



Manuscript: “The Influence of Relative Advantage Towards e-Procurement Adoption Model in Developing Countries: Tanzania Context”

Submitted: 3 July 2020

Accepted: 13 October 2020

Published: 31 October 2020

Corresponding Author: Deus Shatta

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n28p130

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Rizwan Raheem Ahmed
Indus University, Karachi, Pakistan

Reviewer 2: Passaro Pierluigi
University of Bari Aldo Moro, Italy

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: PASSARO PIERLUIGI	
University/Country: UNIVERSITY OF BARI ALDO MORO (ITALY)	
Date Manuscript Received: 24/08/20	Date Review Report Submitted: 03/09/20
Manuscript Title: The Influence of Relative Advantage Towards e-Procurement Adoption Model in Developing Countries: Tanzania Context	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 58.07.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>Maybe the title is too long</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Prof. Dr. Rizwan Raheem Ahmed	
University/Country: Indus University, Karachi, Pakistan	
Date Manuscript Received: August 24, 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: September 2, 2020
Manuscript Title: The Influence of Relative Advantage Towards e-Procurement Adoption Model in Developing Countries: Tanzania Context	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0758/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(The topic and title of research is interesting and has great practical implications)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and	4

results.	
<i>(Yes the abstract is concise but comprehensive)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Yes, there are few grammatical and spelling mistakes in the paper, it is recommended to the authors to make correction)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Yes the authors have clearly demonstrated the methodology)</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
<i>(The authors should add significance and novelty in introduction, moreover, further current and relevant review of literature should be added)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	1
<i>(The authors have missed the conclusions rather they only stated theoretical & practical contributions and recommendations, it is recommended to the authors to add proper conclusions)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(The current and relevant references should be added, and some of references are incomplete)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	✓
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

As stated above, the authors should make correction and send again to the editor.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

After these minor revisions, the paper can be published.