

**Paper: “The Strategic Obstacles Preventing Israel's Attack on Iran's Nuclear Reactors”**

**Submitted: 23 July 2021**

**Accepted: 13 September 2021**

**Published: 30 September 2021**

Corresponding Author: Jihad Eddin Albadawi

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2021.v17n33p107](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2021.v17n33p107)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Waliyullahi Damilola Abimbola

Reviewer 2: Mahgoub Mahmoud

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Waliyullahi Damilola ABIMBOLA.	
University/Country: Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago IWOYE, Nigeria.	
Date Manuscript Received: 21/08/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 23/08/2021
Manuscript Title: The ‘Israeli’ Strategic Risks of Launching attacks (Under the Begin Doctrine) Against the IRANIAN Nuclear Threat.	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0816	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<b><i>Rating Result</i></b> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>3</b>

<i>The title is clear enough for the work. However, it is too nebulous. i advise that the title be moderated or streamline.</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The abstract clearly presents the objects, methods and the results.</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>There are no grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in the article, to the best of my knowledge, at least.</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The study methods are thoroughly explained in the work.</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The results are clear enough, and do not contain errors.</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The conclusions are in order, and are supported by the content.</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The references are comprehensive and appropriate. its well arranged and up to date of what transpired.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<b>X</b>
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): THIS AUTHOR should please keep his/her work title simple when next he embarks on project of this type.**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: Mahgoub Mahmoud	
University/Country: Tennessee State University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA	
Date Manuscript Received: 09/06/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 09/08.2021
Manuscript Title: <b>The "Israeli" strategic risks of Launching attacks (under the Begin Doctrine) against the Iranian Nuclear Threat</b> <b>Jihad Aldeen Albadawi</b> <b>Birzeit University- Palestine, MA International Studies.</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 16.08.2021 (1)[5961]	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Check a few spelling and grammatical errors.</b>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Much documentation and comparisons are needed.</b>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments) Yes.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

a. Need to report reactions by evidences to hostility actions by the

Western powers, especially USA, EU, and Russia besides UN and 3<sup>rd</sup>

WCs. Evidence suggested *subtle* reactions, across anti/pro Israeli/Iran allies, not any emphasis of international law or even UN Charter by the UN Member States.

b. This may be one reason the competing nations tend to strengthen bilateral alliances by power – not indeed legal – relationships, in the first place. It may further explain why the nations in conflict exercise a lot of caution not to fall unto rash, ill-calculated attempts to coerce each other, despite recognizable abilities. Most recently, increased diplomacy came in play by Israeli Prime Minister Netanyahu who successfully normalized valuable relations with Arab and African states that had been strong allies with the PLO against Israeli occupation of Palestinian territories. This USA-fully supported diplomatic and commercial cooperation made it difficult to Iran to guarantee Gulf and/or Arab-African hostility of Israel or automatic PLO, Hizb-Allah, or Hamas support.

c. Thus far, Israeli daring penetration of Iranian intelligence at home meant more possibilities of undermining Iran self-sufficient powers. The USA-Israeli coherent alliance was authoritatively capable of reducing the Iranian movement or attempts to gain enduring friendships in the Middle East, the Gulf, and the Arab and African regions. Israel is a good friend of Egypt, Bahrain, Saudis, ad Emirates

- Arab Muslim countries having grave problems with the Shiite Muslim Iran. Moreover, the USA-EU, UN, and Israelis relentless rejection of Iran nuclear progression continues to weaken and to isolate Iran in the regional and international arenas.

d. The media success of USA-Israelis policy to add Iran to the pariah regime of North Korea and the kindred is another indicator of more possibilities of USA-Israeli advanced attacks against Iran up to a level, at some point, of destruction.

e. Reader might feel the article provided an impression that Israel seems much manipulative than Iran in ongoing attempts to weaken the Iranian power to increase possibilities of Iranian overall defeat by all means, including military well-supported actions backed by Western powers, especially the U.S as well as diplomatic and economic policies.

**f. Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**