

**Paper: “Low-Level Laser Therapy At The Healing Process Of Grade I And II
Ulcers In Patients With Diabetic Foot”**

Submitted: 24 November 2021

Accepted: 09 February 2022

Published: 31 May 2022

Corresponding Author: Gustavo Argenis

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n17p78](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n17p78)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Emile Kouassi Begbin

UFR Biosciences, Université Felix Houphouët Boigny, Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Ahed Jumah Alkhatib

Jordan University of Science and technology, Jordan

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

"Low level laser therapy at healing process of the ulcer grade I and II in patients with diabetic foot"

the title is composed of 19 words and gave a concise content of the article

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

the abstract is well prepared containing adequate description of the article.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

the reviewer does not detect language concerns

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

methods are well clear

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

the paper as a whole is excellent

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

the conclusion part is longer than it should be. I suggest to add most of the conclusion content to the discussion part.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

references are adequate and good

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

please make the conclusion more informative and add most of its content to the discussion part.

in result section, in table 1 and 2, you started the tables with sample (n), it makes confusion, so I suggest to delete this row from the two table, also you can add the standard deviation to the average row

Reviewer E:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes

However, no need to mention ethical considerations in the abstract

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Yes

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes

However, The method of using the laser is missing

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Yes

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Diabetes mellitus is the most common endocrine disease and one of the most common chronic disorders. Happy continuation !
