

Paper: “Los Atributos de las Competencias Digitales en la Transición de la Modalidad Presencial a la Virtual Provocada por la Emergencia Sanitaria en la Educación Superior Tecnológica de Ecuador”

Submitted: 25 September 2021

Accepted: 28 October 2021

Published: 30 November 2021

Corresponding Author: Marilyn Briones

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n38p19

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: John Tobar

Instituto Superior Tecnológico Juan Bautista Aguirre, Ecuador

Reviewer 2: Amaya Epelde Larrañaga

University of Granada, Spain

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. John Tobar	
University/Country: Instituto Superior Tecnológico Juan Bautista Aguirre / Ecuador	
Date Manuscript Received: 30/09/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 4/10/2021
Manuscript Title: LOS ATRIBUTOS DE LAS COMPETENCIAS DIGITALES EN LA TRANSICIÓN DE LA MODALIDAD PRESENCIAL A LA VIRTUAL PROVOCADA POR LA EMERGENCIA SANITARIA EN LA EDUCACIÓN SUPERIOR TECNOLÓGICA DE ECUADOR	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1030/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Revisar la redacción (gerundios y no esta redactado en tercera persona)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>Ampliar las conclusiones con base a los resultados obtenidos</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	x
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Revisar la redacción de paper, el tema y el levantamiento de la información son de interés.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Amaya Epelde Larrañaga	
University/Country: University of Granada, Spain	
Date Manuscript Received: 01/10/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 04/10/2021
Manuscript Title: Los atributos de las competencias digitales en la transición de la modalidad presencial a la virtual provocada por la emergencia sanitaria en la Educación Superior Tecnológica de Ecuador.	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1030/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>The title is clear and reflects well the content of the article.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>It can be said that the abstract is complete.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>There are several grammatical and expression errors that must be addressed.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>The methodology is simple but it is well explained.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>The results are clear.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>The Conclusions are correct but the Discussion is very poor. The Discussion should contain more references.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>The references are appropriate.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The Discussion section should be improved and all expression and grammatical errors in the document should be corrected.

See the attached document.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

I consider that the article is publishable after improving the Discussion section and correcting the grammatical and expression errors.