

Manuscript: “**El Capital Intelectual y su Incidencia en la Producción de las Empresas Productoras de Banano en la Costa sur del Ecuador**”

Submitted: 07 November 2020

Accepted: 12 February 2021

Published: 31 March 2021

Corresponding Author: Iddar Ivan Jaya Pineda

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n9p17

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Víctor Godoy Espinoza, Ecuador en la Universidad Técnica Estatal de Quevedo

Reviewer 2: Omar Teodoro Carrillo Gurumendi, Ecuador

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	Email:
University/Country:	
Date Manuscript Received: 2020/12/09	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: El capital intelectual y su incidencia en la producción de las empresas productoras de banano en la costa sur del Ecuador.	
Number ESJ Manuscript:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Si pero puede ser mejorado) Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2

<i>(Describir la metodología utilizada y resultados obtenidos)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Si tienen que ser corregidos)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Si)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	2
<i>(Hay errores que tienen que ser corregidos)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Si pero tienen que ser corregidas)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Si pero tienen que ser ordenadas)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	X
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Revisar minuciosamente el documento y corregirlo

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 09/12/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 16/12/2020
Manuscript Title: El capital intelectual y su incidencia en la producción de las empresas productoras de banano en la costa sur del Ecuador. Intellectual capital and its impact on the production of small banana-producing companies on the southern coast of Ecuador	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 137.11.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
Dentro del abordaje realizado por los autores en el desarrollo del presente trabajo, se puede visualizar una contante línea transversal de la temática de inicio a fin, por lo que el titulo tiene pertinencia por lo que es claro y adecuado. Within the approach carried out by the authors in the development of this work, a constant transversal line of the subject can be visualized from beginning to end, so	

the title is relevant and therefore clear and appropriate.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<p>Se realiza el planteamiento de lo visualizado por los autores, faltando los objetivos, métodos y un acercamiento inicial a los resultados de la investigación.</p> <p>The approach of what is visualized by the authors is carried out, lacking the objectives, methods and an initial approach to the results of the research.</p>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	1
<p>Al documento revisado se le han encontrado errores como (las plantaciones de banano se centra) le falta la “n”. otros errores encontrados (la fincas) (debido ser un)</p>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<p>El artículo revisado declara la modalidad de investigación, el tipo de investigación y los métodos técnicas e instrumento de recolección de datos, hilando claramente su explicación y aplicación en el contexto del campo de aplicación de la temática.</p> <p>The revised article declares the research modality, the type of research and the technical methods and data collection instrument, clearly spinning its explanation and application in the context of the field of application of the subject,</p>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<p>Los resultados son analizados de manera clara y correcta por parte de los autores, no existiendo errores en su análisis.</p> <p>The results are clearly and correctly analyzed by the authors, with no errors in their analysis.</p>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<p>Las conclusiones están en pertinencia con la temática y desarrollo de la investigación.</p>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<p>Las referencias utilizadas por los autores están completas y pertinente con la temática abordada.</p> <p>The references used by the authors are complete and pertinent to the topic addressed.</p>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

La declaración de la introducción de un artículo debe sumergir al lector en el documento completo, esto solo sucederá si este puede leer los objetivos, métodos y un acercamiento inicial a los resultados de la investigación. Por lo cual es importante la escritura y el manejo de la redacción.

The statement of the introduction of an article should immerse the reader in the entire document, this will only happen if he can read the objectives, methods and an initial approach to the results of the research. Which is why writing and writing management are important.