

Paper: “Analyse des Déterminants Socio-Économiques de la Maltraitance des Femmes en Couche dans les Hôpitaux Publics en Côte d’Ivoire : Cas du Centre Hospitalier Régional (CHR) de Divo”

Submitted: 24 October 2022

Accepted: 30 December 2022

Published: 31 December 2022

Corresponding Author: Nanan Doh N’guessan Gérard

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n39p144

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The TITLE is clear and adequate to the content of the article

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

the ABSTRACT clearly presents the objects, methods, and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

the study METHODS are explained clearly

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

yes, the body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

the conclusion is confused with the discussion

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and but it is contain errors

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

A work of good quality, very interesting but which requires minor corrections

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title of the article is clear and well stated, however, the concept "determinant" should be encoded (eg socio-economic determinants). In short, the institutional dimension appears in the social section.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract is well presented even if it is a little detailed at the level of the methodological section. The author should take into account the methodological approach (quantitative and qualitative) and cross out the details relating to the tools and techniques of data collection.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The text is well written and respects the grammatical and spelling structure.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The method of the study is clearly expressed, it is a quantitative and qualitative study.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The work presents serious problems of structuring. The introduction should be stated in a single movement (no numerology) with within the following elements: generality (the macrosociological aspect of the problem and meso-sociological), the situational or problematic analysis and the methodological approach (the numerological structuring could start here and extend to the result). Analysis and discussion should never be associated with the conclusion. The bibliography in red is prohibited, it is obligatorily written in black as well as the rest of the text. We observe a saturation of the verbatim text from page 11 to page 16. The data should be taken as evidence attesting to the logical approach in the restitution of the results, it should not be entangled in a continuous way.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is embedded in the body of the text. The process used by the author does not allow the conclusion to be presented in a section and to be assessed objectively (the conclusion constitutes a separate part of the body of the article).

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The bibliographical references are poorly written and partially reflect the authors solicited by the author in this document.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

1

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The author must submit to the rigor linked to the process of restitution suitable for this type of exercise. Also, phenomenological (verbatim) and bibliographical references must be properly integrated into the text.
