

**Paper: “Amino Acids Profile of Five Leafy Vegetables Mainly Consumed in Western Côte d’Ivoire”**

**Submitted: 01 July 2022**

**Accepted: 11 November 2022**

**Published: 30 November 2022**

Corresponding Author: Armel Fabrice ZORO

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n36p137](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n36p137)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: N'gbo Martin Luthere King

Reviewer 2: Ammar B. Altemimi

Reviewer3: Konan Kouakou Constant

Reviewer 4: Monica Butnariu

# N'GBO Martin Luthere King

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-09-08 10:36 AM

Recommendation: Accept Submission

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

**\* Le titre ne précise pas le nombre d'échantillons sur lequel le travail a porté. Cela donne l'impression que le travail a été fait sur tous les légumes feuilles consommés dans l'ouest du pays. Or le travail a porté sur 5 légumes feuilles.**

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

**\* Le résumé me semble bon. Il présente les éléments essentiels du travail ( objectif, méthodologie, résultats, synthèse)**

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

Oui il existe quelques fautes de grammaire et d'orthographe mais celà ne change en rien la qualité du travail.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

**\* La méthodologie utilisée pour le dosage des aminés est connue et bien expliquée dans cet article.**

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

**\* Le corps du travail est celui recommandé.il présente un résumé, une introduction, un matériel et les méthodes utilisées, les résultats,la conclusion et les références bibliographiques.**

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

**\* La conclusion fait la synthèse du travail.**

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

**\* Les références présentes dans le texte sont toutes listées dans la partie références bibliographiques**

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the BODY of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2

- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

L'auteur doit rendre plus précis le titre en y ajoutant le nombre d'échantillons sur lequel le travail a porté

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# Ammar B. Altemimi

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-09-08 10:36 AM

Recommendation: Accept Submission

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

**it is not reflect what the full works in the manuscript**

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

**\* needs to have all parts ( literature, methods, results and conclusion)**

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

Oui il existe quelques fautes de grammaire et d'orthographe mais celà ne change en rien la qualité du travail.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

**\* see my comments below.**

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

**\* see my comments below**

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

**\* conclusion needs to match the objectives.**

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

**\* The paper meets the scope and quality of the journal, but there is no novelty in the work. A large range of research papers is available on the content. Authors are required to highlight the novelty of work, superiority of current work on literature to make it considerable for possible publication. In general, the argument is not well-constructed and clear. English: need proofreading, lots of typos and mistakes that resulting in a low flow of information. Most of the references are not up-to-dated.**

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

**\***

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

**\***

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the BODY of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2



- 3
- 4
- 5

**Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.**

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The paper meets the scope and quality of the journal, but there is no novelty in the work. A large range of research papers is available on the content. Authors are required to highlight the novelty of work, superiority of current work on literature to make it considerable for possible publication. In general, the argument is not well-constructed and clear. English: need proofreading, lots of typos and mistakes that resulting in a low flow of information. Most of the references are not up-to-dated.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

The paper meets the scope and quality of the journal, but there is no novelty in the work. A large range of research papers is available on the content. Authors are required to highlight the novelty of work, superiority of current work on literature to make it considerable for possible publication. In general, the argument is not well-constructed and clear. English: need proofreading, lots of typos and mistakes that resulting in a low flow of information. Most of the references are not up-to-dated.

**KONAN Kouakou Constant**

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-10-26 09:28 AM

Recommendation: Accept Submission

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

**\* The TITLE is clear**

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

**\* Yes, the ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods and results**

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

we did not find any grammatical mistakes

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

**\* The study METHODS are explained clearly**

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

**\* The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors**

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

**\* yes, the CONCLUSION is accurate and supported by the content**

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

**\* The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

**\***

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

**\***

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

**\***

- 1
- 2

- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the BODY of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The title of the article is relevant. the methods are appropriate and the results obtained are important for research.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

The publisher has made it easier for reviewers by simplifying the article's rating parameters.

**Monica BUTNARIU**

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-10-04 03:56 PM

Recommendation: Revisions Required

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper.

You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

**\* is good**

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

**\* is good**

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

is good

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

**\* is good**

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

\* is good

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

**\* is good**

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

**\* is good**

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2

- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the BODY of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*



- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

This manuscript entitled "Amino acids profile of leafy vegetables consumed in western Côte d'Ivoire: Introduction, Material and methods, results and discussion, conclusion, references bibliographiques" could be good for publication in European Scientific Journal.

This may be interesting, but some important points need to be resolved. Importantly, a study must provide a critical analysis of the data. In other words, you must assess whether specific data published really stand up to scientific scrutiny. In order to achieve the above, you must clearly define your specific aims and objectives. So in your study you must develop a critical appraisal of the state of the art. This is an essential element of any article. There are important scientific questions (both conceptual and methodological) which need to be addressed with the primary studies. A study must highlight this. The introduction, which is written in clear language, covers a number of relevant issues. Information are noteworthy, and not are correct supported by similar results from the specialty (see PMID: 35810693, PMID: 35748273; PMID: 35281611; PMID: 34801046; PMID: 34707776 ). Try to rewrite the abstract and conclusions, I also recommend the nuance of the introduction, the way of working is not very well explained, the procedure is tedious and unsustainable. For this reason, I recommend that the authors try to use more sustainable methodologies, the interpretation of the results can be improved/ reformulated,

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

This manuscript entitled "Amino acids profile of leafy vegetables consumed in western Côte d'Ivoire: Introduction, Material and methods, results and discussion, conclusion, references bibliographiques" could be good for publication in European Scientific Journal.