

Manuscript: “**Efficacité d’une prise unique de Praziquantel pour le traitement de la bilharziose urinaire en zones endémiques chez les enfants d’âge scolaire au Mali**”

Submitted: 12 January 2021

Accepted: 27 April 2021

Published: 31 May 2021

Corresponding Author: Alpha Seydou Yaro

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n17p162

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Nicolas Brou, Institut des Sciences Anthropologiques de Développement, UFR des Sciences de l’Homme et de la Société, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Lansana Sangare, University of Bamako, Bamako

Reviewer 3: Raouf Fayisall Geraldo, Faculté des Sciences de la Santé, Université de Lomé (Togo) Service de Chirurgie viscérale, Centre Hospitalier Moulins-Yzeure (France)

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:


*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)




The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)


4
No comments



There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

4
No comments




The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

4
No comments




The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

4
No comments




The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

3
Il y'a lieu de nuancer la dernière phrase de l'



The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5


Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

R.A.S



ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*


(Please insert your comments)

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)


Correct (4/5)



There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

Some errors should be corrected (4/5)




The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Correct (4/5)




The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Correct (4/5)




The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Correct (4/5)



The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Correct (4/5)

◀▶

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):