

Paper: “Effects of Water Stress on the Agromorphological Parameters of Two New Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Lines (L36 and L71) Obtained from the Variety EV8728”

Submitted: 17 January 2022

Accepted: 06 June 2022

Published: 30 June 2022

Corresponding Author: François Zaouli Néné-bi

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n21p117](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n21p117)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Konan Kouakou Olivier
Universite Felix Houphouet Boigny Cote d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

ONAN KOUAKOU OLIVIER	
University/Country: UNIVERSITE FELIX HOUPHOUET BOIGNY COTE D'IVOIRE	
Date Manuscript Received: 29 01 2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 08 02 2022
: Effects of water stress on the agromorphological parameters of two new maize (<i>Zea mays</i> L.) lines (L36 and L71) obtained from the variety EV8728	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4

<i>Effects of water stress on maize agromorphological parameters have been clearly expose.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>Concerning the results, just one mistake was mentioned.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>For spelling mistakes, some expressions were not suitable. Grammatically, some words were not clear.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>For all parameters the period of measure were not specified.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>Figures 1, 2 & 3 are not suitable. I propose curve which show the evolution</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>We have an answer of the title.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Maize is a monocotyledon. some were about dicotyledon)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

1. The study of water stress effects on maize agromorphological parameters is important.
2. Add the effects of water stress on maize grains yields and nutritional aspect.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: