

Paper: “Contribution des Poissonneries à L’amélioration des Conditions de vies des Populations de la Ville de Bouaké”

Submitted: 30 April 2022

Accepted: 05 August 2022

Published: 31 August 2022

Corresponding Author: Yaya Dosso

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n26p15](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n26p15)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Serigne Modou Sarr
Universite Alioune DIOP de Bambey, Senegal

Reviewer 2: Aladji Soualiho
Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Serigne Modou SARR	
University/Country: SENEGAL	
Date Manuscript Received: 06/05/2022	Date Review Submitted: 13/05/2022
Manuscript Title: CONTRIBUTION DES POISSONNERIES À L'AMÉLIORATION DES CONDITIONS DE VIES DES POPULATIONS DE LA VILLE DE BOUAKÉ	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 26.05.2022	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>Le titre est clair et le contenu est aussi adéquat</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>Le résumé est clair mais pas d'objectifs . les méthodes et résultats présentés</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>Des phrases à reformuler pour améliorer le texte</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
Methodologie est clairement expliquée	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
Les résultats sont clairs et n'est pas riche en enseignement	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
La conclusion est acceptable	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>Elles sont bien faites</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Mes auteurs doivent tenir compte des suggestions de correction

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Il faut à la correction du document

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 09.05.2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 15.05.2022
Manuscript Title: CONTRIBUTION DES POISSONNERIES À L'AMÉLIORATION DES CONDITIONS DE VIES DES POPULATIONS DE LA VILLE DE BOUAKÉ	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 26.05.2022	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3/5
<p>Depending on the content of the article, the wording of the subject does not seem to be adequate. It is in the content of an "Activity of sale of fresh and frozen fish in the city of Bouaké and improvement of the living conditions of the populations". « <i>Activité de vente de poissons frais et congelés dans la ville de Bouaké et amélioration des conditions de vie des populations</i> ».</p> <p>The subject worded in this way makes it possible to clearly identify the independent variable (frozen fish sales activity) and the dependent variable (improvement of the living conditions of the populations). These are the results that will show the contributory nature of this activity of selling frozen fish, one of the means of storage of which is the fishmongers installed in the city.</p>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3/5
Some grammar, spelling and sentence syntax errors have been detected in the article. Comments have been made in the content of the article in order to correct them. Refer to the article for corrections.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4/5
Part of the methodology needs to be made more explicit. Suggestions are made in the article. The author must refer to it to correct	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3/5
Link the first two results (II-1 and II-2) to the subject. The aim will be to show how these results contribute to improving the living conditions of the populations of Bouaké.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Authors must imperatively take into account the criticisms and suggestions made in the article to improve the final version of the manuscript.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: