

Paper: “The Philosophy of Probability Values Behaviour through Fractions and Composite Probability Function for Independent Events in the Discrete Case”

Submitted: 28 April 2023

Accepted: 22 June 2023

Published: 30 June 2023

Corresponding Author: Abdulaziz Jughaiman

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n18p1](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n18p1)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Rashad A. Al-Jawfi
Ibb University, Yemen

Reviewer 2: Vlad Monescu
Transilvania University of Brasov, Romania

Reviewer D:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

I think it would be better if the title was written as:
On the Philosophy of Probability Behavior of Composite Probability In the Case of
Discrete Function

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The researcher used many concepts, trying to approach some of the initial concepts in
a way that has a greater relationship to the content of the paper.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

yes

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

yes

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The summary of the paper is good, but it is long, and this length may confuse the
reader.
Many of the contents of the summary could have been referred to in the details of the
paper.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

It would be better to make a slight change to the title and try to trim the summary.

Reviewer E:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

N/A

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

N/A

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

N/A

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

N/A

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

N/A

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

N/A

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

N/A

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
