



**Paper: “The Scourge of Religious Conflicts in Tunji Ogundimu’s Paradise at Night”**

Corresponding Author: Sidi Chabi Moussa

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n23p80

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Peter Ottuh  
Delta State University, Abraka, Delta State, Nigeria

Reviewer 2: Tayeb Boutbouqalt  
Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Morocco

Reviewer 3: Nouh Alguzo  
Imam Muhammad Ibn Saud Islamic University, Saudi Arabia

Published: 31.08.2020

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: <b>Dr. Tayeb BOUTBOUQALT</b>	Email:
University/Country: <b>Abdelmalek Essaadi University - Morocco</b>	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: <b>The Scourge of Religious Conflicts in Tunji Ogundimu's <i>Paradise at Night</i></b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <b>Yes/No</b>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes/No</b>	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes/No</b>	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>2</b>
<b><i>The title is partially adequate to the content of the article</i></b>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>1</b>
<b><i>The abstract is very confusing</i></b>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling</b>	<b>1</b>

mistakes in this article.	
<b><i>Many spelling mistakes and few grammatical errors in this article</i></b>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	<b>1</b>
<b><i>Serious methodological failure: the Marxist class struggle is not adequate for the analysis of this corpus. Ultimately, the author should have mentioned the quote attributed to Marx: "religion is the opium of the people" ... To carry out this study, an in-depth content analysis is essential...</i></b>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	<b>1</b>
<b><i>Insufficient study...</i></b>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	<b>1</b>
<b><i>Insufficient study...</i></b>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	<b>1</b>
<b><i>Insufficient study...</i></b>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	<b>X</b>
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Dr. Peter O.O. Ottuh	
University/Country: Delta State University, Abraka, Delta State, Nigeria.	
Date Manuscript Received: 30 <sup>th</sup> June, 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 30 <sup>th</sup> June, 2020
Manuscript Title: <i>'The Scourge of Religious Conflicts in Tunji Ogundimu's Paradise at Night'</i>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0708/220	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:    Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:    Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:    Yes	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	4
The title is clear, concise and adequate to the contents of the article.	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	2
The abstract did not clearly and adequately capture the objects, methods and results.	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling</b>	3

<b>mistakes in this article.</b>	
There are some grammatical and spelling errors in the article that need a rework.	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	3
The references can be improved upon.	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

- 1) The abstract should reflect a brief introduction; statement of the problem; aim; methods; findings; conclusion; and recommendations.
- 2) Find out if the referencing style (in-text and out-text) in your paper conforms to the ESJ format.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**