

Manuscript: **“The Impact Of Human Capital On Nigeria's Economic Growth”**

**Submitted: 09 February 2021**

**Accepted: 12 March 2021**

**Published: 31 May 2021**

Corresponding Author: Aisha Adamu Hassan

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n15p393

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Perminas Pangeran, Duta Wacana Christian University Yogyakarta, Indonesia

**Reviewer 2:** Frank Bezzina, University of Malta, Malta

**Reviewer 3:** Blinded

**Reviewer 4:** Isaac Adubofour, Jiangsu University, China

**Reviewer 5:** Blinded

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: <b>Assessing The Impact Of Human Capital On Economic Growth Of Nigeria</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 77.02.2021	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:    No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:    Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:    Yes	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<b><i>Questions</i></b>	<b><i>Rating Result</i></b> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>The title is quite clear and is in accordance with the contents of the article</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Abstract is in accordance with the expected standards, including objectives, methods, and results</i>	

<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Needs to fix a few typing errors, for example, on the title written "assesing" and so on.</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
Data analysis using ARDL model. This model is statistically a significant tool of econometric analysis and has advantageous over other techniques of analysis because it can accommodate small sample size. Also already discussed the short term and long term balance seen in ERM	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>The results are quite clear and the discussion of the results is quite in-depth</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>The conclusion answers the research objectives and is supported by the results of the analysis and discussion</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>References should need updating</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Just as a suggestion, the title should be "The Impact of Human Capital on Nigeria's Economic Growth".

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Date Manuscript Received: 2-3-2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 9-3-2021
<b>Manuscript Title: ASSESING THE IMPACT OF HUMAN CAPITAL ON ECONOMIC GROWTH OF NIGERIA</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/ <u>No</u>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Errors identified are highlighted in red	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
Chapter 2 should be captured as “Literature Review”.	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
References should be arranged alphabetically All referenced literature should be cited at the “References”.	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**