

Manuscript: “**Interrelationships Between the Posterior Pharyngeal Space and the Dento-Maxillary Anomalies**”

**Submitted: 01 September 2020**

**Accepted: 27 April 2021**

**Published: 31 May 2021**

Corresponding Author: Radu Mihailescu

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n17p16

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Abbas Taher, Professor of OMF Surgery, Dean of Faculty of Dentistry, University of Kufa, Kufa-Najaf-Iraq

**Reviewer 2:** Dr. Daniel B. Hier, Missouri University of Science and Technology, USA

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:


\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*




**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

GOOD



**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

(Please insert your comments)

GOOD




**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

\*

(Please insert your comments)

GOOD




**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

\*

(Please insert your comments)

GOOD




**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

\*

(Please insert your comments)

GOOD



**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

\*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

GOOD MANUSCRIPT , ADDING NEW EXPER

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

This article lacks an abstract. A brief abstract

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

(Please insert your comments)

With more careful editing, the syntax and grammar  
For example, the sentences: "In the category  
Be sure that McNamara is spelled correctly.  
Be sure that "clasa" is translated to "class" th

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

\*

(Please insert your comments)

The explanation of methods is satisfactory.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

\*

(Please insert your comments)

The captions for Tables 3.1 and 3.2 are not

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

\*

(Please insert your comments)

The literature review is incomplete and needs  
No findings in this paper support the assertion

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**



\*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

A more complete literature review of relevant

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The paper would be improved by a more con  
Bradley, T. D., Brown, I. G., Grossman, R. F.  
Battagel, J. M., Johal, A., & Kotecha, B. (200