

Manuscript: “**Education Inclusive En République Du Bénin : L’expérience Des Apprenants Du Centre De Malentendants De Louho À Porto-Novo**”

Submitted: 12 March 2021

Accepted: 07 April 2021

Published: 31 May 2021

Corresponding Author: Razacki Raphael Kélani

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n15p335

Peer review:

Reviewer 1:Blinded

Reviewer 2: Ackoundoun-Nguessan Kouame Sharll, Ecole Normale Supérieure (ENS), Cote d’Ivoire

Reviewer 3: Dr. Lahoucine Aguinou Aguinou, Morocco

Reviewer 4: Oumar Traore, Enseignant-chercheur à l’Institut National de Formation des Travailleurs Sociaux, Bamako, Mali

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The title is appropriate to the subject.	▲
	■
	▼
◀	▶

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The abstract is well formulated, both in terms

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

Some spelling mistakes to correct (see attach

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The study method is appropriately described

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The body of the document is clear and corre

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The conclusion is supported by the content

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

The list of refernces is complete and appropr

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5


Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The authors highlight the informative and inn



ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Le résumé respecte clairement les objectifs,

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

Des erreurs mineures:
Difficultés auxquelles sont confrontés les ani
En effet, ces enseignants n'ont pas pris???

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

La méthodologie est suffisamment expliquée

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Les auteurs doivent préciser la catégorie d'a
Références bibliographiques insuffisances.
- Boisseau (2011)
- Golaszewski (2011)

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)


Les perspectives relatives à l'approche péda
La conclusion est adaptée au contenu.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)



Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5


Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Je suggère aux auteurs de renforcer les réfé



ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Aguinou Lahoucine	
University/Country: Morocco	
Date Manuscript Received: 16/03/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 24/03/2021
Manuscript Title: Éducation inclusive en République du Bénin : l'expérience édifiante des enfants d'un centre de malentendants	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 41132-1-4-20210312	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: YES	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the published version of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>Le titre est convenable</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>Très clairs</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>Certaines phrases sont très longues Manque de signes de ponctuation dans quelques phrases</i>	

<i>Peu de fautes de liaison</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>Très bien présentée</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
<i>Bien fait</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>C'est si possible, équilibrer l'introduction et la conclusion en enrichissant cette dernière.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>Bien présentées.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Réduire les phrases longues et utiliser les signes de ponctuation quand si nécessaire, et équilibrer l'introduction et la conclusion, pour rendre ce travail excellent.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Le titre manque d'un peu de précision car il s

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Le résumé est clair en termes d'objectif et de

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

Il y a beaucoup de coquilles à corriger en diff
Au niveau de la page 4, il faut ajouter une si

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Les aspects méthodologiques de l'étude son
Par ailleurs à la page 9, la recherche docum

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

L'article est bien lisible malgré quelques coq

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Il y a une congruence entre la conclusion et l

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Les auteurs cités sont présentés dans les ré
En outre, si le Bénin a ratifié la Convention ré
Un élément important manque à la bibliograp

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Les auteurs ont fait un travail remarquable d.