

Paper: “Disponibilité des Plantes Aphrodisiaques dans les Jardins de Case et Forêt Classée de l’Ouémé-Boukou au Centre-Bénin”

Submitted: 25 November 2022

Accepted: 03 February 2023

Published: 28 February 2023

Corresponding Author: Ismael Batcho

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n6p148](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n6p148)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Komlavi Esseh
Université de Lomé, Togo

Reviewer 2: Yao Jean-Clovis Kouadio
Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer J:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

le titre reflète exactement le contenu scientifique de l'article

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

le document compte très peu de fautes de grammaire et d'orthographe. il y avait juste quelques phrases à reformuler.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

the methods are clearly explained by the authors.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

le corps du texte suit les différentes lignes de rédaction d'un article

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

La conclusion résume l'ensemble des résultats saillants. Quant au résumé, il relate effectivement la problématique, l'objectif de l'étude, la méthodologie, les résultats et la contribution scientifique apportée par l'étude. de ce fait ces deux parties sont acceptables

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

certaines références citées dans le texte ne figure pas dans la liste des références

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: ESSEH Komlavi	
University/Country: Université de Lomé- Togo	
Date Manuscript Received: 09 Janvier 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 15 Janvier 2023
Manuscript Title: Disponibilité des plantes aphrodisiaques dans les jardins de case et Forêt classée de l'Ouémé-Boukou au Centre-Bénin	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 16143-Article Text-46871-1-4-20221124	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: