

Paper: **“Public Policies and Sustainability of Industrial Growth in Pakistan”**

**Submitted: 03 February 2022**

**Accepted: 17 May 2022**

**Published: 31 May 2022**

Corresponding Author: Hamzokhan Tagar

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n15p170](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n15p170)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Jeewaka Kumara  
University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka

Reviewer 2: Matti Raudjarv  
University of Tartu, Estonia

Reviewer 3: Napoleon Kurantin  
GIMPA School Public Service and Governance, Ghana

-----  
Reviewer A:  
Recommendation: Revisions Required  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

The title of the manuscript is clear to me

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

I agree with presentation objectives, methods and results

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

There are some grammatical errors and spelling mistakes. Needed corrections

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

The research methodology of the manuscript is clear to me

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

The body of the manuscript is clear

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

I agree with the author

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

No any objection

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Not any

-----  
-----  
Reviewer F:  
Recommendation: Revisions Required  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

The title is indeed clear and is adequate to the content of the article.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

There is a need to include the methodology and method applied in the article in the abstract. However, the abstract presents the main goal and objectives of the article.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

There are a few grammatical errors that need to be corrected.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

The methodology and method are not clear. Which method in quantitative and qualitative methodologies and/or approaches was applied.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

The body of the paper is not clear. It does contain errors as pointed out in red and in the callouts.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

To a degree, the conclusion is accurate and supported by the content.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

The list of references is comprehensive and appropriate. Should have indicated the numbers within the body of work.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Return for major revision and resubmission

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The author should take a second look at the methodology and method as applied in the paper.

-----

-----  
Reviewer H:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

The title of the article is clear and reflect the content of the article.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

The presents the objective of the paper. The methods is not clearly stated. The results and implications are not stated

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

There is need for general proofreading of the article.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

The method is stated. However, how the method is initiated is not clearly stated.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

Yes

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

There is no adequate conclusion.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

The references and in-text citation should be cross examined. References should follow APA 6th edition.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Citations need updating. There is need for clearly stated methodology and initiation process. There is need for more in-text citation as this is an academic article.

-----