

Manuscript: “**Pétrographie et géochimie des granitoïdes d’Issia (Centre-Ouest de la Côte d’Ivoire)**”

Submitted: 26 February 2021

Accepted: 05 April 2021

Published: 31 May 2021

Corresponding Author: Koffi Joseph Brou

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n17p287

Peer review:

Reviewer 1:Blinded

Reviewer 2: Mohamed Sadiki, IBN Tofail University, Morocco

Reviewer 3: Abdou Hamidou, Université Abdou Moumouni, Faculté des sciences et techniques, département de géologie, Laboratoire des chimies des eaux, Niamey, Niger

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes, very succinct

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

not so much

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

brief, but acceptable

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes, it is clear.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes, it is accurate


The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

The list of references is well presented. A qu



Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The subject is interesting. the work that has
I recommend to the author to present better
Another point to raise is that; from the same