

Manuscript: **“Dynamique Spatiale Et Temporelle De La Sensibilité d’Anopheles Gambiae Sensu Lato Aux Insecticides Utilisés En Santé Publique Au Congo De 2002 À 2018”**

Submitted: 29 July 2020

Accepted: 04 January 2020

Published: 31 January 2020

Corresponding Author: Dr. Arsène LENGA

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n3p244

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Auguste Ndoutoume,
Institut de Recherches Agronomiques et Forestières (IRAF), Gabon

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Auguste NDOUTOUME	Email:
University/Country: Institut de Recherches Agronomiques et Forestières (IRAF)/Gabon	
Date Manuscript Received: 4 août 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 7 août 2020
Manuscript Title: Développement spatial et temporel de la résistance d'Anopheles gambiae aux insecticides utilisés en santé publique au Congo de 2002 à 2018	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0839/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Il faut corriger la faute d'espace dans le titre	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Beaucoup de fautes d'espaces à corriger	

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Pour un test, il serait mieux de mettre un tiret pour chaque lot afin de faciliter la compréhension du lecteur. De longs chapitres pour expliquer une méthode rend la lecture difficile et cela impacte la compréhension.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
Les auteurs doivent revoir tout le texte pour corriger toutes les fautes de forme notamment celles liées aux espaces entre les mots	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

- Il faudrait faire attention aux nombreuses erreurs dues aux espaces.
- Sur le plan méthodologique, il y a beaucoup de données non disponibles. Les anophèles étaient nourris soit au sucre de canne, soit au miel. Cela peut biaiser les résultats, car il est bien connu que le miel allonge l'espérance de vie des insectes. Il est donc certain que les anophèles nourris au miel se sont révélés plus résistants aux insecticides que ceux nourris au sucre de canne. A l'avenir, il faudrait utiliser uniquement du sucre qui est certainement l'aliment le plus accessible aux moustiques dans leur milieu naturel.

