueous solution using low cost biodegradable adsorbent.	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0659/18	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with an explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>The title clearly indicates the topic being discussed</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2
<i>The abstract is very confusing</i>	
3. There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>The introduction is very disconnected due to the alternation of various concepts without logical consequence</i>	
<i>Blue OWF is not a purchased dye</i>	
<i>MIHRA ?? what is it?</i>	

5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	2
<i>The text lacks clarity Captions and numbering in many figures are missing For the sake of clarity it would be advisable to put the figures in three on the same plane (for example 3.5, 3.6, 3.7)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
<i>(An explanation is recommendable)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and according to the APA citation style.	1
<i>The references are incomplete because either the end of article pages are missing or the publishing house is not reported correctly</i>	
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	X
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

It is advisable to write the article again paying attention to greater clarity and to completely exhaust a concept before introducing a new one

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The work is devoid of originality and does not address the problem of how to destroy the adsorbent after it has been used to remove the dye from the waste water. The exposure of the topic is very untidy, the figures are incomplete and also the bibliography