

Paper: **“Influence du Type de Solvant et du Ratio Echantillon/Solvant sur les Propriétés Moussantes des Poudres des Racines de Manioc Manihot Esculenta (Crantz) cv Bonoua 2”**

Submitted: 05 September 2023

Accepted: 24 October 2023

Published: 31 October 2023

Corresponding Author: Somala Tatiana Tanoh

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n30p293](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n30p293)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Romaric Dagbeto
Université de Parakou, Bénin

Reviewer 2: Donakpo Silue
Université Peleforo GON COULIBALY de Korhogo, Côte d'Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: SILUE DONAKPO	
University/Country: Université Peleforo GON COULIBALY de Korhogo/ Côte d'Ivoire	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Influence du type de solvant et du ratio échantillon/solvant sur les propriétés moussantes des poudres des racines de manioc Manihot esculenta (Crantz) cv Bonoua 2 et des graines de niébé Vigna unguiculata (L.) cv blanc	
ESJ Manuscript Number: ---14---34.09.2023--- (4)	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The abstract does not clearly present the materials and methods.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes are in this article.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The study methods are explained clearly	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The results are clearly but contain minors' errors.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The references are comprehensive and appropriate	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Take into account observations made and correct mistakes.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

We believe that this manuscript can be accepted **after minor** corrections.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 27/09/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 07/10/2023
Manuscript Title: Influence du type de solvant et du ratio échantillon/solvant sur les propriétés moussantes des poudres des racines de manioc <i>Manihot esculenta</i> (Crantz) cv Bonoua 2 et des graines de niébé <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (L.) cv blanc	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Le titre est trop complexe. On pourrait étudier seulement l' « Influence du type de solvant sur les propriétés moussantes des poudres des racines de manioc <i>Manihot esculenta</i> (Crantz) cv Bonoua 2</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>(Il faudra bien expliquer l'objectif et la démarche méthodologique dans le résumé)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Corriger les fautes au niveau du résumé et de l'introduction)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Thématique pertinente mais trop complexe. La démarche méthodologique utilisée est appropriée mais pas pertinente pour un travail scientifique d'impact.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: