

Manuscript: “**Etude De La Valeur Indicatrice Des Adventices Et Diagnostic De La Fertilité Des Sols Des Friches Cacaoyères Au Sud-Est De La Côte d’Ivoire**”

**Submitted: 20 February 2020**

**Accepted: 23 July 2020**

**Published: 31 December 2020**

Corresponding Author: Akedrin Tetchi Nicaise

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n36p296

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Hervé Cedessia Koné

**Reviewer 2:** Rachid Ismaili,  
Ministère de la santé - Maroc

## ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Date Manuscript Received:12/10/2020		Date Review Report Submitted: 20/10/2020
Manuscript Title: <b>Contribution à l'étude de la valeur indicatrice des adventices et diagnostic de la fertilité des sols des friches cacaoyères au Sud-Est de la Côte d'Ivoire</b>		
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1089/20		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:-No		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes		
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes		

### Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Le titre doit être modifié en enlevant "contribution"</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Le résumé est à reprendre</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Bcp d'erreur surtout en ce qui concerne le temps des verbes</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>

<i>ok</i>	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Certaines confusions à certains endroits</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>acceptable</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

To assist the author(s) in revising his/her/their manuscript, please separate your remarks into two sections:

(1) Suggestions, which would improve the quality of the paper but are not essential for publication.

(2) Changes which must be made before publication

Les remarques et suggestions majeures ont été faites directement sur le manuscrit.

Il s'agit notamment :

-le titre ;

-le temps des verbes ;

-La syntaxe de certaines phrases ;

# *ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: Rachid ISMAILI	
University/Country: Ministère de la santé - Maroc	
Date Manuscript Received: 01/11/20	Date Review Report Submitted: 03/11/20
Manuscript Title: <b>Contribution à l'étude de la valeur indicatrice des adventices et diagnostic de la fertilité des sols des friches cacaoyères au Sud-Est de la Côte d'Ivoire</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 7	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>
The title is clear	

<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>3</b>
Veuillez presenter d'avantage les résultats obtenus	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
- Figure n'est pas claire veuillez la changer - Dispositifs d'échantillonnage : veuillez changer la référence, elle très ancienne (1964)	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
- je propose de parler d'avantage des perspectives	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
Il faut changer les anciennes références	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): 2**

To assist the author(s) in revising his/her/their manuscript, please separate your remarks into two sections:

(1) Suggestions, which would improve the quality of the paper but are not essential for publication.

(2) Changes which must be made before publication