

Paper: **“Confianza durante la pandemia por COVID-19 en Panamá”**

Submitted: 08 September 2021

Accepted: 11 October 2021

Published: 31 October 2021

Corresponding Author: Jay Molino

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n35p51

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: René Arroyo Ávila
Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua, México

Reviewer 2: Marco Tulio Ceron López
Institute of University Studies, Mexico

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: José René Arroyo Ávila	
University/Country: Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua - México	
Date Manuscript Received: 13 sep 2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 15 sep 2021
Manuscript Title: Confianza durante la pandemia por COVID-19 en Panamá	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 70-09-2021	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	
<i>Si, el título es claro y adecuado para el contenido del artículo.</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	
<i>Si, el resumen es claro e incluye el objetivo, método y resultados.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	
<i>No hay errors ni fallas gramaticales importantes en el artículo. Sólo algunas palabras faltantes de acento.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	
<i>Si, el método es claro y suficientemente explicado.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	
<i>Si, los resultados son claros y no contienen errors.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
<i>Si, las conclusions y el resumen soportan el contenido del artículo.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	
<i>Hay un par de referencias de las cuales no hay cita en el texto. Hay que ajustar algunas referencias en cuanto a la identificación de los años para mayor claridad.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Por favor hay que hacer las correcciones que se señalan en el documento. Hay que apegarse a lo descrito en APA 7.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

No tengo comentarios al Editor

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Marco Tulio Cerón López	
University/Country: Universidad IEU (Instituto de Estudios Universitarios) / México	
Date Manuscript Received: 17/09/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 29/09/2021
Manuscript Title: Confianza durante la pandemia por COVID-19 en Panamá	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 7009/2021	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
There is a clear relationship between the title of the paper and the development of it.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Yes, they are clear	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
There are no errors, it is written correctly	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
The study methods, if they are clearly explained.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
There are no errors, it is written correctly	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
The results are clear and are explained in the body of the paper.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: