

Paper: “Étude de l’impact de la dynamique de l’occupation du sol sur les ressources naturelles dans le sous-bassin de l’Okpara à Nano au Nord Bénin”

Submitted: 24 November 2021

Accepted: 09 February 2022

Published: 31 May 2022

Corresponding Author: Pierre Ouassa

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n17p1](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n17p1)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Kouassi Brahim Kien
Université Peleforo Gon Coulibaly, Korhogo, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Koudamilo Augustin

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

ok

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

correctly

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

none

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

i think so

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

-Mettre les "et al" en italique

-convertir la situation des stations en carte

-revoir le retrait des texte à la 1ère ligne
numéroté à partir d ela méthodologie et veillez à numéroté les sous titre
-revoir le figure 2 et enlever l'intitulé "titre de l'axe" de la figure
-mettre la numérotation des tableaux en chiffre romain et la taille de toutes les
illustrations à 10 ou 11

Reviewer H:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title of the paper is clear and adapted to the content.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract is well organized and includes objects, methods and main results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

the paper contains some grammatical and spelling mistakes but which do not modify its form and content

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Study methods are clearly explained to the presentation of the study area from the data collection and analysis

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

the body of the paper is well written with minor errors. The content is scientifically acceptable

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is acceptable and summarizes the main results of the paper

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Apart from one reference which is not in the main text, the list of references is well done.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The paper is well written but taking into account the grammatical and spelling errors noted will allow it to better appreciate the scientific quality of the work done. Also, I suggest you keep the sentences short.

Reviewer I:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Le titre mérite d'être revu pour être en adéquation avec le contenu du manuscrit. Des propositions ont été faites dans ce sens

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Le résumé présente clairement les objectifs, la méthode et les résultats

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

L'article présente peu de fautes grammaticales

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

La méthode d'étude a été clairement exposée

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Le corps du document présente des imperfections que nous avons relevé avec des recommandations

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

La conclusion est en adéquation avec les objectifs visés

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

La liste des références est appropriée et adaptée mais elle doit être revue pour questions d'harmonie

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

- Au niveau de l'introduction, la problématique n'est pas clairement posée;
- Au niveau des résultats, des recommandations ont été faites pour une meilleur présentation;
- La discussion mérite d'être clairement renforcée;
- Les références doivent être revues pour harmonisation
