

Manuscript: “**Corporate Governance, Capital Structure, Ownership Structure, And Corporate Value Of Companies Listed At The Nairobi Securities Exchange**”

Submitted: 19 October 2020

Accepted: 27 April 2021

Published: 31 May 2021

Corresponding Author: David Onguka

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n15p300

Peer review:

Reviewer 1:Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes, the structure is clear, but the theoretical

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

the language style is good and fluent. No spe

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The method is not clear. I didn't find a relatio

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

I find several definition sections, many redun
the structure of the paper is inusual: it is a lis
the theoretical framework is not clear: some t
I can't understand what is the theoretical and

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

conclusion is poor, some considerations are
Also, theoretical implications are confused ar
while policy implications could be interesting,

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Research paper is not a list of definitions abc
Literature background is fundamental to defini
i suggest to verify empirically if and how the s
authors could explain better the research me