

Paper: “Développements et transformations digitales de l’enseignement supérieur au Liban : défis et perspectives”

Submitted: 19 January 2022

Accepted: 22 February 2022

Published: 28 February 2022

Corresponding Author: Maha Badr

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n6p58](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n6p58)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Anouar Alami

Université Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah, Fès, Maroc

Reviewer 2: Drissa Touré

Unisersité Felix Houphouet-Boigny, Abidjan-Cocody, Côte d'Ivoire

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Le titre convient au contenu.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.


*

(Please insert your comments)

Le résumé très succinct ne présente pas très clairement la méthodologie utilisée et les résultats obtenus.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

A screenshot of a comment box. The text inside the box reads "il y a très peu de fautes (4/5)". The box has a standard scrollbar on the right side and a horizontal scrollbar at the bottom.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

La méthodologie est compréhensible dans l'ensemble.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

La présentation de certains résultats pourraient créer la confusion notamment le regroupement des modalités « d'accord » et « relativement d'accord » dans l'analyse des réponses apportées aux différentes questions.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

La conclusion ressemble plus à un développement. Le tableau 6 pourrait être inclus dans la partie Résultats. La conclusion devrait mettre en évidence les réponses aux questions posées en introduction.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Le document est bien référencé.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Le résumé mérite d'être renforcé en y indiquant clairement la méthodologie et les résultats obtenus. La conclusion nécessite aussi une révision. Sans donner lieu à un nouveau développement, elle doit indiquer si l'hypothèse formulée est vérifiée ou pas et mettre en relief les réponses aux questions posées en introduction.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: