

**Paper: “Politiques et Pratiques Foncières et Engagement des Jeunes dans la Production de l’igname (dioscorea spp) en République du Bénin”**

**Submitted: 23 April 2022**

**Accepted: 04 July 2022**

**Published: 31 July 2022**

Corresponding Author: Rosaire Agbo-Tchotcho

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n23p87](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n23p87)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Marie Line Karam  
Lebanese University, Lebanon

-----  
Reviewer C:

Recommendation: Revisions Required  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

La cible "jeune" producteur ne ressort pas dans le manuscrit; la variable âge est la grande absente

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

A la dernière phrase du résumé, les auteurs parlent de faiblesse des pratiques ; quelles sont ces faiblesses ? Aussi, la première phrase du résumé exige une reformulation. L'igname exige en général des sols fertiles ou c'est seulement au Bénin ? Revoir

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

Effectivement, il y a quelques fautes à corriger

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

La méthodologie est acceptable; quelques critiques sont toutefois formulées. Voir les inputs sur le manuscrit

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

Des insuffisances de forme et de fond sont à relever

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

Acceptable

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

De bonnes références dans l'ensemble

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Return for major revision and resubmission

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Le document est bon dans l'ensemble mais des corrections de forme et de fond sont utiles. Voir les inputs sur le manuscrit

-----

-----  
Reviewer G:  
Recommendation: Accept Submission

-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

Yes the title is compatible with the content

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

The abstract represents a short description of the subject

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

The language used is acceptable but need to be more technical

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

The method used is descriptive and analytical

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

Important scientific standards are missing

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

Yes the author needs to be more creative

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

Acceptable but needs to add basic references

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Interesting article but the author needs to be more creative and to go further in his analysis

-----