

Paper: “Apport Des Négro-Africains Au Développement De La Géomancie Et De La Mathématique”

Submitted: 11 July 2020

Accepted: 26 October 2020

Published: 30 November 2020

Corresponding Author: Raoul Étongué Mayer

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n32p6

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Pierre Kabuika Mukulu
Université Pédagogique Nationale (UPN), Kinshasa, RDC

Reviewer 2: Pr. Mame Demba Thiam
University Cheikh Anta Diop, Dakar, Sénégal

Reviewer 3: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Pierre KABUIKA Mukulu	Email:
University/Country: Université Pédagogique Nationale (UPN), Kinshasa, RDC	
Date Manuscript Received: 25/08/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 29/08/2020
Manuscript Title: Apport des négro-africains au développement de la géomancie et de la mathématique	
ESJ Manuscript Number:---77.07.2020---complete_paper---	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>Le titre est clair, concis et précis.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>Le résumé expose correctement l'objectif, la démarche méthodologique ainsi que les résultats obtenus après investigations.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Le papier ne comporte pas de fautes grammaticales et d'orthographe. Toutefois, des petites coquilles ont été décelées. Des propositions de corrections à apporter, sont soulignées dans le texte.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>La démarche méthodologique choisie et appliquée est claire et explicite. Dans une perspective interdisciplinaire, la méthode géomatique appuyée par les mathématiques, la géographie physique, les savoirs historiques, archéologiques, ésotériques, occultes et religieuses, etc. l'auteur s'évertue avec brio à fournir ; après analyses et interprétations de la face cachée de l'os d'Ishango</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
<i>Le développement du texte est d'une qualité et d'une clarté remarquables. Il témoigne de la rigueur dont a fait montre l'auteur.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
<i>Les conclusions auxquelles est parvenu l'auteur, corroborent parfaitement avec le contenu du texte. Le chercheur y a donné une idée générale de l'intérêt de la contribution des Noirs africains à la civilisation de l'universel, au travers de la géomancie et de la mathématique. Il n'a pas manqué après vérification de l'hypothèse, de confirmer l'origine mono-génétique et négro-africaine des sciences mathématiques et géomantiques. Ce qui prouve que les objectifs escomptés par l'auteur, ont été atteints.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>Les références sont correctes et conformes aux normes universelles.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): L'article est très instructif. Son fond est très profond. Sur le plan de la forme, des coquilles mineures méritent d'être corrigées comme indiqué en rouge dans le texte. Cette étude ouvre la voie à d'autres recherches susceptibles de relever la contribution des Noirs africains dans d'autres domaines de la science.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received :	Date Review Report Submitted: 27/08/2020
Manuscript Title: APPORT DES NÉGRO-AFRICAINS AU DÉVELOPPEMENT DE LA GÉOMANCIE ET DE LA MATHÉMATIQ	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 77.07.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>Le titre n'est pas trop clair car on entend à des formules mathématiques dans le texte tel n'est pas le cas</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>Il manque la présentation des résultats dans le résumé</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
Moins d'erreur dans le texte	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>La méthodologie n'est pas bien développée</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>La présentation des références sont à revoir dans le texte</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Qu'il tient compte des observations pour corriger l'article
Aussi qu'il essaye de réduire les parties de l'article (le texte) afin de faciliter la lecture

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Pr Mame Demba THIAM	
University/Country: University Cheikh Anta Diop, Dakar, Sénégal	
Date Manuscript Received: 07-16-2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 07-19-2020
Manuscript Title: APPORT DES NÉGRO-AFRICAINS AU DÉVELOPPEMENT DE LA GÉOMANCIE ET DE LA MATHÉMATIQUE African contribution to geomancy and mathematics	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 77.07.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> See and verify the term “Négro – Africains” and adjust with examples referenced in the paper	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments) Required element are present in the abstract</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments) There is some elements which need to be corrected or reviewed, please see comment on the paper margins</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments) The study methods are not clearly explained</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments) Irt contains little errors</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments) Yes accurate can characterize summary and contents</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments) References mentioned are comprehensive, but need to be adjusted with all those Which are mentioned inside the text. Review and complete the references</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: