

Paper: “Granulométrie des Sédiments Superficiels du Linéaire Côtier Bargny-Yenne (Petite-Côte, Sénégal)”

Submitted: 12 June 2023

Accepted: 10 August 2023

Published: 31 August 2023

Corresponding Author: Cheikh Omar Tidjani Cissé

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n23p69](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n23p69)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Boubou Aldiouma Sy
Univ. Gaston Berger (UGB) de Saint-Louis, Sénégal

Reviewer 2: Idriss Mbouka
D.S.N University, Republic of Congo

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Boubou Aldiouma SY	
University/Country: Univ. Gaston Berger (UGB) de Saint-Louis/Sénégal	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted: 06 août 2023
Manuscript Title: Granulometry of surface sediments along the Bargny-Yenne coastal line (Petite-Côte, Senegal)	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 35.59.06.2023	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>Le titre est pertinent et précis : c'est bon.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments): C'est bon</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments): C'est bon</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments): C'est bon</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: M'BOUKA MILANDOU Idriss Auguste Williams	
University/Country: DENIS SASSOU-N'GUESSO University/ CONGO	Republic of
Date Manuscript Received: 01/08/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 07/08/2023
Manuscript Title: Granulométrie des sédiments superficiels du linéaire côtier Bargny-Yenne (Petite-Côte, Sénégal) Granulometry of surface sediments along the Bargny- Yenne coastal line (Petite-Côte, Senegal)	
ESJ Manuscript Number: ---35---59.06.2023	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Manuscript is good and the thematic is interested. I think that it'll be fine to publish it in your journal and I need to get one copy for a similar study in Pointe Noire Cost. Thank you very much

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: