

Manuscript: “**Aspects Tomodensitometriques des Infections Rachidiennes dans le Service d’Imagerie Medicale du CNHU-HKM a Cotonou (Benin)**”

Submitted: 14 October 2020

Accepted: 13 April 2021

Published: 31 May 2021

Corresponding Author: Adjadohoun Sonia

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n17p350

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Raouf Fayisall Geraldo, Service de chirurgie viscérale, Hôpital Saint Jean de Dieu d’Afagnan (Togo) Faculté des Sciences de la Santé (Université de Lomé, Togo)

Reviewer 3: Gnamien Konan Bah Modeste, Jean Lorougnon Guede University, Cote d’Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*


(Please insert your comments)

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)


Correct



There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

Correct




The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Correct




The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Correct



The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Correct



The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Le résumé présente clairement les objectifs,

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

Les erreurs grammaticales sont moindres.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

La méthodologie est clairement présentée

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Le corps du texte est clair.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

La conclusion et le résumé reprennent fidèle

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Les références sont appropriées.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Je vous prie de bien vouloir prendre en comp

