

**Paper: “Déterminants socio-économiques du changement des pratiques dans la plateforme de chaîne de valeur du riz étuvé à Malanville, Bénin”**

**Submitted: 29 January 2022**

**Accepted: 24 March 2022**

**Published: 31 March 2022**

Corresponding Author: Gérard C. Zoundji

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n10p139](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n10p139)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Alidou Assouma Imorou  
Africa Rice Center, Benin

Reviewer 2: Moloba Lukombo Yannick  
Université de Kinshasa, RDC

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: Alidou ASSOUMA-IMOROU	
University/Country: Bénin	
Date Manuscript Received: 07 Février 2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 02 Mars 2022
Manuscript Title:	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>

<i>(Le titre est clair et illustrative du contenu)</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Le résumé ressort l'essentiel du document mais sa formulation peut être améliorée)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Les erreurs de grammaire sont assez minimes)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(La méthodologie est adaptée)</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Les résultats cadrent bien avec l'objectif de départ)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(le résumé peut être encore amélioré)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Les références sont bien présentées tant à l'intérieur du document qu'au niveau de la table bibliographique)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Reformuler le résumé. Réexpliquer le type d'échantillonnage et corriger les fautes mineures soulignées dans le document.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

Acceptez le document après les corrections mineures soulignées.

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Date Manuscript Received: 01 Mars 2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 15 Mars 2022
Manuscript Title: Déterminants socio-économiques du changement des pratiques dans la plateforme de chaîne de valeur du riz étuvé à Malanville, Bénin.	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<b><i>Rating Result</i></b> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Very titled</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>3,25</b>

<i>Main results are not indicated at the abstract</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3,75</b>
<i>Punctuation must be reviewed by writing short sentences instead of large one.</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Very well written</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4,5</b>
<i>Results are clear</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Main results are not specified</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>3,5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>x</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**