

Manuscript: “**Dynamique d’occupation et d’utilisation des sols et la hausse de la nappe dans la basse vallée du Dallol Maouri**”

Submitted: 21 February 2022

Accepted: 23 March 2022

Published: 30 April 2022

Corresponding Author: Rabilou Abdou Mahaman

Doi:10.19044/esj.2022.v18n14p162

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Issa Malam Salmanou Souleymane

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	
University/Country:	
Date Manuscript Received: 21/02/2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 23/03/2022
Manuscript Title: Dynamique d'occupation et d'utilisation des sols et la hausse de la nappe dans la basse vallée du Dallol Maouri	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	YES
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	YES
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	YES
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	YES
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	NO
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	NO
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	YES
<i>(Please insert your comments) The figures and percentages in the tables are incorrect, it is necessary to review the analysis of the results according to the remarks reinforced in red.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Take into account the remarks that have been added in red in the text.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: ISSA MALAM S. Souleymane	
University/Country: Université de Zinder/Niger	
Date Manuscript Received: 22/02/2022	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Dynamique d'occupation et d'utilisation des sols et la hausse de la nappe dans la basse vallée du Dallol Maouri	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <u>Yes/No</u>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <u>Yes/No</u>	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <u>Yes/No</u>	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>Il faut prendre en compte la proposition faite sur le titre.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3

<i>L'auteur n'a pas évoqué la méthodologie dans le résumé</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Des fautes d'orthographe et de grammaire mineurs</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>La méthodologie a été bien formulée</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>Les résultats sont bien clairs</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
<i>Très bien conclu</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	
Il faut lister les références bibliographiques conformément aux instructions des auteurs de la revue	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: